Journal of Hyperstructures 12 (2) (2023), 276-301. https://doi.org/10.22098/jhs.2023.2804 Research Paper

UNIQUE COMMON FIXED POINT RESULTS IN C^* -ALGEBRA VALUED METRIC SPACES USING $(\Phi-C_*)$ -CONTRACTIONS OF HARDY-ROGERS TYPE

Mithun Paul^D and Kalishankar Tiwary

ABSTRACT. In this paper we have developed C_* -class function and introduced (Φ - C_*)-contractions of Hardy-Rogers type on C^* algebra valued metric spaces. We have also established some unique common fixed point results for six maps in C^* -algebra valued metric spaces using this type contractions. Some basic definitions, properties and lemmas are also discussed in the introduction and preliminaries parts. Some corollaries and examples are also given on the basis of the results.

Key Words: C^* -algebra valued metric space, C_* -class function, $(\Phi - C_*)$ -contractions of Hardy-Rogers type, Norm, weakly compatible mappings.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 47H10; Secondary: 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION

Fixed point theorem is the most important and indispensable part in the theory of metric space for solving different problems in topology, analysis, operator theory, non-linear analysis, differential equations etc. and others fields also. In 1972, the famous Stefan Banach founded The Banach Contraction Principle^[3], which is the most valuable and

Received: 13 May 2023, Accepted: 22 December 2023. Communicated by Mehdi Fatehi Nia; *Address correspondence to Mithun Paul; E-mail: mithunmscmath@gmail.com.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License.

Copyright © 2023 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

powerful tools in the field of Fixed Point Theory. Since then many distinguished authors have been generalizing and developing various type of contractions on different type of metric spaces and establishing fixed point results. C^* -algebra valued metric space is such type of generalization of various metric spaces.

In 2014, Ma et al.[15] introduced and established some fixed point results on C^* - algebra valued metric spaces and then in 2016, Xin et al.[31] established some common fixed point results on C^* - algebra valued metric spaces. In 2016, Piri et al.[21] established fixed point theorems concerning F-contraction in complete metric spaces and in 2016, Shehwar et al. [27] established Caristi's fixed point theorem on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces; in 2017, Radenovic et al. [23] established some coupled fixed point results in the framework of C^* -algebra-valued b-metric spaces and in 2018, Moeini et al.[19] established Zamfirescu type contractions on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces. Later in 2020, Derouche, D. and Ramoul, H. [8] introduced the notions of Extended F-contraction of Hardy-Rogers type, extended F-contraction of Suzuki-Hardy-Rogers type and established fixed point results on complete b-metric spaces with these contractions. Also in 2020, Yang et al.[33] introduced the notion of an orthogonal (F, ψ) -contraction of Hardy-Rogers-type mapping and prove some fixed point theorems on such contraction mappings in orthogonally metric spaces. In 2021, Zhiqun Xue and Guiwen Ly [32] established fixed point theorem for generalized (ψ, φ) -weak contractions in Branciari type generalized metric spaces, Kumar et al. [13] established some unique common fixed point results on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces using C_* -class function and Hafida Massit and Mohamed Rossafi[16] established fixed point results for (ϕ, F) -contraction on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces. Recently, in 2022, Rossafi et al. [25] introduced (ϕ, MF) -contraction on C^{*}-algebra valued metric spaces and established some fixed point results and its uniqueness.

One important result of Xin et al.[31] is given below:

Theorem 1.1. ([31], Theorem 2.3). Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space. Suppose that two mappings $T, S : X \to X$ satisfying the following:

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq ad(Tx, Sx) + ad(Ty, Sy), \ \forall \ x, y \in X;$$

where $a \in A'_+$ with $||a|| < \frac{1}{2}$. If R(T) is contained in R(S) and R(S) is complete in X, then T and S have a unique point of coincidence in X.

Furthermore, if T and S are weakly compatible, T and S have a unique common fixed point in X.

Discussing, analyzing and Motivating from the results of the article given in the ref. ([1], [3], [6], [7], [8], [10], [16], [20], [21], [22], [19], [26], [30], [32], [33]), we have introduced (Φ - C_*)-contractions of Hardy-Rogers type and established some unique common fixed point results for six mappings on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces, which are the generalizations of the results given in [13] and [31].

2. Preliminaries

Discussing the articles given in the ref. ([5],[13],[14],[18],[23],[24]) we are introducing some basic definitions, notations and results which are the following:

A complex algebra A with linear involution $* : A \to A$ satisfying $(uv)^* = v^*u^*$ and $u^{**} = u$, for all $u, v \in A$ is said to Banach *-algebra if it is complete with respect to sub-multiplicative norm $\|.\|$ such that for all $u \in A$, $\|u^*\| = \|u\|$. A Banach *- algebra satisfying $\|u^*u\| = \|u\|^2$ is called C^* -algebra. In this paper, we denote A by an unital (unity element I_A) C^* -algebra with linear involution *.

An element $u \in A$ is called a positive element and denote it by $\theta_A \leq u$, (where θ_A is the zero element in A) if $u = u^*$ and $\rho(u) \subset [0, +\infty)$, where $\rho(u)$ is the spectrum of u. A norm on A is defined by $||u|| = (u^*u)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $\forall u \in A$. Set $A_h = \{u \in A : u = u^*\}$ and we define a partial ordering \leq on A_h by $u \leq v$ if and only if $\theta_A \leq v - u$. Here A_+ and A' are defined by $A_+ = \{u \in A : \theta_A \leq u\}$ and $A' = \{u \in A : uv = vu, \forall v \in A\}$. As A is a unital C^* -algebra, then for all $u \in A_+$ we have $u \leq I_A \Leftrightarrow ||u|| \leq 1$ and $||I_A|| = 1$.

Definition 2.1. [13]. Let X be a non-empty set and $d: X \times X \to A$ be a mapping such that for $x, y, z \in X$, where z is different from x and y, satisfying the followings:

(c1) $d(x, y) = \theta_A$ if and only if x = y; and $\theta_A \preceq d(x, y)$, for all $x, y \in X$; (c2) d(x, y) = d(y, x) for all distinct points $x, y \in X$;

 $(c_3) d(x,z) \preceq d(x,y) + d(y,z)$, for all $x, y, z \in X$.

Then (X, A, d) is called a C^* -algebra valued metric space.

Note: If $A = \mathbb{R}$, then, C^* -algebra valued metric space becomes equivalent to the definition to the real metric space.

Example 2.1. Let X = [0,2], $A = \mathbb{R}^2$. Then A is C^{*}-algebra with norm on A is defined by

$$||x, y|| = |x| + |y|; \ \forall \ (x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^2.$$

Also define C^* -algebra valued metric on X by

$$d(x,y) = (2|x-y|, 3|x-y|); \ \forall \ x, y \in X,$$

with the ordering on A by $(e, f) \preceq (g, h)$ if and only if $e \leq g$ and $f \leq h$.

