# A STUDY ON THE GROWTH OF GENERALIST ITERATED ENTIRE FUNCTIONS

#### RATAN KUMAR DUTTA

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study growth properties of generalist iterated entire functions.

Key Words: Entire functions, Growth, Iteration.2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 30D35.

### 1. INTRODUCTION, DEFINITIONS AND NOTATIONS

For any two transcendental entire functions f(z) and g(z) defined in the open complex plane C, it is well known [3] that  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log T(r,f_og)}{T(r,f)} = \infty$  and  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \frac{\log T(r,f_og)}{T(r,g)} = 0$ . Later on Singh [12] investigated some comparative growth of  $logT(r, f_og)$  and T(r, f). Farther in [12] he raised the problem of investing the comparative growth of  $logT(r, f_og)$  and T(r,g). However some results on the comparative growth of  $logT(r, f_og)$  and T(r,g) are proved in [8].

Recently Banerjee and Dutta [1], and Dutta [4], [5], [6] made close investigation on comparative growth properties of iterated entire functions to generalist some earlier results.

In this paper we consider three entire functions f(z), g(z) and h(z)and following Banerjee and Mandal [2] form the iterations of f(z) with respect to g(z) and h(z) [defined below] and generalist the results of Banerjee and Dutta [1] in this direction.

Received: 8 July 2020, Accepted: 30 August 2020. Communicated by Nasrin Eghbali;

<sup>\*</sup>Address correspondence to R. K. Dutta; E-mail: ratan\_3128@yahoo.com

<sup>© 2020</sup> University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

<sup>115</sup> 

$$\begin{aligned} f_1(z) &= f(z) \\ f_2(z) &= f(g(z)) = f(g_1(z)) \\ f_3(z) &= f(g(h(z))) = f(g(h_1(z))) = f(g_2(z)) \\ f_4(z) &= f(g(h(f(z)))) = f(g(h_2(z))) = f(g_3(z)) \\ &\vdots \\ f_n(z) &= f(g(h(f..(f(z) \text{ or } g(z) \text{ or } h(z) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1 \\ &\text{ or } 3m)...))) \\ &= f(g_{n-1}(z)) = f(g(h_{n-2}(z))) . \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$g_{1}(z) = g(z)$$

$$g_{2}(z) = g(h(z)) = g(h_{1}(z))$$

$$g_{3}(z) = g(h(f(z))) = g(h(f_{1}(z))) = g(h_{2}(z))$$

$$g_{4}(z) = g(h(f(g(z)))) = g(h(f_{2}(z))) = g(h_{3}(z))$$

$$\vdots$$

$$g_{n}(z) = g(h(f(g...(g(z) \text{ or } h(z) \text{ or } f(z) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1$$

$$\text{ or } 3m)...)))$$

$$= g(h_{n-1}(z)) = g(h(f_{n-2}(z)))$$

 $\quad \text{and} \quad$ 

$$\begin{array}{lll} h_1\left(z\right) &=& h\left(z\right) \\ h_2\left(z\right) &=& h\left(f\left(z\right)\right) = h\left(f_1\left(z\right)\right) \\ h_3\left(z\right) &=& h\left(f\left(g\left(z\right)\right)\right) = h\left(f\left(g_1\left(z\right)\right)\right) = h\left(f_2\left(z\right)\right) \\ h_4\left(z\right) &=& h\left(f\left(g\left(h\left(z\right)\right)\right)\right) = h\left(f\left(g_2\left(z\right)\right)\right) = h\left(f_3\left(z\right)\right) \\ &\vdots \\ h_n\left(z\right) &=& h(f(g(h...(h\left(z\right) \text{ or } f\left(z\right) \text{ or } g\left(z\right) \text{ according as } n = 3m - 2 \text{ or } 3m - 1 \\ &\text{ or } 3m)...))) \\ &=& h\left(f_{n-1}\left(z\right)\right) = h\left(f\left(g_{n-2}\left(z\right)\right)\right). \end{array}$$

Clearly all  $f_n(z)$ ,  $g_n(z)$  and  $h_n(z)$  are entire functions.

