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#### Abstract

In this paper we study a discrete time version of deterministic models in optimization in infinite dimensional. The functionals are assumed to be merely lower semi continuous. We obtain optimality conditions which are always necessary and which are also sufficient in the convex case whenever the given problem satisfies a qualification condition.
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## 1. Introduction

Our aim here is to treat optimization problems of Bolza type in the context of infinite dimensional Banach spaces which can't be consequences of the results in the finite dimensional cases (see SahraouiThibault (2008)). Let $X$ a Banach space and $l: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$, $L_{t}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$, for all $t=1, \cdots, T$ which is supposed to be lower semi continuous (briefly, l.s.c). For each vector $x=\left(x_{0}, \cdots, x_{T}\right) \in$ $X^{T+1}$, we associate the differences $\Delta x_{t}=x_{t}-x_{t-1}$ and also the problem which consist to minimize the function

$$
j(x)=l\left(x_{0}, x_{1}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{t}\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right)
$$

[^0]over the space of all the vectors $x \in X^{T+1}$. We note that the function $j$ is l.s.c over $X^{T+1}$. This is the problem of Bolza type on the Banach space $X$ and at discrete time.

In this problem of Bolza type, which is noted $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\text {det }}\right)$, the constraints are implicit in the inequality $j(x)<\infty$. Consider now a closed subset $C$ of $X \times X$ and, for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, let $F_{t}: X \rightrightarrows X$ be a multifunction with a closed graph. For the functions $l$ and $L_{t}$ with finite values and locally Lipschitzian, we consider the problem with explicit constraints $\mathcal{P}_{C, F}(l, L)$ described above but satisfying the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right) \in C \quad \text { and } \quad \Delta x_{t} \in F_{t}\left(x_{t-1}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Implicitly in the dynamic constraint $\Delta x_{t} \in F_{t}\left(x_{t-1}\right)$ is the state constraint $x_{t-1} \in Z_{t}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$ or $Z_{t}=\left\{z_{t} \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \mid F_{t}\left(Z_{t}\right) \neq \emptyset\right\}$.
We will establish the optimality necessary conditions of those problems in two contexts, the first one is when the Banach space $X$ is an Asplund space, and in the second context $X$ is an arbitrary Banach space. We have already remind the concept of the limiting subdifferential and a tot of basic elements in the context of Asplund space (see Sahraoui and Thibault (2008)). Outside the Asplund spaces, the limiting subdifferential, for all locally Lipschitzian functions, also can be empty at all point of the domain. For the spaces which are not Asplund spaces, the limiting subdifferential has not the calculus rules. So in this case we will use the Clarke subdifferential.

## 2. Definitions and preliminaries

To introduce the concept of Clarke's subdifferential, firstly we need to define the concept of tangent cone of Clarke. In all the rest $X$ is a real Banach space. Let $C$ be a nonempty closed subset of $X$ and $\bar{x}$ a point of $C$. We say that a vector $v \in X$ is in the tangent cone of Clarke to $C$ at the point $\bar{x}$ see Clarke, Stern and Wolenski(1995), and we write $v \in T_{c}(C, \bar{x})$, when there exist some sequences $t_{n} \downarrow 0, x_{n} \xrightarrow{C} \bar{x}$ and $v_{n} \rightarrow v$ such that, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $x_{n}+t_{n} v_{n} \in C$. The normal cone of Clarke to $C$ at the point $\bar{x} \in C$ is given by the negative polar cone of tangent cone, which means

$$
N_{c}(C, \bar{x})=\left(T_{c}(C, \bar{x})\right)^{o}=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left\langle x^{*}, v\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall v \in T_{c}(C, \bar{x})\right\} .
$$

Let now $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{+\infty\}$ be a l.s.c function and $\bar{x}$ a point where $f$ is finite. considering the normal cone to the epigraph epi $f$ of $f$ we can define the Clarke subdifferential of $f$ at $\bar{x}$ by

$$
\partial_{c} f(\bar{x}):=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left(x^{*},-1\right) \in N_{c}(\operatorname{epi} f,(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})))\right\} .
$$