Definition 2.2. [13]. Let (X, A, d) be a C^* -algebra valued metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be convergent to x in X with respect to A if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n, m > N, $||d(x_n, x)|| < \epsilon$.

Definition 2.3. [16]. Let (X, A, d) be a C^* -algebra valued metric space. A sequence $\{x_n\} \subset X$ is said to be Cauchy in X with respect to A if for any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n, m > N, $||d(x_n, x_m)|| < \epsilon$.

The space (X, A, d) is complete C^* -algebra valued metric space if every Cauchy sequence in X with respect to A is convergent to a point in X.

Definition 2.4. ([11],[28]). Let f and g be two maps on a metric space (X, d). If w = f(x) = g(x) for some $x \in X$, then x is called a coincidence point of f and g; and w is called a point of coincidence of f and g.

Definition 2.5. ([11],[28]). A pair of maps f and g on a metric space (X, d) is called weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points.

Example 2.2. Let X = [0,3] and define two functions f, g on X as

 $\begin{array}{lll} f(x) &= 2x^2, & 0 \le x \le 1; \\ &= x+1, & 1 \le x \le 2; \\ &= 6-x, & 2 < x \le 3. \end{array} \begin{array}{lll} g(x) &= \frac{x}{2}, & 0 \le x < 1; \\ &= 3, & 1 \le x \le 2; \\ &= 12-x^2, & 2 < x \le 3. \end{array}$

Here, f(0) = g(0) = 0; f(2) = g(2) = 3 and f(3) = g(3) = 3. So, fg(0) = gf(0) = 0; fg(2) = gf(2) = 3 and fg(3) = gf(3) = 3. Then the pair $\{f, g\}$ is weakly compatible.

Lemma 2.1. [34] If (E, τ) is a topological vector space ordered by a closed cone K and if C is a compact subset of (E, τ) , the supremum(imfimum) of each increasing(decreasing) net in C exists and the net converges to it with respect to τ . **Lemma 2.2.** [31]. Let (X, A, d) be a C^* -algebra valued metric space. Then following are hold:

- (l_1) If $\{U_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty} \subset A$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} U_n = \theta_A$, then for any $V \in A$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} V^* U_n V = 0$ θ_A .
- (l_2) If $U, V \in A_+$ and $W \in A'_+$, then $U \preceq V$ deduces $WU \preceq WV$, where $A'_{+} = A_{+} \cap A'$
- (l_3) Limit of a convergent sequence in a C^{*}- algebra valued metric space is unique.

Definition 2.6. [21]. A function $F: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ is said to be Fcontraction if it satisfies the following conditions:

(i) F is strictly increasing; (ii) For every sequence $\{t_n\} \subset (0, \infty), \lim_{n \to \infty} t_n =$ $0 \Leftrightarrow \lim_{n \to \infty} F(t_n) = -\infty$; (iii) There exists a constant $l \in (0, 1)$ such that $x^{l}F(t) \to 0$, when $t \to 0^{+}$.

Definition 2.7. [16]. Let (X, d) be a metric space such that the mappings $F: (0,\infty) \to \mathbb{R}$ and $\phi: (0,\infty) \to (0,\infty)$ are satisfying the following:

(i) F is strictly increasing, i.e., x < y implies F(x) < F(y), for all $x, y \in (0, \infty)$; (ii) $\lim_{\alpha \to 0^+} \phi(\alpha) > 0$, for all s > 0. A mapping $T: X \to X$ is called an (ϕ, F) -contraction on (X, d) if

 $\phi(d(x,y)) + F(d(Tx,Ty)) \leq F(d(x,y)), \ \forall x,y \in X \text{ for which } Tx \neq Ty.$

3. Main Results

To established our results first we define the following:

Definition 3.1. A function $\psi : A_+ \to A_+$ is said to be monotonic non-decreasing with respect to \leq if

$$U \preceq V \Rightarrow \psi(U) \preceq \psi(V), \ \forall \ U, V \in A_+.$$

Definition 3.2. A function $J_*: A_+ \times A_+ \to A_+$ is called a nondecreasing function with respect to \leq if

$$U \subset W, V \subset Z \Rightarrow J_*(U, V) \preceq J_*(W, Z).$$

Definition 3.3. A sequence $\{W_n\} \subset A_+$ is said to be bounded below with respect to \leq if there exists $L \in A_+$ such that $L \leq W_n$ with $||L|| \leq$ $||W_n||, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$

Definition 3.4. (C_* -class function). Let A be a unit C^* -algebra. Then a continuous function $J_* : A_+ \times A_+ \to A_+$ is called a C_* -class function if for any $U, V \in A_+$, the following conditions hold:

(i) J_* is non-decreasing; (ii) $J_*(U, V) \leq U$; (iii) $J_*(U, V) = U$ implies either $U = \theta_A$, or, $V = \theta_A$; (iv) $J_*(\theta_A, \theta_A) = \theta_A$.

Note: Here C_* will denote the class of all C_* -class function.

Definition 3.5. Φ be the set of all functions $\phi : A_+ \to A_+$ such that the following conditions hold: (i) ϕ is monotonic non-decreasing; (ii) $\lim_{Y \to U} \phi(Y)$ exists, for all $U \in A_+$; (iii) ϕ is continuous at θ_A and $\phi(U) = \theta_A \Leftrightarrow U = \theta_A$.

Definition 3.6. $((\Phi - C_*)$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type). Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space. A function T on X is said to be $(\Phi - C_*)$ -contraction of Hardy-Rogers type if for all $x, y \in X$, the following conditions hold:

$$\psi\{d(Tx,Ty)\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x,y\}), \phi\{P(x,y)\}\}; \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi \text{ and } J_* \in C_*;$$

where, $P(x, y) = W_1 d(x, y) + W_2 d(x, Ty) + W_3 d(y, Tx) + W_4 d(x, Tx) + W_5 d(y, Ty); W_i \in A', \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$

with $W_1 + W_2 + W_3 + W_4 + W_5 \leq I_A$; $\theta_A \leq W_i$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

Note: This contraction is the generalizations of *F*-contraction, (ϕ, F) -contraction and (ψ, φ) -weak contraction.

Theorem 3.1. Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and F, G, S, T, H and L be self maps on X such that for all $x, y \in X$, the following conditions hold:

(3.1)

$$\psi\{d(Hx,Ly)\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x,y\}), \phi\{P(x,y)\}\}, \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi \ and \ J_* \in C_*;$$

where,

(3.2)

$$\begin{split} P(x,y) &= W_1 d(FGx,Hx) + W_2 d(STy,Ly) + W_3 d(STy,Hx) + \\ & W_4 d(FGx,Ly) + W_5 d(FGx,STy); \ W_i \in A', \ \forall \ i = 1,2,3,4,5. \end{split}$$

with $W_1 + W_2 + 2(W_3 + W_4) + W_5 \leq I_A$; $\theta_A \leq W_i$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; and $||W_1|| + ||W_2|| + 2(||W_3|| + ||W_4||) + ||W_5|| \leq 1$; Also $(i)H(X) \subset ST(X), L(X) \subset FG(X)$; (ii) FG = GF, ST = TS, HG = GH, LT = TL; (iii) The pairs $\{H, FG\}$ and $\{L, ST\}$ are weekly compatible and one of the ranges H(X), FG(X), L(X) and ST(X) is complete in X.