For two non-constant entire functions f(z) and g(z), we have the well known inequality

(1.1) 
$$\log M(r, f(g)) \le \log M(M(r, g), f)$$

**Definition 1.1.** The order  $\rho_f$  and lower order  $\lambda_f$  of a meromorphic function f(z) is defined as

$$\rho_f = \lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_f = \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log T(r, f)}{\log r}.$$

If f(z) is entire then

$$\rho_f = \lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

and

$$\lambda_f = \lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log \log M(r, f)}{\log r}$$

**Definition 1.2.** A function  $\lambda_f(r)$  is called a lower proximate order of a meromorphic function f(z) if

(i)  $\lambda_f(r)$  is nonnegative and continuous for  $r \ge r_0$ , say;

(ii)  $\lambda_f(r)$  is differentiable for  $r \ge r_0$  except possibly at isolated points at which  $\lambda'_f(r-0)$  and  $\lambda'_f(r+0)$  exist;

- (iii)  $\lim_{r\to\infty} \lambda_f(r) = \lambda_f < \infty;$
- (iv)  $\lim_{r\to\infty} r\lambda'_f(r)\log r = 0$ ; and
- (v)  $\liminf_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r,f)}{r^{\lambda_f(r)}} = 1.$

**Notation 1.3.** [11] Let  $log^{[0]}x = x$ ,  $exp^{[0]}x = x$  and for positive integer m,  $log^{[m]}x = log(log^{[m-1]}x)$ ,  $exp^{[m]}x = exp(exp^{[m-1]}x)$ .

Throughout we assume f(z), g(z), h(z) etc. are non constant entire functions having respective orders  $\rho_f, \rho_g, \rho_h$  and respective lower orders  $\lambda_f, \lambda_g, \lambda_h$ . Also we do not explain the standard notations and definitions of the theory of entire and meromorphic functions because those are available in [7].

### 2. Lemmas

The following lemmas will be needed in the sequel.

**Lemma 2.1.** [7] Let f(z) be an entire function. For  $0 \le r < R < \infty$ , we have

$$T(r,f) \le \log^+ M(r,f) \le \frac{R+r}{R-r}T(R,f).$$

**Lemma 2.2.** [10] Let f(z) and g(z) be two entire functions. Then we have

$$T(r, f(g)) \ge \frac{1}{3} \log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4}, g\right) + O(1), f\right).$$

**Lemma 2.3.** [9] Let f(z) be a meromorphic function. Then for  $\delta(>0)$  the function  $r^{\lambda_f} + \delta - \lambda_f(r)$  is an increasing function of r.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let f(z), g(z) and h(z) be three non-constant entire functions of finite order and nonzero lower order. Then for any  $\varepsilon$   $(0 < \varepsilon < \min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g, \lambda_h\})$ 

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \leq \begin{cases} (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, h) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k \\ (\rho_h + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k + 1 \\ (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k + 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \ge \begin{cases} (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, h\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k\\ (\lambda_h - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k + 1\\ (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, g\right) + O(1) & \text{when } n = 3k + 2. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* For  $\varepsilon(>0)$  we get from Lemma 2.1 and (1.1) for all large values of r

$$\begin{split} T(r,f_n) &\leq \log M(r,f_n) \\ &\leq \log M(M(r,g_{n-1}),f) \\ &\leq [M(r,g_{n-1})]^{\rho_f + \varepsilon}, \\ \text{that is, } \log T(r,f_n) &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r,g_{n-1}) \\ &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(M(r,h_{n-2}),g) \\ &\leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) [M(r,h_{n-2})]^{\rho_g + \varepsilon}. \\ \text{So, } \log^{[2]} T(r,f_n) &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(M(r,f_{n-3}),h) + O(1) \\ &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) [M(r,f_{n-3})]^{\rho_h + \varepsilon} + O(1). \\ \end{split}$$
Therefore,  $\log^{[n-1]} T(r,f_n) &\leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r,h) + O(1) \text{ when } n = 3k. \end{split}$ 