We define also the singular subdifferential of Clarke by

$$
\partial_{c}^{\infty} f(\bar{x}):=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left(x^{*}, 0\right) \in N_{c}(\text { epi } f,(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x})))\right\} .
$$

As usual, we put $\partial_{c} f(\bar{x})=\emptyset=\partial_{c}^{\infty} f(\bar{x})$ when $f(\bar{x})$ is not finite. Contrary to limiting subdifferential, the Clarke subdifferential can be found through its suport function see Rockafellar(1980) and Clarke(1983). For each vector $v \in X$ and $\bar{x} \in \operatorname{dom} f$, we consider the general directional derivative of Rockafellar at the direction $v$ defined by

$$
d^{\uparrow}(\bar{x} ; v):=\lim \sup _{x \rightarrow f} \inf _{f} t^{-1}\left[f\left(x+t v^{\prime}\right)-f(x)\right],
$$

with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lim \sup _{x \rightarrow f \bar{x}} \inf _{v^{\prime} \rightarrow v} \psi\left(x, v^{\prime}\right)=\inf _{\varepsilon>0} \sup _{\eta>0} \inf _{\|x-\bar{x}\|<\varepsilon} \sup _{\left\|v^{\prime}-v\right\|<\eta} \psi\left(x, v^{\prime}\right) . \\
& |f(x)-f(\bar{x})|<\varepsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

Rockafellar(1979) had proved that this directional derivative is a function of $v$ which is sublinear and l.s.c and it was also proved that the Clarke subdifferential is characterize by

$$
\partial_{c} f(\bar{x})=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left\langle x^{*}, v\right\rangle \leq d^{\uparrow} f(\bar{x} ; v) \forall v \in X\right\} .
$$

Concerning the calculus rules, we start by considering the case of the composition with a linear continuous surjective mapping. Let $A: Z \rightarrow$ $X$ a linear continuous surjective mapping and $z \in Z$ with $A z \in \operatorname{dom} f$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{c}(f \circ A)(z)=A^{*} \partial_{c} f(A z) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

2.1. Around the Borwein property. We need also to remind the Borwein property for the closed sets of a Banach space. So the closed subset $C$ satisfies the Borwein property at $\bar{x} \in C$ (see Borwein(1982)) when there exist two reals numbers $r, s \in] 0,+\infty[$, a closed convex subset $W$ which the polar $W^{o}$ is weakly locally compact and a vector $v \in X$ such that

$$
C \cap B(\bar{x}, r)+[0, s](v+W) \subset C
$$

when $W^{o}:=\left\{x^{*} \in X^{*} \mid\left\langle x^{*}, x\right\rangle \leq 0, \forall x \in W\right\}$. If the dimension of $X$ is finite, obviously all closed subset has the Borwein property at each its point. Although if $C$ has the Borwein property at $x \in C$, then $C$ is
compactly epi-Lipschitzian at $x$ see Borwein(1987). Thus, and if $X$ is an Asplund space and if the set $C$ has the Borwein property at $x$, then this later is normally compact at the point $x$.

We can extended this notion for the functions by using their epigraph. A function l.s.c $f: X \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ is said to have the Borwein property at a point $\bar{x}$ when $f(\bar{x})$ is finite if its epigraph epi $f$ has the Borwein property at the point $(\bar{x}, f(\bar{x}))$. This can be also translated by the fact that there exist three reals $\beta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $r, s \in] 0,+\infty[$, a closed convex subset $W$ with the polar $W^{o}$ is weakly locally compact and a vector $v \in X$ such that

$$
\sup _{w \in W} t^{-1}[f(x+t v+t w)-f(x)] \leq \beta,
$$

for all $t \in] 0, s]$ et $x \in B(\bar{x}, r)$ with $|f(x)-f(\bar{x})|<r$.