Then F, G, S, T, H, L have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof: We will prove the fixed point result by step by step process. Let $x_0 \in X$. From condition (i), there exist $x_1, x_2 \in X$ such that $Hx_0 = STx_1 = y_0$ and $Lx_1 = FGx_2 = y_1$. Proceeding inductively we can construct sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

 $y_{2n} = Hx_{2n} = STx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Lx_{2n+1} = FGx_{2n+2}$, for n = 0, 1, 2, ...

Case-I: Assume for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, $y_n = y_{n+1}$ implies $y_{n+1} = y_{n+2}$. (3.3)

Now for is *n* even i.e., for $n = 2m, m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we have, $y_{2m} = y_{2m+1}$

Now from (3.2) we have,

$$\begin{array}{l} (3.4) \\ P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}) \\ = W_1 d(FGx_{2m+2}, Hx_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(STx_{2m+1}, Lx_{2m+1}) + \\ W_3 d(STx_{2m+1}, Hx_{2m+2}) + W_4 d(FGx_{2m+2}, Lx_{2m+1}) + \\ W_5 d(FGx_{2m+2}, STx_{2m+1}) \\ = W_1 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+2}) + \\ W_4 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_5 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m}) \\ = W_1 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + \\ W_5 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}) \\ = (W_1 + W_3) d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) \\ \preceq d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) \end{array}$$

Now from (3.1) we have,

$$\psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1})\}\}$$
$$\leq J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}\}$$
$$\leq \psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}, \text{ which gives}$$

 $J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}\} = \psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\}$ So, by definition either

$$\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\} = \theta_A,$$

or

$$\phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})\} = \theta_A.$$

Hence

$$d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) = \theta$$
, implies $y_{2m+1} = y_{2m+2}$

Therefore

(3.5)
$$y_{2m} = y_{2m+1}$$
 implies $y_{2m+1} = y_{2m+2}$.

For n is odd i.e., for $n = 2m + 1, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then

(3.6)
$$y_{2m+1} = y_{2m+2}$$
, implies $y_{2m+2} = y_{2m+3}$

From (3.5) and (3.6) we have,

$$y_n = y_{n+1}$$
, implies $y_{n+1} = y_{n+2}$, $\forall n = 1, 2, 3, ...$

Proceeding in this manner we have $y_n = y_{n+1}$ implies $y_n = y_{n+k}$, for all k = 1, 2, 3, ...

Therefore, $\{y_n\}$ becomes a constant sequence and hence a Cauchy one in X.

Case-II: Assume $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$, for each n = 1, 2, 3, ...Now for n = 2m, from (3.2) we have,

Now from (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{split} \psi\{d(Hx_{2m}, Lx_{2m+1})\} &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}\}\\ \text{or, } \psi\{d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1})\} &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}\}\\ &\preceq \psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}, \text{ which implies} \end{split}$$

 $J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}\} = \psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\}.$ So, by definition either $\psi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\} = \theta_A$, or, $\phi\{d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})\} = \theta_A$.

Hence, $d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m}) = \theta_A$, implies $y_{2m+1} = y_{2m}$, which is a contradiction.

(3.9) Hence,
$$d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m}) \prec d(y_{2m}, y_{2m-1})$$
.

For n is odd i.e., for $n = 2m + 1, m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$, then from (3.2) we have,

$$P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}) = W_1 d(FGx_{2m+2}, Hx_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(STx_{2m+1}, Lx_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(STx_{2m+1}, Hx_{2m+2}) + W_4 d(FGx_{2m+2}, Lx_{2m+1}) + W_5 d(FGx_{2m+2}, STx_{2m+1})$$

$$(3.10) = W_1 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+2}) + W_4 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+2}) + W_4 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+1}) + W_4 d(y_{2m+$$

$$W_5d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})$$

 $\leq W_1 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_2 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) + W_4 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) + W_5 d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m})$

If $d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1}) \leq d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})$, then from (3.2) we have, (3.11) $P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}) \leq (W_1 + W_2 + 2W_3 + W_5)d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2})$

$$(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1}) \subseteq (W_1 + W_2 + 2W_3 + W_5)a(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) \leq d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m}) [as (W_1 + W_2 + 2W_3 + W_5) \leq I_A.]$$

Now from (3.1) we have,

$$\begin{aligned} &\psi\{d(Hx_{2m+2}, Lx_{2m+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2m+2}, x_{2m+1})\}\}\\ &\text{or}, \psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}\}\\ &\leq \psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}, \text{ which gives} \end{aligned}$$

 $J_{*}\{\psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}\} = \psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\}.$ So, by definition either $\psi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\} = \theta_{A}$, or, $\phi\{d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1})\} = \theta_{A}$.

Hence, $d(y_{2m+2}, y_{2m+1}) = \theta_A \Rightarrow y_{2m+2} = y_{2m+1}$, which is a contradiction.

Hence,

$$(3.12) d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m+2}) \prec d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1})$$

From (3.9) and (3.12) we have,

$$(3.13) d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \prec d(y_{n-1}, y_n), \ \forall \ n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Therefore, the sequence $\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$ is monotonic decreasing with respect to \leq and bounded below by θ_A and hence convergent.

Let $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = U$, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\} = V$ and $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\} = W$, where $U, V, W \in A_+$, i.e., $\theta \leq U, V, W$. **Claim:** $U = \theta_A$ i.e., $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = \theta_A$. Now from (3.1) we have, (3.14) $\psi\{d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}\}$ or, $\psi\{d(Hx_{2m}, Lx_{2m+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}\}$

 $\leq \psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}$

Taking limit as $m \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.14) we have,

$$\lim_{m \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2m}, y_{2m+1})\} \leq \lim_{m \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2m}, x_{2m+1})\}\}$$
$$\leq \lim_{m \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}, \phi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}\}$$
$$= J_*\{\lim_{m \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}, \lim_{m \to \infty} \phi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}\}$$
$$\leq \lim_{m \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}, \text{ which gives}$$

(3.15)

$$V \leq J_*\{\lim_{m \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}, \lim_{m \to \infty} \phi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\}\} \leq V$$

or, $V \leq J_*(V, W) \leq V$, which gives $J_*(V, W) = V$

Therefore, either $V = \theta_A$, or, $W = \theta_A$, which imply that either $\lim_{m \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\} = \theta_A$, or, $\lim_{m \to \infty} \phi\{d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m})\} = \theta_A$. Therefore, in both cases $\lim_{m \to \infty} d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}) = \theta_A$.