Similarly

r

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \le (\rho_h + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1) \quad \text{when } n = 3k + 1,$$

and

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \le (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1) \quad \text{when } n = 3k + 2.$$

Again for  $\varepsilon$  (0 <  $\varepsilon$  <min{ $\lambda_f, \lambda_g, \lambda_h$ }), we get from Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, for all large values of r

$$\begin{split} T(r,f_n) &= T(r,f(g_{n-1})) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}\log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4},g_{n-1}\right) + O(1),f\right) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4},g_{n-1}\right) + O(1)\right]^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{1}{9}M\left(\frac{r}{4},g_{n-1}\right)\right]^{\lambda_f - \varepsilon} , \\ \text{that is, } \log T(r,f_n) &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)\log M\left(\frac{r}{4},g_{n-1}\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)T\left(\frac{r}{4},g_{n-1}\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)\frac{1}{3}\log M\left(\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4^2},h_{n-2}\right) + O(1),g\right) + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)\frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{1}{8}M\left(\frac{r}{4^2},h_{n-2}\right) + O(1)\right]^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1) \\ &\geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon)\frac{1}{3}\left[\frac{1}{9}M\left(\frac{r}{4^2},h_{n-2}\right)\right]^{\lambda_g - \varepsilon} + O(1), \end{split}$$

that is,  $\log^{[2]} T(r, f_n) \ge (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^2}, h_{n-2}\right) + O(1).$ So,  $\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \ge (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, h\right) + O(1)$  when n = 3k. Similarly

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \ge (\lambda_h - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, f\right) + O(1) \quad \text{when } n = 3k + 1,$$
  
and

 $\log^{[n-1]} T(r,f_n) \geq (\lambda_f - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}},g\right) + O(1) \quad \text{when } n = 3k+2.$ This proves the lemma. 

## 3. Theorems

**Theorem 3.1.** Let f(z), g(z) and h(z) be three non-constant entire functions of finite order and nonzero lower order, then

(i) 
$$\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, h)} \leq 3\rho_g 2^{\lambda_h},$$
  
(ii) 
$$\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, h)} \geq \frac{\lambda_g}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_h}}$$

when n = 3kand

(*iii*) 
$$\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, f)} \leq 3\rho_h 2^{\lambda_f},$$
  
(*iv*) 
$$\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, f)} \geq \frac{\lambda_h}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_f}}$$

when n = 3k + 1. Also when n = 3k + 2,

(v) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, g)} \leq 3\rho_f 2^{\lambda_g},$$
  
(vi) 
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, g)} \geq \frac{\lambda_f}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_g}}.$$

*Proof.* Since f(z), g(z) and h(z) are three non-constant entire functions of finite order and nonzero lower order so from Lemma 2.4 for arbitrary  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,

(3.1) 
$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \le (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, h) + O(1)$$

when n = 3k.

Let  $0 < \varepsilon < \min\{1, \lambda_f, \lambda_q, \lambda_h\}$ . Since

$$\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{T(r,h)}{r^{\lambda_h(r)}} = 1,$$

there is a sequence of values of r tending to infinity for which

(3.2) 
$$T(r,h) < (1+\varepsilon)r^{\lambda_h(r)}$$

and for all large value of r

(3.3) 
$$T(r,h) > (1-\varepsilon)r^{\lambda_h(r)}.$$

Thus for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity we get for any  $\delta(>0)$ 

$$\frac{\log M(r,h)}{T(r,h)} \leq \frac{3T(2r,h)}{T(r,h)} \leq \frac{3(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} \frac{(2r)^{\lambda_h+\delta}}{(2r)^{\lambda_h+\delta-\lambda_h(2r)}} \frac{1}{r^{\lambda_h(r)}} \\ \leq \frac{3(1+\varepsilon)}{1-\varepsilon} 2^{\lambda_h+\delta}$$

because  $r^{\lambda_h+\delta-\lambda_h(r)}$  is an increasing function of r. Since  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\delta > 0$  be arbitrary, we have

(3.4) 
$$\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log M(r,h)}{T(r,h)} \le 3.2^{\lambda_h}.$$

Therefore from (3.1) and (3.4) we get

$$\lim \inf_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, h)} \le 3\rho_g 2^{\lambda_h},$$

when n = 3k.