## 3. Necessary optimality conditions

Through the functions satisfying the Borwein property we can state the following result of Jourani and Thibault (1996).

Theorem 3.1. Let $X$ be an arbitrary Banach space and let $f_{i}$ be l.s.c functions around a point $\bar{x}$ where they are all finite, for $i=1, \cdots, n$. We suppose that all these functions satisfy the Borwein property at $\bar{x}$ possibly excepted one of them and assume that we have the following qualification condition
$\left[x_{i}^{*} \in \partial_{c}^{\infty} f_{i}, i=1, \cdots, n / x_{1}^{*}+\ldots+x_{n}^{*}=0\right] \Rightarrow x_{1}^{*}=\ldots=x_{n}^{*}=0$.
Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{c}\left(f_{1}+\ldots+f_{n}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset\left(\partial_{c} f_{1}+\ldots+\partial_{c} f_{n}\right)(\bar{x}) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can now start the study of the problem of Bolza type in infinite dimensional. Firstly, we establish the following fundamental result.

### 3.1. Basic theorem.

Theorem 3.2. Let $X$ be an Asplund space and let $\bar{x} \in X^{T+1}$ be a solution of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\text {det }}\right)$ with $l$ and $L_{t}$ l.s.c. Assume that the function $l$ is normally compact at $\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and that $L_{t}$ is normally compact at $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t \in 1, \cdots, T$. We also suppose that the following qualification condition
$Q(\bar{x})$ holds:

The only vector $y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, \cdots, y_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ for which $\left(y_{0}^{*},-y_{T}^{*}\right) \in$ $\partial^{\infty} l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$ is the zero vector in $\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$.

Then there exists a vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(\mathbb{X}^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that:
a) $\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$
b) $\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$.

Proof. We follow the same procedures of the finite dimensional case as in Sahraoui-Thibault (2008) introducing good number of modifications and some new arguments. We will also make call at new results.

Step 1. Consider the function $\varphi: X^{T+1} \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$

$$
x \mapsto \varphi(x):=l\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{t}\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right)
$$

and put

$$
\varphi_{0}(x):=l\left(x_{0}, x_{t}\right)=\left(l \circ A_{0}\right)(x)
$$

and

$$
\varphi_{t}(x):=L_{t}\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right)=\left(L_{t} \circ A_{t}\right)(x), \quad \text { for } t=1, \cdots, T
$$

where $A_{0}, A_{t}: X^{T+1} \longrightarrow X^{2}$ are the linear continuous and surjective mappings defined by:

$$
A_{0} x:=\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right) \text { and } A_{t} x:=\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right) \text { for all } t=1, \cdots, T
$$

As $\bar{x}$ is a solution of the minimization problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\text {det }}\right)$, the point $\bar{x}$ is a minimum of the function $\varphi$ and so

$$
0 \in \partial \varphi(\bar{x})=\partial\left(\varphi_{0}+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varphi_{t}\right)(\bar{x})
$$

Step 2. Prove now the qualification condition in terms of singular subdifferentials holds for the functions $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{T}$.
In effect we will prove that, for all $y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, \ldots, y_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$, such that

$$
\sum_{t=0}^{T} y_{t}^{*}=0 \text { with } y_{t}^{*} \in \partial^{\infty} \varphi_{t}(\bar{x}) \text { for all } t=0, \cdots, T
$$

we have necessary $y^{*}=0$.
First we fix an arbitrary $y^{*}$. As the linear continuous mappings $A_{t}$ are surjective for all $t=0, \cdots, T$, we have

$$
y_{0}^{*} \in \partial^{\infty} \varphi_{0}(\bar{x})=\partial^{\infty}\left(l \circ A_{0}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset A_{0}^{*} \partial^{\infty} l\left(A_{0} \bar{x}\right)
$$

and

$$
y_{t}^{*} \in \partial^{\infty} \varphi_{t}(\bar{x})=\partial^{\infty}\left(L_{t} \circ A_{t}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset A_{t}^{*} \partial^{\infty} L_{t}\left(A_{t} \bar{x}\right), \quad \forall t=1, \cdots, T,
$$