Hence,

(3.16)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} d(y_{2m-1}, y_{2m}) = \theta_A$$

Similarly for n = 2m + 1, we have $U = \theta_A$ i.e.,

(3.17)
$$\lim_{m \to \infty} d(y_{2m+1}, y_{2m}) = \theta_A$$

Hence from (3.16) and (3.17) we have,

(3.18)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(y_{n+1}, y_n) = \theta_A$$

and hence,

(3.19)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \|d(y_{n+1}, y_n)\| = 0$$

Now we prove that $\{y_n\}$ is Cauchy in X with respect to A. For this it is sufficient to show that $\{y_{2n}\}$ is Cauchy in X.

If not, then for $\epsilon > 0$, there exist integers $2n_k$ and $2m_k$ with $2m_k > 2n_k > k$ such that

(3.20)
$$||d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k})|| > \epsilon \text{ and } ||d(y_{2m_k-2}, y_{2n_k})|| \le \epsilon$$

Now,

$$\epsilon < \|d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k})\| \le \|d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k-2})\| + \|d(y_{2m_k-2}, y_{2m_k-1})\| + \|d(y_{2m_k-1}, y_{2m_k})\|$$

Taking limit as $k \to \infty$ we get $\epsilon \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k})\| \le \epsilon$, which gives

(3.21)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k})\| = \epsilon$$

Again $\epsilon < \|d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2n_k})\| \le \|d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k+1})\| + \|d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2n_k})\|$ Taking limit as $k \to \infty$ we get (3.22) $\epsilon \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2n_k})\| \le \epsilon$, which gives $\lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2n_k})\| = \epsilon$

By similar process we obtained that

(3.23)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k+1})\| = \epsilon \text{ and } \lim_{k \to \infty} \|d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k+1})\| = \epsilon$$

Therefore, there exists $U \in A_+$ with $||U|| = \epsilon$ such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2n_k}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k+1}) = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k+1}) = U.$$

Furthermore, there exists $K \in \mathbb{N}$ and with $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k}\| &> \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \|d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2n_k}\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \ \|d(y_{2m_k+1}, y_{2m_k})\| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}, \\ \|d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k+1})\| &> \frac{\epsilon}{2} \ \forall \ 2m_k, 2n_k > K. \end{aligned}$$

Now for $2m_k, 2n_k > K$, from (3.2) we have,

$$P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) = W_1 d(FGx_{2n_k}, Hx_{2m_k}) + W_2 d(STx_{2m_k+1}, Lx_{2m_k+1}) + W_3 d(STx_{2m_k+1}, Hx_{2n_k}) + W_4 d(FGx_{2n_k}, Lx_{2m_k+1}) + W_5 d(FGx_{2n_k}, STx_{2m_k+1}) = W_1 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2n_k}) + W_2 d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k}) + W_4 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k+1}) + W_5 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k})$$

Therefore, from (3.24) we have,

$$(3.25) ||P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})|| \le ||W_1|| ||d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2n_k})|| + ||W_2|| ||d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k+1})|| + ||W_3|| ||d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k})|| + ||W_4|| ||d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k+1})|| + ||W_5|| ||d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k})||.$$

Taking limit as $k \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.24) we have,

$$(3.26) \lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \{ W_1 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2n_k}) + W_2 d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2m_k+1}) + W_3 d(y_{2m_k}, y_{2n_k}) + W_4 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k+1}) + W_5 d(y_{2n_k-1}, y_{2m_k}) \} = (W_3 + W_4 + W_5) U \\ \prec U$$

Now (3.1) gives,

$$(3.27) \psi\{d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k+1})\} = \psi\{d(Hx_{2n_k}, Lx_{2m_k+1})\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}\} \leq \psi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k})\}$$

Taking lower limit as $k \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.27) we have,

$$\begin{array}{l} (3.28) \\ \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k+1})\} \preceq \lim_{k \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}\} \\ \preceq \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k})\} \\ \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k+1})\} \preceq J_*\{\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}, \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}\} \\ \preceq \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\} \\ \text{As } \lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) \preceq U = \lim_{k \to \infty} d(y_{2n_k}, y_{2m_k+1}), \text{ then from } (3.28) \\ \text{we have} \\ J_*\{\lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}, \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\}\} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\} \\ \text{Hence, either } \lim_{k \to \infty} \psi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\} = \theta_A, \text{ or, } \lim_{k \to \infty} \phi\{d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1})\} = \theta_A, \\ \text{which implies that } \lim_{k \to \infty} d(x_{2n_k}, x_{2m_k+1}) = \theta_A, \text{ which is a contradiction.} \\ \text{Hence, } \{y_n\} \text{ is a Cauchy sequence in } A. \end{array}$$

Since (X,A,d) is complete C^* algebra valued metric space so, there exists $z\in X$ such that

(3.29)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} H x_{2n} = \lim_{n \to \infty} ST x_{2n+1} = z.$$

and

(3.30)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} y_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} Lx_{2n+1} = \lim_{n \to \infty} FGx_{2n+2} = z.$$

Assume ST(X) is complete in X, then there exists $u \in X$ such that z = STu.

Now,

Step-1.

Claim: Lu = z.

Putting $x = x_{2n}$ and y = u in (3.2) we have,

(3.31)

$$\begin{split} P(x_{2n}, u) &= W_1 d(FGx_{2n}, Hx_{2n}) + W_2 d(STu, Lu) + W_3 d(STu, Hx_{2n}) + \\ & W_4 d(FGx_{2n}, Lu) + W_5 d(FGx_{2n}, STu) \end{split}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.31) we have, (3.32)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n}, u) = W_1 d(z, z) + W_2 d(z, Lu) + W_3 d(z, z) + W_4 d(z, Lu) + W_5 d(z, z)$$
$$= (W_2 + W_4) d(z, Lu)$$
$$\preceq d(z, Lu)$$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.32) we have,

(3.33)
$$\psi\{d(HGz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}, \phi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.33) we have, (3.34)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n}, u)\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n}, u)\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{P(x_{2n}, u)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{P(x_{2n}, u)\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}\}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}$$

Therefore,

 $J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\}$

Hence, either $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\} = \theta_A$, or, $\lim_{n\to\infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu)\} = \theta_A$ Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lu) = \theta_A, \text{ which gives} \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} d(Hx_{2n}, Lu) = \theta_A, \text{ implies } d(z, Lu) = \theta_A.$$

Hence,

(3.35) Lu = z and therefore, STu = Lu = z.

Since $\{L, ST\}$ is weakly compatible, so

$$(3.36) Lz = L(STu) = ST(Lu) = STz$$

Step-2.