Again for n = 3k we have from Lemma 2.4,

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \log M\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, h\right) + O(1)$$
  
$$\geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) T\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}, h\right) + O(1)$$
  
$$\geq (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) (1 - \varepsilon) (1 + O(1)) \frac{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_h + \delta}}{\left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)^{\lambda_h + \delta - \lambda_h} \left(\frac{r}{4^{n-1}}\right)}, \text{ by } (3.3).$$

Since  $r^{\lambda_h + \delta - \lambda_h(r)}$  is an increasing function of r, we have

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \ge (\lambda_g - \varepsilon)(1 - \varepsilon)(1 + O(1)) \frac{r^{\lambda_h(r)}}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_h + \delta}}$$

for all large values of r.

So by (3.2) for a sequence of values of r tending to infinity

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \ge (\lambda_g - \varepsilon) \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{1 + \varepsilon} (1 + O(1)) \frac{T(r, h)}{(4^{n-1})^{\lambda_h + \delta}}.$$

Since  $\varepsilon$  and  $\delta$  are arbitrary, it follows from the above that

$$\lim \sup_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(r, h)} \ge \frac{\lambda_g}{\left(4^{n-1}\right)^{\lambda_h}}.$$

Similarly for n = 3k + 1 and 3k + 2 we get the other results. This proves the theorem.

.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let f(z), g(z) and h(z) be three entire functions with nonzero lower order and finite order, then for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} = 0 \quad for \ all \ natural \ number \ n.$$

*Proof.* First suppose n = 3k then by Lemma 2.4, for all sufficiently large values of r and  $\varepsilon(0 < \varepsilon < min\{\lambda_f, \lambda_g, \lambda_h\})$ ,

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \leq (\rho_g + \varepsilon) \log M(r, h) + O(1),$$
  
$$\log M(r, h) < r^{\rho_h + \varepsilon}$$
  
and 
$$T(\exp(r), f^{(k)}) > e^{r^{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)}}.$$

lSo

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\rho_g + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_h + \varepsilon}}{e^{r^{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)}}} + o(1)$$
$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} = 0.$$

Similarly for n = 3k + 1, we have

*.*..

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \leq (\rho_h + \varepsilon) \log M(r, f) + O(1),$$
  
and 
$$\log M(r, f) < r^{\rho_f + \varepsilon}.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\rho_h + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_f + \varepsilon}}{e^{r^{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)}}} + o(1).$$
$$\therefore \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} = 0.$$

Also when n = 3k + 2, then,

$$\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \leq (\rho_f + \varepsilon) \log M(r, g) + O(1),$$
  
and 
$$\log M(r, g) < r^{\rho_g + \varepsilon}.$$

 $\operatorname{So}$ 

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \leq \frac{(\rho_f + \varepsilon)r^{\rho_g + \varepsilon}}{e^{r^{(\lambda_f - \varepsilon)}}} + o(1).$$
$$\therefore \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} = 0.$$

This proves the theorem.

122

*Remark* 3.3. The finite order of the functions is necessary for Theorem 3.2, which is shown by the following example.

Example 3.4. Let  $f(z) = g(z) = \exp z$  and  $h(z) = \exp^{[2]} z$  then  $\lambda_f = \rho_f = \lambda_g = \rho_g = 1$  and  $\rho_h = \infty$ . Now when n = 3k

$$f_n(z) = \exp^{\left\lfloor\frac{4n}{3}\right\rfloor} z.$$

Therefore,

$$3T(2r, f_n) \geq \log M(r, f_n) = \exp^{\left[\frac{4n}{3} - 1\right]} r$$
  
i.e.  $T(r, f_n) \geq \frac{1}{3} \exp^{\left[\frac{4n}{3} - 1\right]} \frac{r}{2}$   
 $\therefore \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq \exp^{\left[\frac{4n}{3} - 1 - n + 1\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)$   
 $= \exp^{\left[\frac{n}{3}\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1).$ 