so there exists some:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{0}^{*}=\left(z_{0}^{1}, z_{0}^{2}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right) \text { such that } y_{0}^{*}=A_{0}^{*} z_{0}^{*} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and some
$z_{t}^{*}=\left(z_{t}^{1}, z_{T}^{2}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ such that $y_{t}^{*}=A_{t}^{*} z_{t}^{*} \quad$ for $t=1, \cdots, T$.
Now we must calculate $A_{0}^{*}$ et $A_{t}^{*}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$.
We have $A_{t}^{*}:\left(X^{*}\right)^{2} \longrightarrow\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}, t=1, \cdots, T$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A_{0}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right), h\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}} & =\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right), A_{0} h\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}}=\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right),\left(h_{0}, h_{T}\right)\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}, 0, \ldots, 0, z_{2}^{*}\right),\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \cdots, h_{T}\right)\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
A_{0}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(z_{1}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0, z_{2}^{*}\right) \quad \text { for all }\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}
$$

Following the same procedures for $A_{1}^{*}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle A_{1}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right), h\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}} & =\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right), A_{1} h\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}}=\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right),\left(h_{0}, h_{1}-h_{0}\right)\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{2}} \\
& =\left\langle\left(z_{1}^{*}-z_{2}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right),\left(h_{0}, h_{1}, \cdots, h_{T}\right)\right\rangle_{\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}},
\end{aligned}
$$

so

$$
A_{1}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(z_{1}^{*}-z_{2}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \text { for all }\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{2} .
$$

And we can write:

$$
A_{t}^{*}\left(z_{1}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}\right)=\left(0, \cdots, 0, z_{1}^{*}-z_{2}^{*}, z_{2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \text { for all } t=1, \cdots, T \text {. }
$$

Consequently, by the relations (3.3) and (3.4) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{0}^{*} & =\left(z_{0,1}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0, z_{0,2}^{*}\right) \\
y_{1}^{*} & =\left(z_{1,1}^{*}-z_{1,2}^{*}, z_{1,2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \\
\vdots & \\
y_{t}^{*} & =\left(0, \cdots, 0, z_{t, 1}^{*}-z_{t, 2}^{*}, z_{t, 2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right) \\
y_{T}^{*} & =\left(0, \cdots, 0, z_{T, 1}^{*}-z_{T, 2}^{*}, z_{T, 2}^{*}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

As $\sum_{t=0}^{T} y_{t}^{*}=0$, we obtain
(a) $z^{*} 0,1+z_{1,1}^{*}-z_{1,2}^{*}=0$
(b) $z_{t-1,2}^{*}+z_{t, 1}^{*}-z_{t, 2}^{*}=0$ for $t=2, \cdots, T-1$
(c) $z_{0,2}^{*}+z_{T, 2}^{*}=0$.

We put: $q_{0}^{*}=z_{0,1}^{*}$ and $q_{t}^{*}=z_{t, 2}^{*}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$. Then for all $t=2, \cdots, T-1$ we have $\Delta q_{t}^{*}=q_{t}^{*}-q_{t-1}^{*}=z_{t, 2}^{*}-z_{t-1,2}^{*}$ and so from the equality (b) we have $\Delta q_{t}^{*}=z_{t, 1}^{*}$, from the equality (a) we have $\Delta q_{1}^{*}=q_{1}^{*}-q_{0}^{*}=z_{1,2}^{*}-z_{0,1}^{*}=z_{1,1}^{*}$ and from the equality (c) we also have $q_{T}^{*}=z_{T, 2}^{*}=-z_{0,2}^{*}$. If we substitute in the relations (3.3) and (3.4), we obtain
$\left(q_{0}^{*},-q_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta q_{t}^{*}, q_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$.
According to the qualification conditions $Q(\bar{x})$ that we have assumed, we see that $q_{0}^{*}=q_{1}^{*}=\cdots=q_{T}^{*}=0$, and then $0=q_{0}^{*}=z_{0,1}^{*} ; 0=q_{t}^{*}=$ $z_{t, 2}^{*} ; 0=\Delta q_{t}^{*}=z_{t, 1}^{*}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T ; 0=q_{T}^{*}=-z_{0,2}^{*}$. This yields $z_{0,1}^{*}=z_{0,2}^{*}=z_{t, 1}^{*}=z_{t, 2}^{*}=0$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$ and hence