Claim: Lz = z. Now from (3.2) we have,

$$(3.37) P(x_{2n}, z) = W_1 d(FGx_{2n}, Hx_{2n}) + W_2 d(STz, Lz) + W_3 d(STz, Hx_{2n}) + W_4 d(FGx_{2n}, Lz) + W_5 d(FGx_{2n}, STz)$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides on (3.37) we have,

$$(3.38) \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n}, z) = W_1 d(z, z) + W_2 d(STz, Lz) + W_3 d(Lz, z) + W_4 d(z, Lz) + W_5 d(z, Lz) \\ = (W_3 + W_4 + W_5) d(Lz, z) \\ \leq d(Lz, z)$$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.38) we have,

(3.39)
$$\psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.39) we have, (3.40)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{P(x_{2n}, z)\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\}\}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\}.$$

Therefore,

$$J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz)\}\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lx_{2n+1})\}.$$
 Hence, either

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A,$$

or,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A.$$

Thus,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Lz) = \theta_A$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Hx_{2n}, Lz) = \theta_A$$
, implies $d(Lz, z) = \theta_A$.

Hence,

$$(3.41) Lz = z.$$

Therefore,

$$(3.42) L(STu) = (ST)Lu = Lz = STz = z.$$

Now since $L(X) \subset FG(X)$, then there exists $w \in X$ such that Lz = FGw = z.

= z.

Then using (3.42), we have

$$(3.43) Lz = FGw = STz$$

Step-3.

Claim: FGw = Hw. Now from (3.2) we have,

$$P(w, z) = W_1 d(FGw, Hw) + W_2 d(STz, Lz) + W_3 d(STz, Hw) + W_4 d(FGw, Lz) + W_5 d(FGw, STz)$$

$$= W_1 d(FGw, Hw) + W_2 d(Lz, Lz) + W_3 d(FGw, Hw) + W_4 d(FGw, FGw) + W_5 d(FGw, FGw)$$

$$= (W_1 + W_3) d(FGw, Hw)$$

$$\leq d(FGw, Hw)$$

Now from (3.2) and using (3.44) we have,

$$(3.45) \qquad \begin{aligned} \psi\{d(Hw, FGw)\} &= \psi\{d(Hw, Lz)\} \\ &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(w, z)\}, \phi\{P(w, z)\}\} \\ &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}, \phi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}\} \\ &\preceq \psi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $J_*\{\psi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}, \phi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}\} = \psi\{d(FGw, Hw)\}$. Then, either $\psi\{d(FGw, Hw)\} = \theta_A$, or, $\phi\{d(FGw, Hw)\} = \theta_A$, which implies $d(FGw, Hw) = \theta_A$. Hence,

(3.46)
$$FGw = Hw$$
, which gives $FGw = Hw = z$.

Since $\{H, FG\}$ is weakly compatible so,

$$(3.47) Hz = HLz = H(FGw) = FG(Hw) = FGz.$$

Step-4. Claim: Hz = z. Now from (3.2) we have,

(3.48)

$$P(z, x_{2n+1}) = W_1 d(FGz, Hz) + W_2 d(STx_{2n+1}, Lx_{2n+1}) + W_3 d(STx_{2n+1}, Hz) + W_4 d(FGz, Lx_{2n+1}) + W_5 d(FGz, STx_{2n+1})$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides on (3.48) we have,

$$(3.49) \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n}, z) = W_1(FGz, Hz) + W_2d(z, z) + W_3d(z, Hz) + W_4d(Hz, z) + W_5d(Hz, z) \\ = (W_3 + W_4 + W_5)d(Hz, z) \\ \leq d(Hz, z)$$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.49) we have,

(3.50)
$$\psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}, \phi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.50) we have, (3.51)

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}, \phi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}\}$$

$$\leq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}, \text{ which implies}$$

M. Paul and K. Tiwary

$$\begin{split} J_* \{ \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}\} &= \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}\\ \text{Then,}\\ \text{either } \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} &= \theta_A, \text{ or, } \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A. \end{split}$$

Hence,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A$$

or, $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1}) = \theta_A$, implies $d(Hz, z) = \theta_A$.

Hence,

Thus, (3.53)

Hz = HLz = FGz = z and therefore, Hz = HLz = FGz = STz = Lz = z.

Step-5.

Claim: Tz = z. As LT = TL and ST = TS, we have LTz = TLz = Tz and ST(Tz) = T(STz) = TzNow from (3.2) we have,

$$(3.54) P(x_{2n}, Tz) = W_1 d(FGx_{2n}, Hx_{2n}) + W_2 d(STTz, LTz) + W_3 d(STTz, Hx_{2n}) + W_4 d(FGx_{2n}, LTz) + W_5 d(FGx_{2n}, STTz)$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides on (3.54) we have,

(3.55)
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} P(x_{2n}, Tz) = W_1(z, z) + W_2 d(Tz, Tz) + W_3 d(Tz, z) + W_4 d(z, Tz) + W_5 d(z, Tz) = (W_3 + W_4 + W_5) d(z, Tz) \\ \leq d(z, Tz)$$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.55) we have,

(3.56)
$$\psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, LTz)\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n}, Tz)\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n}, Tz)\}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.56) we have,

$$(3.57)$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, LTz)\} \preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(x_{2n}, Tz)\}, \phi\{P(x_{2n}, Tz)\}\}$$
or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, LTz)\} \preceq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{P(z, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$
or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, LTz)\} \preceq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Tz)\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Tz)\}\}$$

$$\preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Tz)\}$$

Therefore,

 $J_*\{\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi\{d(x_{2n},Tz)\},\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi\{d(Hx_{2n},Tz)\}\} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\psi\{d(Hx_{2n},Tz)\}$ Then either $\lim_{n\to\infty}\psi\{d(Hx_{2n},Tz)\} = \theta_A$, or, $\lim_{n\to\infty}\phi\{d(Hx_{2n},Tz)\} = \theta_A$.

Therefore,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hx_{2n}, Tz)\} = \theta_A$$
, which gives
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Hx_{2n}, Tz) = \theta_A$, implies $d(z, Tz) = \theta_A$.

Hence,

$$(3.58) Tz = z.$$

Now STz = Tz = z, which implies Sz = z. Therefore,

$$(3.59) Sz = Tz = Lz = z.$$

Now as FG = GF, HG = GH, so

$$H(Gz) = G(Hz) = Gz$$
 and $FG(Gz) = GF(Gz) = G(FGz) = Gz$.

Step-6. Claim: Gz = z.