Also when n = 3k + 1,

$$f_n(z) = \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-1}{3}\right]} z.$$

Therefore

$$3T(2r, f_n) \geq \log M(r, f_n) = \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-1}{3}-1\right]} r$$
  
i.e.  $T(r, f_n) \geq \frac{1}{3} \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-1}{3}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}$   
 $\therefore \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-1}{3}-1-n+1\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)$   
 $= \exp^{\left[\frac{n-1}{3}\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1).$ 

If n = 3k + 1,

$$f_n(z) = \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-2}{3}\right]} z.$$

Therefore

$$3T(2r, f_n) \geq \log M(r, f_n) = \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-2}{3}-1\right]} r$$
  
i.e.  $T(r, f_n) \geq \frac{1}{3} \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-2}{3}-1\right]} \frac{r}{2}$   
 $\therefore \log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n) \geq \exp^{\left[\frac{4n-2}{3}-1-n+1\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)$   
 $= \exp^{\left[\frac{n-2}{3}\right]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1).$ 

Also

$$T(\exp(r), f^{(k)}) = \frac{e^r}{\pi}.$$

Therefore

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\exp^{[\frac{n}{3}]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)}{e^r / \pi} \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty \text{ and } n = 3k,$$

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\exp^{[\frac{n-1}{3}]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)}{e^r / \pi} \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty \text{ and } n = 3k + 1,$$

$$\frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), f^{(k)})} \geq \frac{\exp^{[\frac{n-2}{3}]} \frac{r}{2} + o(1)}{e^r / \pi} \not\rightarrow 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty \text{ and } n = 3k + 2.$$

**Theorem 3.5.** Let f(z), g(z) and h(z) be three entire functions with nonzero lower order and finite order, then for  $k = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$ 

$$\lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), g^{(k)})} = 0 \text{ and } \lim_{r \to \infty} \frac{\log^{[n-1]} T(r, f_n)}{T(\exp(r), h^{(k)})} = 0 \text{ for all natural number } n$$

#### References

- [1] D. Banerjee and R. K. Dutta, *The growth of iterated entire functions*, Bulletin of Mathematical analysis and applications, **3(3)** (2011), 35–49.
- [2] D. Banerjee and B. Mandal, Relative fix points of a certain class of complex functions, Istanbul Univ. Sci. Fac. J. Math. Phys. Astr., 6, (2015), 15–25.
- [3] J. Clunie, The composition of entire and meromorphic functions, Mathematical essays dedicated to A. J. Macintyre, Ohio Univ. Press, (1970), 75–92.
- [4] R. K. Dutta, Further growth of iterated entire functions-I, Journal of Mathematical Inequalities, 5(4) (2011), 533–550.
- [5] R. K. Dutta, Growth of iterated entire functions in terms of its maximum term, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 30 (2012), 209–219.
- [6] R. K. Dutta, The growth estimate of iterated entire functions, Acta Universitatis Apulensis, 34 (2013), 81–87.
- [7] W. K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1964.
- [8] I. Lahiri, Growth of composite integral functions, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 20(9) (1989), 899–907.
- [9] I. Lahiri and S. K. Datta, On the growth of composite entire and meromorphic functions, Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math., 35(4) (2004), 525–543.
- [10] K. Niino and C. C. Yang, Some growth relationships on factors of two composite entire functions, Factorization Theory of Meromorphic Functions and Related Topics, Marcel Dekker Inc. (New York and Basel), (1982), 95–99.
- [11] D. Sato, On the rate of growth of entire functions of fast growth, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 69 (1963), 411–414.
- [12] A. P. Singh, Growth of composite entire functions, Kodai Math. J., 8 (1985), 99–102.

#### Ratan Kumar Dutta

Department of Mathematics, Rishi Bankim Chandra College, West Bengal, Naihati-743165, India

Email: ratan\_3128@yahoo.com