$$
y_{0}^{*}=A^{*} z_{0}^{*}=0 \text { and } y_{t}^{*}=A^{*} z_{t}^{*}=0 \text { for all } t=1, \cdots, T,
$$

which means

$$
y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, y_{1}^{*}, \cdots, y_{T}^{*}\right)=0 .
$$

Etape 3 Through the surjectively of the linear continuous mapping $A_{t}$ and the normally compact property of $l$ and $L_{t}$, we verify that the functions $\varphi_{t}$ are normally compact at $\bar{x}$. As these functions are l.s.c over the Asplund space $X^{T+1}$ for all $t=0, \cdots, T$ and as the qualification condition of the Step 2 above holds, we have by the calculus rules of subdifferential of sum as in Mordukhovich-Shao (1996) and SahraouiThibault (2008)

$$
\partial\left(\varphi_{0}+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varphi_{t}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset \partial \varphi_{0}(\bar{x})+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \partial \varphi_{t}(\bar{x}),
$$

which gives

$$
0 \in \partial\left(l \circ A_{0}\right)(\bar{x})+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \partial\left(L_{t} \circ A_{t}\right)(\bar{x}) .
$$

This ensures the existence of $\xi_{0} \in \partial\left(l \circ A_{0}\right)(\bar{x})$ and $\xi_{t} \in \partial\left(L_{t} \circ A_{t}\right)(\bar{x})$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$ such that $\sum_{t=0}^{T} \xi_{t}=0$. As the linear mappings $A_{t}$ are continuous and surjective and that the functions $l$ and $L_{t}$ are l.s.c for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, according to the calculus rule of subdifferential of composition function as in Mordukhovich-Shao (1996) and SahraouiThibault (2008) we have
$\partial\left(l \circ A_{0}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset A_{0}^{*} \partial l\left(A_{0} \bar{x}\right)$ and $\partial\left(L_{t} \circ A_{t}\right)(\bar{x}) \subset A_{t}^{*} \partial L_{t}\left(A_{t} \bar{x}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$.

Then $\xi_{0} \in A_{0}^{*} \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\xi_{t} \in A_{t}^{*} \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, which ensures the existence of some $u_{0}^{*} \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ such that $\xi_{0}=A_{0}^{*} u_{0}^{*}$ and some $u_{t}^{*} \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ such that $\xi_{t}=A_{t}^{*} u_{t}^{*}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$. This can be translated in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}^{*}=\left(u_{0,1}^{*}, u_{0,2}^{*}\right) \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right) \text { and } \xi_{0}=\left(u_{0,1}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0, u_{0,2}^{*}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for all $t=1, \cdots, T$
$u_{t}^{*}=\left(u_{t, 1}^{*}, u_{t, 2}^{*}\right) \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ and $\xi_{t}=\left(0, \cdots, 0, u_{t, 1}^{*}-u_{t, 2}^{*}, u_{t, 2}^{*}, 0, \cdots, 0\right)$.
Putting $p_{0}^{*}=u_{0,1}^{*}$ and $p_{t}^{*}=u_{t, 2}^{*}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$. We see that

$$
0=\sum_{t=0}^{T} \xi_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{l}
u_{0,1}^{*}+u_{1,1}^{*}-u_{1,2}^{*}, u_{1,2}^{*}+u_{2,1}^{*}-u_{2,2}^{*}, \cdots \\
\left.u_{t-1,2}^{*}+u_{t, 1}^{*}-u_{t, 2}^{*}, \cdots, u_{T-1,2}^{*}+u_{T, 1}^{*}-u_{T, 2}^{*}, u_{0,2}^{*}+u_{T, 2}^{*}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