Now from (3.1) we have,

$$(3.60) P(Gz, x_{2n+1}) = W_1 d(FGGz, Hz) + W_2 d(STx_{2n+1}, Lx_{2n+1}) + W_3 d(STx_{2n+1}, HGz) + W_4 d(FGGz, Lx_{2n+1}) + W_5 d(FGGz, STx_{2n+1})$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides on (3.60) we have,

$$(3.61) \\ \lim_{n \to \infty} P(Gz, z) = W_1(Gz, z) + W_2 d(z, z) + W_3 d(z, Gz) + W_4 d(Gz, z) + W_5 d(Gz, z) \\ = (W_1 + W_3 + W_4 + W_5) d(Gz, z) \\ \leq d(Gz, z)$$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.61) we have,

(3.62)
$$\psi\{d(HGz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}, \phi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}\}$$

Taking limit as $n \to \infty$ on both sides of (3.62) we have,

(3.63)

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(HGz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \leq \lim_{n \to \infty} J_*\{\psi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}, \phi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}\}$ or,

 $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(HGz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \leq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{P(Gz, x_{2n+1})\}\}$ or,

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \preceq J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\}\}$$

or,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\} \preceq \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\}$$

Therefore,

 $J_*\{\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}, \lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}\} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Hz, Lx_{2n+1})\}$ Then either $\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A$, or, $\lim_{n \to \infty} \phi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A$.

Hence,
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \psi\{d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1})\} = \theta_A$$
, which implies
 $\lim_{n \to \infty} d(Gz, Lx_{2n+1}) = \theta_A$, which gives $d(Gz, z) = \theta_A$.

Hence,

$$(3.64) Gz = z.$$

Therefore,

Hence, from (3.59) and (3.65) we have, Sz = Tz = Lz = Hz = Gz = Fz = z.

Hence, z is a common fixed point of F, G, H, L, S and T.

Uniqueness: If possible let, u and z be two distinct fixed points of F, G, H, L, S and T i.e., Fu = Gu = Hu = Lu = Su = Tu = u and Fz = Gz = Hz = Lz = Sz = Tz = z with $u \neq z$. Now from (3.2) we have,

(3.66)P(u,z) $= W_1d(FGu, Hu) + W_2d(STz, Lz) + W_3d(STz, Hu) + W_4d(FGu, Lz)$ $+W_5d(FGu,STz)$ $= (W_3 + W_4 + W_5)d(u, z)$ $\prec d(u, z)$

Now from (3.1) and using (3.66) we have,

$$\begin{split} \psi\{d(Hu,Lz)\} &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(u,z)\}, \phi\{P(u,z)\}\}\\ &\preceq J_*\{\psi\{d(u,z)\}, \phi\{d(u,z)\}\}\\ &\preceq \psi\{d(u,z)\} \end{split}$$

Therefore, $J_{*}\{\psi\{d(u,z)\}, \phi\{d(u,z)\}\} = \psi\{d(u,z)\}$ Hence, either $\psi\{d(u, z)\} = \theta_A$, or, $\phi\{d(u, z)\} = \theta_A$. In both cases we have, $d(u, z) = \theta$, which is a contradiction. Hence, z = u.

Therefore, F, G, H, L, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X. This completes the proof.

Example 3.1. Assume $X = \mathbb{R}$ and $A = M_2(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of all bounded

linear operators on a Hilbert space \mathbb{R}^2 . Define $d(x,y) = \begin{pmatrix} k|x-y| & 0\\ 0 & |x-y| \end{pmatrix}$, where k > 0 is a constant. Then (X, A_+, d) is a complete C^{*}-algebra valued metric space. Assume $S(x) = 8x, T(x) = \frac{x}{2}, H(x) = x, L(x) = 2x, F(x) = 6x, G(x) = 6x$ $\frac{x}{3}$, then ST(x) = 4x, FG(x) = 2x. Then $H(X) \subset ST(X), L(X) \subset FG(X)$ and FG = GF, ST =

TS, HG = GH, LT = TL.

Now

$$\begin{split} d(FGx,Hx) &= \begin{pmatrix} k|2x-x| & 0\\ 0 & |2x-x| \end{pmatrix}, \ d(STy,Ly) = \begin{pmatrix} k|4y-2y| & 0\\ 0 & |4y-2y| \end{pmatrix}, \\ d(STy,Hx) &= \begin{pmatrix} k|4y-x| & 0\\ 0 & |4y-x| \end{pmatrix}, \ d(FGx,Ly) = \begin{pmatrix} k|2x-2y| & 0\\ 0 & |2x-2y| \end{pmatrix}, \end{split}$$

M. Paul and K. Tiwary

$$\begin{split} d(FGx,STy) &= \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|2x-4y| & 0 \\ 0 & |2x-4y| \end{array} \right), \ d(Hx,Ly) = \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|x-2y| & 0 \\ 0 & |x-2y| \end{array} \right) \\ Here \\ P(x,y) \\ &= W_1 \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|2x-x| & 0 \\ 0 & |2x-x| \end{array} \right) + W_2 \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|4y-2y| & 0 \\ 0 & |4y-2y| \end{array} \right) + W_3 \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|4y-x| & 0 \\ 0 & |4y-x| \end{array} \right) \\ &+ W_4 \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|2x-2y| & 0 \\ 0 & |2x-2y| \end{array} \right) + W_5 \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|2x-4y| & 0 \\ 0 & |2x-4y| \end{array} \right) \\ Let \\ \psi(Q) &= 2Q \ and \ \phi(Q) = Q, \ \forall Q \in A_+, \ then \ \psi\{d(Hx,Ly)\} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} k|2x-4y| & 0 \\ 0 & |2x-4y| \end{array} \right). \end{split}$$

If we take

$$\begin{split} W_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, W_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ W_5 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2}{3} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{2}{3}; \end{pmatrix}; \end{split}$$

then

 $\begin{array}{l} {}^{then} \\ \psi\{P(x,y)\} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4k}{3}|2x-4y| & 0\\ 0 & \frac{4}{3}|2x-4y| \end{pmatrix} and \ \phi\{P(x,y)\} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{2k}{3}|2x-4y| & 0\\ 0 & \frac{2}{3}|2x-4y| \end{pmatrix} \\ Therefore, \ the \ condition \ \psi\{d(Hx,Ly)\} \ \preceq \ J_*\{\psi\{P(x,y)\}, \phi\{P(x,y)\}\} \end{array}$ holds.

Here, x = 0 is the unique common fixed point of F, G, S, T, H and L.

