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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study the projective vector fields on two special ( $\alpha, \beta$ )-metrics, namely Kropina and Matsumoto metrics. First, we consider the Kropina metrics, and show that if a Kropina metric $F=\alpha^{2} / \beta$ admits a projective vector field, then this is a conformal vector field with respect to Riemannian metric $\alpha$ or $F$ has vanishing $S$-curvature. Then we study the Matsumoto metric $F=\alpha^{2} /(\alpha-\beta)$ and prove that if the Matsumoto metric $F=\alpha^{2} / \beta$ admits a projective vector field, then this is a conformal vector field with respect to Riemannian metric $\alpha$ or $F$ has vanishing $S$-curvature.
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## 1. Introduction

The projective Finsler metrics are smooth solutions to the historic Hilberts fourth problem. Unlike the Riemannian metrics, a non-projective Finsler metric may be of constant flag curvature in Finsler geometry [2]. A good way to characterizing the projective metrics is the projective vector fields. A vector field $V$ is called projective if its flow takes (unparameterized) geodesics to geodesics. The collection of all projective vector fields on a Finsler space $(M, F)$ is a finite dimensional Lie algebra with respect to the usual Lie bracket, called the projective algebra and denoted by $p(M, F)$. Searching about projective vector fields and determining the dimension of this algebra is of interest in physical and geometrical discussions.

[^0]In this paper, we study a class of Finsler metric called general $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics. An $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric is a scalar function $F$ on $T M$ defined by $F:=\alpha \phi(s), s=\beta / \alpha$, where $\phi=\phi(s)$ is a $C^{\infty}$ function on an open interval $\left(-b_{0}, b_{0}\right)$ with certain regularity, $\alpha=\sqrt{a_{i j}(x) y^{i} y^{j}}$ is a Riemannian metric and $\beta=b_{i}(x) y^{i}$ is a 1form on $M$. The Randers metric $F=\alpha+\beta$, the Kropina metric $F=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta}$, the generalized Kropina metric $F=\alpha^{1-m} \beta^{m}$ and Matsumoto metric $F=$ $\alpha^{2} /(\alpha-\beta)$ are special $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics with $\phi(s)=1+s, \phi(s)=1 / s, \phi(s)=s^{m}$ and $\phi=1 /(1-s)$, respectively. The class of Randers metrics are popular Finsler metrics appearing in many physical and geometric studies. In [10], M. Rafie-Rad and B. Rezaei studied the projective vector fields on Randers metrics. They proved that if $(M, F)$ be an $n$-dimensional $(n \geq 3)$ equipped with a Randers metric of constant flag curvature and $M$ be compact, then the dimension of the projective algebra $p(M, F)$ is either $n(n+2)$ or at most equals $n(n+1) / 2$. Moreover, they showed that a vector field $V$ on Randers space $(M, F)$ is projective vector field if and only if $V$ is projective vector field on $(M, \alpha)$ and

$$
\ell_{\hat{V}}\left(s_{0}^{i}\right)=0 .
$$

In [9], Rafie-Rad studied the projective vector fields on the class of Randers metrics. He introduced Lie sub-algebra of projective vector fields of a Finsler metric and proved that a Randers metric of non-zero constant $S$-curvature is projective if and only if the dimension of this sub-algebra is $n(n+1) / 2$.

In this paper, we study the projective vector fields on two important subclass of $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics. First, we study the Kropina metrics. The Kropina metrics are closely related to physical theories. These metrics, was introduced by Berwald in connection with a two-dimensional Finsler space with rectilinear extremal and was investigated by Kropina [8]. We prove the following.

Theorem 1.1. Let $F=\alpha^{2} / \beta$ be a Kropina metric on manifold $M$. Suppose that $F$ admits a projective vector field $V$. Then one of the following holds
a) $V$ is a conformal vector field with respect to $\alpha$;
b) $F$ has vanishing $S$-curvature $\mathbf{S}=0$.