which gives $u_{0,1}^{*}+u_{1,1}^{*}-u_{1,2}^{*}=0$ for the first component, $t=2, \cdots, T$ and $u_{t-1,2}^{*}+u_{t, 1}^{*}-u_{t, 2}^{*}=0$, finally $u_{0,2}^{*}+u_{T, 2}^{*}=0$. Then

$$
\Delta p_{t}^{*}=p_{t}^{*}-p_{t-1}^{*}=u_{t, 2}^{*}-u_{t-1,2}^{*}=u_{t, 1}^{*}, \forall t=2, \cdots, T
$$

and for $t=1$ we have $\Delta p_{1}^{*}=p_{1}^{*}-p_{0}^{*}=u_{1,2}^{*}-u_{0,1}^{*}=u_{1,1}^{*}$. Also we have $p_{T}^{*}=u_{T}^{2}=-u_{0,2}^{*}$, then $u_{0,2}^{*}=-p_{T}^{*}$. Finally, if we replace in 3.5 ) and 3.6 we obtain the existence of some vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, p_{1}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in$ $\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that $\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and

$$
\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial L_{T}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \quad \forall t=1, \cdots, T
$$

This completes the proof of the theorem.

In the case of an arbitrary Banach space, using the results recalled above for the subdifferential of Clarke and take again the appropriate modifications in the procedure of the above theorem, we have the following result.

Theorem 3.3. Let $X$ be an arbitrary Banach space and let $\bar{x} \in X^{T+1}$ be a solution of $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\text {det }}\right)$ with $l$ and $L_{t}$ l.s.c.. Assume that $l$ satisfy the Borwein property at $\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and that $L_{t}$ satisfy the Borwein property at $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t \in 1, \cdots, T$. Also we suppose that the following qualification condition $Q(\bar{x})$ holds:

The only vector $y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, \cdots, y_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ for which $\left(y_{0}^{*},-y_{T}^{*}\right) \in$ $\partial_{c}^{\infty} l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial_{c}^{\infty} L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$ is the zero vector in $\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$.

Then there exists some vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that: a) $\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial_{c} l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$
b) $\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial_{c} L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$.

Now we take again the discrete $\left(\mathcal{P}_{C, F}(l, L)\right)$ which consist to suppose that the functions $l$ and $L_{t}$ are locally Lipschitzian and to minimise the above function $j$ under the explicit constraints

$$
\left(x_{O}, x_{T}\right) \in C \quad \text { and } \Delta x_{t} \in F_{t}\left(x_{t-1}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T
$$

where $C$ is a nonempty closed subset of $X \times X$ and any $F_{t}$ is a multifunction of $X$ into $X$ has a closed graph of $X \times X$.

Corollary 3.4. Let $\bar{x} \in X^{T+1}$ be a solution of the problem ( $\mathcal{P}_{C, F}(l, L)$. We assume that the functions $l$ and $L_{t}$ are Lipschitzian respectively around $\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, that the subsets $C$ and gph $F_{t}$ are closed in $X \times X$, and that the following qualification condition $\tilde{Q}(\bar{x})$ holds:

The only vector $y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, \cdots, y_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ for which $\left(y_{0}^{*},-y_{T}^{*}\right) \in$ $N_{C}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in N_{g p h F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$ is the zero vector in $\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$.

Then there exists some vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that: a) $\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)+N_{c}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ b) $\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)+N_{g p h F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$.

Proof. Putting $S_{t}=g p h F_{t}, \forall t=1, \cdots, T$, we consider the functions

$$
\tilde{l}\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right)=l\left(x_{0} x_{T}\right)+\delta_{C}\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right)
$$

and

$$
\tilde{L}_{t}\left(x_{t-1} \Delta x_{t}\right)=L_{t}\left(x_{t-1} \Delta x_{t}\right)+\delta_{S_{t}}\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right)
$$

where $S_{t}:=g p h F_{t}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, and we remark that they are l.s.c. Also we can verify that, by our hypothesis, they are normally compact at $\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ respectively.