Example 3.2. Let $X = [0, 1], A = \mathbb{C}$ and a norm on A is defined by $||(x,y)|| = \sqrt{x^2 + y^2}, \ \forall \ (x,y) \in \mathbb{C}.$ Also we define C^* -metric on X as $d(x,y) = (0, |x-y|), \ \forall \ x, y \in X \ with \ the \ partial \ ordering \ (e, f) \preceq (g,h)$ by $e \leq g$ and $f \leq h$. We consider $Hx = Lx = \frac{x}{8}$ and $Fx = Gx = Sx = Tx = \frac{x}{2}$, $\forall x \in X$. Then $FGx = STx = \frac{x}{4}$. Also consider $\psi(U) = 5U$, $\phi(U) = 4U$, $\forall U \in A$. Now,

$$\begin{split} P(x,y) = &W_1 d(FGx,Hx) + W_2 d(STy,Ly) + W_3 d(STy,Hx) + \\ &W_4 d(FGx,Ly) + W_5 d(FGx,STy) \\ = &W_1 d(\frac{x}{4},\frac{x}{8}) + W_2 d(\frac{y}{4},\frac{y}{8}) + W_3 d(\frac{y}{4},\frac{x}{8}) + W_4 d(\frac{x}{4},\frac{y}{8}) + W_5 d(\frac{x}{4},\frac{y}{4}) \\ = &(0,\frac{1}{5}|x-y|), \ Taking \ [W_1 = 0, W_2 = 0, W_3 = 0, W_4 = 0, W_5 = \frac{4}{5}.] \end{split}$$

Then $d(Hx, Ly) = d(\frac{x}{8}, \frac{y}{8}) = (0, \frac{1}{8}|x-y|)$ and therefore, $\psi\{d(Hx,Ly)\} = (0, \frac{5}{8}|x-y|), \psi\{P(x,y)\} = (0, |x-y|) \text{ and } \phi\{P(x,y)\} = 0$ $(0, \frac{4}{5}|x-y|).$

Therefore, the condition $\psi\{d(Hx, Ly)\} \preceq J_*\{\psi\{P(x, y)\}, \phi\{P(x, y)\}\}$ holds.

Here, x = 0 is the unique common fixed point of F, G, S, T, H and L.

Corollary 3.1. Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and F, G, S, T, H, L be self maps on X such that for all $x, y \in X$, the following hold:

(3.67)
$$\psi\{d(Hx, Ly)\} \leq \psi\{P(x, y\}) - \phi\{P(x, y)\}, \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi ;$$

where,

$$\begin{array}{l} (3.68) \\ P(x,y) = & W_1 d(FGx,Hx) + W_2 d(STy,Ly) + W_3 d(STy,Hx) + \\ & W_4 d(FGx,Ly) + W_5 d(FGx,STy); \ W_i \in A', \ \forall \ i=1,2,3,4,5. \end{array}$$

with $W_1 + W_2 + 2(W_3 + W_4) + W_5 \leq I_A$; $\theta_A \leq W_i$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$; and $||W_1|| + ||W_2|| + 2(||W_3|| + ||W_4||) + ||W_5|| \leq 1$. Also

(i) $H(X) \subset ST(X), L(X) \subset FG(X)$; (ii) FG = GF, ST = TS, HG = GH, LT = TL; (iii) The pairs $\{H, FG\}$ and $\{L, ST\}$ are weekly compatible and one of the ranges H(X), FG(X), L(X) and ST(X) is complete in X. Then F, G, S, T, H, L have a unique common fixed point in X.

Corollary 3.2. Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and F, S, H, L be self maps on X such that for all $x, y \in X$, the following hold:

(3.69)

 $\psi\{d(Hx, Ly)\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x, y\}), \phi\{P(x, y)\}\}, \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi \ and \ J_* \in C_*;$ where,

(3.70)

 $P(x, y) = W_1 d(Fx, Hx) + W_2 d(Sy, Ly) + W_3 d(Sy, Hx) + W_4 d(Fx, Ly) + W_5 d(Fx, STy); W_i \in A', \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$

with $W_1 + W_2 + 2(W_3 + W_4) + W_5 \leq I_A$; $\theta_A \leq W_i$, $\forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. and $||W_1|| + ||W_2|| + 2(||W_3|| + ||W_4||) + ||W_5|| \leq 1$. Also

(i) $H(X) \subset S(X), L(X) \subset F(X)$; (ii) The pairs $\{H, F\}$ and $\{L, S\}$ are weekly compatible and one of the ranges H(X), F(X), L(X) and S(X) is complete in X. Then F, S, H, L have a unique common fixed point in X.

Corollary 3.3. Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and F, G, S, T, H, L are self maps on X such that for all $x, y \in X$, the following hold:

(3.71)
$$\psi\{d(Hx,Ly)\} \preceq \psi\{P(x,y\}) - \phi\{P(x,y)\}, \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi;$$

where,

(3.72)

$$P(x,y) = w_1 d(FGx, Hx) + w_2 d(STy, Ly) + w_3 d(STy, Hx) + w_4 d(FGx, Ly) + w_5 d(FGx, STy);$$

with $w_1 + w_2 + 2(w_3 + w_4) + w_5 \le 1$; $w_i \ge 0, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Also

(i) $H(X) \subset ST(X), L(X) \subset FG(X)$; (ii) FG = GF, ST = TS, HG = GH, LT = TL; (iii) The pairs $\{H, FG\}$ and $\{L, ST\}$ are weekly compatible and one of the ranges H(X), FG(X), L(X) and ST(X) is complete in X. Then F, G, S, T, H, L have a unique common fixed point in X.

Corollary 3.4. Let (X, A, d) be a complete C^* -algebra valued metric space and F, S, H, L are self maps on X such that for all $x, y \in X$ satisfying the following:

 $\begin{array}{l} (3.73) \\ \psi\{(d(Hx,Ly)\} \leq J_*\{\psi\{P(x,y\}), \phi\{P(x,y)\}\}, \ \psi, \phi \in \Phi \ and \ J_* \in C_*; \end{array}$

where,

$$(3.74) P(x,y) = w_1 d(Fx, Hx) + w_2 d(Sy, Ly) + w_3 d(Sy, Hx) + w_4 d(Fx, Ly) + w_5 d(Fx, STy);$$

with $w_1 + w_2 + 2(w_3 + w_4) + w_5 \le 1$; $w_i \ge 0, \forall i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$. Also

(i) $H(X) \subset S(X), L(X) \subset F(X)$; (ii) The pairs $\{H, F\}$ and $\{L, S\}$ are weekly compatible and one of the ranges H(X), F(X), L(X) and S(X) is complete in X. Then F, S, H, L have a unique common fixed point in X.

Note: If the mappings F, G, S, T, H and L satisfy F-contraction and (ϕ, F) -contraction, then the results also hold.

4. CONCLUSION

 C^* -algebra valued metric space is the generalization of various metric spaces. In this paper we have developed C_* -class function and introduced (Φ - C_*)-contraction of Hardy-Rogers type which is the generalization of F-contractions, (ϕ , F)-contractions and (ψ , φ)-weak contractions. Using this contraction we have established unique common fixed point results for six mappings in C^* -algebra valued metric spaces. We have given some relevant corollaries and examples on our results. In this paper some new ideas are given and our results generalize many previous results in the field of the fixed point theory on various metric spaces.

Acknowledgments

We are thankful to the learned referees for their valuable comments and constructive suggestions to improve the paper.