Now we show that the following qualification condition $Q(\bar{x})$ of the Theorem 3.2 holds for the functions $\tilde{l}$ et $\tilde{L}_{t}$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$. So let a vector $y^{*} \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that
$\left(y_{0}^{*},-y_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} \tilde{l}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and $\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} \tilde{L}_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$.

As $l$ and $L_{t}$ are locally Lipschitzian around ( $\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}$ ) and ( $\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}$ ) for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, we have on the one hand

$$
\left(y_{0}^{*},-y_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} \tilde{l}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right) \subset \partial^{\infty} \delta_{C}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)
$$

and on the other hand

$$
\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial^{\infty} \tilde{L}_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right) \subset \partial^{\infty} \delta_{\operatorname{gph} F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T
$$

By the qualification condition $\tilde{Q}(\bar{x})$ we have $y_{0}^{*}=y_{1}^{*}=\cdots=y_{T}^{*}=0$. As $\tilde{l}$ et $\tilde{L}_{t}$ are l.s.c. for all $t=1, \cdots, T$ and normally compact at the necessary points and as the qualification condition $Q(\bar{x})$ relative at the problem associated with $l$ and $L_{t}$ holds, we may apply Theorem 3.2 to obtain some vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that

$$
\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial \tilde{l}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right) \text { and }\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial \tilde{L}_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T .
$$

As $l, L_{t}$ are locally Lipschitzian functions satisfying the above property for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, and according to the calculus rule of subdifferential of sum functions see Mordukhovich-Shao (1996) and Sahraoui-Thibault (2008).

$$
\partial \tilde{l}\left(\overline{x_{0}}, \overline{x_{T}}\right) \subset \partial l\left(\overline{x_{0}}, \overline{x_{T}}\right)+\partial \delta_{C}\left(\overline{x_{0}}, \overline{x_{T}}\right)
$$

and

$$
\partial \tilde{L}_{t}\left(x_{t-1}^{-}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right) \subset \partial L_{t}\left(x_{t-1}^{-}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)+\partial \delta_{S_{t}}\left(x_{t-1}^{-}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T .
$$

So we conclude that
a) $\left(p_{0}^{*},-p_{T}^{*}\right) \in \partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)+N_{C}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ and
b) $\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*}\right) \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)+N_{\text {gph } F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right), \forall t=1, \cdots, T$.

Remark 3.5. A similar corollary has also place in the context of an arbitrary Banach space. We leave the care to the reader to formulate it.

Now we study the case where the function $l$ can be dissociated in a locally Lipschitzian function of $x_{T}$ only through a constraint on $x_{0}$. So we consider a nonempty closed subset $C_{0}$ of $X$ and the minimization problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{C_{0}, F}(g, L)\right)$ where the objective is to minimize the function

$$
x \mapsto g\left(x_{T}\right)+\sum_{t=1}^{T} L_{t}\left(x_{t-1}, \Delta x_{t}\right)
$$

under the initial constraint $x_{0} \in C_{0}$ and the inclusion constraints $\Delta x_{t} \in$ $F_{t}\left(x_{t-1}\right)$ for $t=1, \cdots, T$.

Corollary 3.6. Let $X$ be an Asplund space and let $\bar{x} \in X^{T+1}$ be a solution of the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{C_{0}, F}(g, L)\right)$. We assume that the functions $g$ and $L_{t}$ are locally Lipschitzian around $\bar{x}_{0}$ and $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$ respectively for all $t=1, \cdots, T$, that the subset $C_{0}$ is closed in $X$, normally compact at $\bar{x}_{0}$ and that the subsets gph $F_{t}$ are closed in $X \times X$ and normally compacts at $\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)$. We also suppose that the following qualification condition $\widehat{Q}(\bar{x})$ holds:

The only vector $y^{*}=\left(y_{0}^{*}, \cdots, y_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ for which $y_{0}^{*} \in N_{C_{0}}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right)$, $y_{T}^{*}=0$ and $\left(\Delta y_{t}^{*}, y_{t}^{*}\right) \in N_{g p h F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right) \forall t=1, \cdots, T$ is the zero vector in $\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$.

Then there exists a vector $p *=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ such that:
a) $p_{0}^{*} \in N_{C_{0}}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right), p_{T}^{*} \in \partial g\left(\bar{x}_{T}\right)$
b) $\left(\Delta p_{t}^{*}, p_{t}^{*} \in \partial L_{t}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)+N_{g p h F_{t}}\left(\bar{x}_{t-1}, \Delta \bar{x}_{t}\right)\right.$ for all $t=1, \cdots, T$.

Proof. Put $l\left(x_{0}, x_{T}\right):=g\left(x_{T}\right)$ and $C:=C_{0} \times X$. Then the normal cone to $C$ is given by $N_{C}\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)=\mathbb{N}_{C_{0}}\left(\bar{x}_{0}\right) \times\{0\}$ and the function $l$ is obviously Lipschitzian around $\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)$ with the equality $\partial l\left(\bar{x}_{0}, \bar{x}_{T}\right)=\{0\} \times \partial g\left(\bar{x}_{T}\right)$. Further, it is easy to see that the qualification condition $Q(\bar{x})$ holds. Thus, the result is a consequence of the precedent corollary.

We also can take again the frame work relative at the case when the images of the set-valued mappings $F_{t}$ are prox regular which is not studied in this paper because we estimate that the methods used in the proofs above are made the reader to know the changes which are introduce by the arguments evoked in the context of finite dimensional. We restrict our study to the problems with convex data.

Corollary 3.7. Let $X$ be an arbitrary Banach space. Assume that the functions $l$ and $L_{t}$ are convex (non necessarily l.s.c.) for all $i=1, \cdots, T$ and assume also that there exists some vector $z=\left(z_{0}, \cdots, z_{T}\right) \in X^{T+1}$ such that $\left(z_{0}, z_{T}\right) \in \operatorname{dom} l$ and such that the functions $L_{t}$ are continuous at $\left(z_{t-1}, \Delta z_{t}\right)$ for all $t \in\{1, \ldots, T\}$. Then a point $\bar{x} \in X^{T+1}$ is a solution of the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{\text {det }}\right)$ if and only if there exists a vector $p^{*}=\left(p_{0}^{*}, \cdots, p_{T}^{*}\right) \in\left(X^{*}\right)^{T+1}$ satisfying the two relations (a) and (b) of Theorem 3.2.
Proof. Assume that $\bar{x}$ is a minimum of the problem $\left(\mathcal{P}_{d e t}\right)$ and consider the linear mappings $A_{0}, A_{t}$ and the functions $\varphi_{0}, \varphi_{t}$ of the first step of the proof of Theorem 3.2 . We see that these linear mappings are continuous and surjective and that the functions $\varphi_{t}$, for $t=0,1, \cdots, T$, are convex. Since $\bar{x}$ is a minimum, we have

$$
0 \in \partial\left(\varphi_{0}+\sum_{t=1}^{T} \varphi_{t}\right)(\bar{x})
$$

Following the procedure used in the finite case as in Sahraoui and Thibault(2008), we obtain that

$$
\operatorname{dom} \varphi_{0} \cap\left(\cap_{t=1}^{T} \operatorname{cont} \varphi_{t}\right) \neq \emptyset
$$

such that cont $\varphi_{t}$ means the set of point of $X^{T+1}$ when the function $\varphi_{t}$ is continuous.

This ensures that the subdifferential of the above sum is equal to the sum of subdifferential and so we can follow in the proof of the finite dimensional see Sahraoui and Thibault (2008).
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