References

- M. Abbas, H. Aydi and S. Radenovic, Fixed Point of T-Hardy-Rogers Contractive Mappings in Partially Ordered Partial Metric Spaces, International Journal of Mathematics and Mathemetical Sciences, 313675(2012).
- [2] H.H. Alsulami, R.P. Agarwal, E. Karapinar and F. Khojasteh, A short note on C^{*}- valued contraction mappings, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, 50(2016).
- [3] M. Arshad, E. Ameer and A. Hussain, Hardy-Rogers-type Fixed Point Theorems for α - GF-Contractions, Archivum Mathematicum (BRNO), 51(3), (2015), 129-141.
- [4] S. Banach, Sur les operations dans les ensembles abstraits et leurs applications aux equations integrales, Fundam.Math., 3, (1922), 133-181.
- [5] S. Chandok, D. Kumar and C. Park, C^{*}-algebra valued metric space and fixed point theorems, Proceedings Mathematical Sciences, (2018), DOI:10.1007/s12044-019-0481-0.
- [6] V. Consentino and P. Vetro, Fixed point results for F-contractive mappings of Hardy-Rogers-Type, Filomat, 28 (4), (2014), 715-722.
- [7] A.S. Cvetkovic, M.P. Stanic, S. Dimitrijevic and S. Simic, Common fixed point theorems for four mappings on Cone Metric Type Space, Fixed point Theory and Applications, 589725 (2011).
- [8] D. Derouiche and H. Ramoul, New fixed point results for F-contractions of Hardy-Rogers type in b-metric spaces with applications, Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications, 22, Article No. 86(2020).

- [9] A. Farajzadeh, P. Chaudchawna and A. Kaewcharoen, Fixed point theorems for (α, η, ψ, ξ)-contractive multivalued mappings on (α, η)-complete partial metric spaces, J.Nonlinear Sci.Appl., 9, (2016), 1977-1990.
- [10] J.K. Jang, J.K. Yun, N.J. Bae, J.H. Kim, D.M. Lee and S.M. Kang, Common fixed point theorems of compatible mappings in metric spaces, International Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 84(1), (2013), 171-183.
- [11] S. Jankovic, Z. Golubovic and S. Radenovic, Compatible and weakly compatible mappings in cone metric spaces, Mathematical and Computer Modelling, 52 (9-10), (2010), 1728-1738.
- [12] N. Hussain, M.A. Kutbi and P. Salimi, Fixed point theory in α-complete metric spaces with applications, Abstract and Applied Analysis, 2014, DOI: 10.1155/2014/280817.
- [13] D. Kumar, D. Rishi, C. Park and R.J. Lee, On fixed point in C*-algebra valued metric spaces using C_{*} class function, Int.J.Nonlinear Anal.Appl., **12** (2), (2021), 1157-1161.
- [14] Z. Ma and L. Jiang, C*-Algebra valued b-metric space and related fixed point theorems, Fixed point theory and applications, 222(2015), DOI: 10.1186/s13663-015-0471-6, 11 pages.
- [15] Z. Ma, L. Jiang and H. Sun, C^{*}-algebra valued metric spaces and related fixed point theorems, Fixed point Theory and Appl., 1, Article No. 206(2014), DOI:10.1186/1687-1812-2014-206.
- [16] H. Massit and M. Rossafi, Fixed point theorem for (ϕF) contraction on C^* algebra valued metric spaces, Eur.J.Math.Appl., **1**, Article No. 14(2021).
- [17] S.N. Mishra, Common fixed points of compatible mappings in PM-spaces, Math.Japon., 36, (1991), 283-289.
- [18] N. Mlaiki, M. Asim and M. Imad, C^{*}-algebra valued Partial b-Metric Spaces and Fixed Point Results with an Application, ∑ Mathematics, 1381(8), (2020), 11 pages.
- [19] B. Moeini, P. Kumar and H. Aydi, Zamfirescu type contractions on C^{*}-algebra valued metric spaces, Journal of Mathematical Analysis, 9 (1), (2018), 150-161.
- [20] P. Parvateesam, K. Tas and U.D. Patel, Common fixed point theorems for generalized (φ, ψ)-weak contraction condition in complete metric spaces, Journal of Inequalities and Applications, Article No. 13(2015), DOI 10.1186/s13660-015-0647-y.
- [21] H. Piri and P. Kumam, Some fixed point theorems concerning F-contraction in complete metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article number 210(2014).
- [22] H. Piri, S. Rahrovi and R. Zarghami, Some fixed point theorems on generalized symmetric metric spaces, Asian-European Journal of Mathematics, 14 (7), Article number 2150109 (2021).
- [23] S. Radenovic, P. Vetro, A. Nastasi and L.T. Quan, Coupled Fixed Point Theorems in C^{*}-Agebra-Valued b-Metric Spaces, Appl.Math.Inform. and Mech., 9 (1), (2017), 81-90.
- [24] D. K. Rishi, Unification of common fixed point in C*-algebra valued metric spaces, Journal of Physics: Conference Series, 2267(2022)012108.

- [25] M. Rossafi, H. Massit and S. Kabbaj, Fixed point theorem for (ϕ, MF) contraction on C^* -algebra valued metric spaces, Asian J. Math. Appl., 7, (2022), 8 pages.
- [26] S. Shukla, S. Radenovic and S. Pantelic, Some Fixed Point Theorems for Presic-Hardy-Rogers Type Contractions in Metric Spaces, Journal of Mathematics, 2013, Article No. 295093.
- [27] E.D. Shewar, S. Batul, T. Kamran and A. Ghiura, Caristi's fixed point theorem on C^{*}-algebra valued metric spaces, Journal of Nonlinear Science and Applications, 9, (2016), 584-588.
- [28] B. Singh and S. Jain, A fixed point theorem in menger space through weak compatibility, J.Math.Anal. and Appl., 301(2), (2005), 439-448.
- [29] O. Tripak, Common fixed points of G-nonexpansive mappings on Banach Spaces with a Graph, Fixed Point Theory and Applications, Article number 87(2016).
- [30] F. Vetro, F-contractions of Hardy-Rogers type and application to multistage decision process, Nonlinear Analysis:Modelling and Control, 21(4), (2016), 531-546.
- [31] Q. Xin, L. Jiang and Z. Ma, Common fixed point theorems in C^{*}-algebra valued metric spaces, J.Nonlinear Sci.Appl., 9, (2016), 4617-4627.
- [32] Z. Xue, G. Lv, A fixed point theorem for generalized (ψ, φ)-weak contractions in Branciari type generalized metric spaces, Fixed Point Theory and Algorithms for Sciences and Engineering, Article No. 1(2021).
- [33] Q. Yang, C. Bai, Fixed point theorem for orthogonal contraction of Hardy-Rogerstype mapping on 0-complete metric spaces, AIMS Mathematics, 5(6), (2020), 5734-5742.
- [34] C. W. McArthur, Convergence of monotone nets in ordered topological vector spaces, Studia Mathematica, T.XXXIV, (1970).

Mithun Paul

Department of Mathematics, Raiganj University , P.O. 733134, Raiganj, West Bengal, India

Email: mithunmscmath@gmail.com

Kalishankar Tiwary

Department of Mathematics, Raiganj University , P.O. 733134, Raiganj,West Bengal, India

Email: tiwarykalishankar@yahoo.com