The Matsumoto metric was introduced by Matsumoto as a realization of Finsler's idea "a slope measure of a mountain with respect to a time measure" [12]. He gave an exact formulation of a Finsler surface to measure the time on the slope of a hill and introduced the Matsumoto metrics in [6]. Here we study the projective vector fields on Matsumoto metric and prove the following.
Theorem 1.2. Let $F=\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\alpha-\beta}$ be a Matsumoto metric on a manifold $M$. suppose that $F$ admits a projective vector field $V$. Then one of the following holds
a) $V$ is a conformal vector field with respect to $\alpha$;
b) $F$ has vanishing $S$-curvature $\mathbf{S}=0$.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let $M$ be an $n$-dimensional $C^{\infty}$ manifold. Denote by $T_{x} M$ as the tangent space at $x \in M$, and by $T M=\cup_{x \in M} T_{x} M$ as the tangent bundle of $M$. Each element of $T M$ has the form $(x, y)$, where $x \in M$ and $y \in T_{x} M$. Let $T M_{0}=T M \backslash\{0\}$. The natural projection $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ is given by

$$
\pi(x, y)=x
$$

The pull-back tangent bundle $\pi^{*} T M$ is a vector bundle over $T M_{0}$ whose fiber $\pi_{v}^{*} T M$ at $v \in T M_{0}$ is just $T_{x} M$, where $\pi(v)=x$. Then

$$
\pi^{*} T M=\left\{(x, y, v) \mid y \in T_{x} M_{0}, v \in T_{x} M\right\}
$$

A Finsler metric on a manifold $M$ is a function $F: T M \rightarrow[0, \infty)$ which has the following properties:
(1) $F$ is $C^{\infty}$ on $T M_{0}$;
(2) $F(x, \lambda y)=\lambda F(x, y), \quad \lambda>0$;
(3) For any tangent vector $y \in T_{x} M$, the vertical Hessian of $F^{2} / 2$ given by

$$
g_{i j}(x, y)=\left[\frac{1}{2} F^{2}\right]_{y^{i} y^{j}}
$$

is positive definite.

Every Finsler metric $F$ induces a spray

$$
\mathbf{G}=y^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}-2 G^{i}(x, y) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}
$$

by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}(x, y):=\frac{1}{4} g^{i l}(x, y)\left\{2 \frac{\partial g_{j l}}{\partial x^{k}}(x, y)-\frac{\partial g_{j k}}{\partial x^{l}}(x, y)\right\} y^{j} y^{k} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The homogeneous scalar functions $G^{i}$ are called the geodesic coefficients of $F$. The vector field $\mathbf{G}$ is called the associated spray to $(M, F)$.

The Busemann-Hausdorff volume form $d V_{F}=\sigma_{F}(x) d x^{1} \wedge \cdots \wedge d x^{n}$ related to $F$ is defined by

$$
\sigma_{F}(x):=\frac{\operatorname{Vol}\left(\mathbb{B}^{n}(1)\right)}{\operatorname{Vol}\left\{\left(y^{i}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \left\lvert\, F\left(\left.y^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}\right|_{x}\right)<1\right.\right\}}
$$

where $\mathbb{B}^{n}(1)$ denotes the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.
The distortion $\tau=\tau(x, y)$ on $T M$ associated with the Busemann-Hausdorff volume form on $M$, i.e., $d V_{B H}=\sigma(x) d x^{1} \wedge d x^{2} \ldots \wedge d x^{n}$, is defined by following

$$
\tau(x, y)=\ln \frac{\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(g_{i j}(x, y)\right)}}{\sigma(x)}
$$

Then the S -curvature is defined by

$$
\mathbf{S}(x, y)=\frac{d}{d t}[\tau(c(t), \dot{c}(t))]_{t=0}
$$

where $c=c(t)$ is the geodesic with $c(0)=x$ and $\dot{c}(0)=y$. In a local coordinates, the S -curvature is given by

$$
\mathbf{S}=\frac{\partial G^{m}}{\partial y^{m}}-y^{m} \frac{\partial(\ln \sigma)}{\partial x^{m}}
$$

A Finsler metric $F$ has vanishing S-curvature if $\mathbf{S}=0$.
As we know, the geodesic coefficients $G^{i}$ of $F$ and geodesic coefficients $G_{\alpha}^{i}$ of $\alpha$ are related as follows [7]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}+\alpha Q s^{i}{ }_{\circ}+\alpha^{-1} \Theta\left\{r_{00}-2 \alpha Q s_{\circ}\right\} y^{i}+\Psi\left\{r_{00}-2 \alpha Q s_{\circ}\right\} b^{i}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
Q & =\frac{\phi^{\prime}}{\phi-s \phi^{\prime}} \\
\Theta & =\frac{\phi \phi^{\prime}-s\left(\phi \phi^{\prime \prime}-\phi^{\prime} \phi^{\prime}\right)}{2\left\{\left(\phi-s \phi^{\prime}\right)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}\right\}} \\
\Psi & =\frac{\phi^{\prime \prime}}{2\left\{\left(\phi-s \phi^{\prime}\right)+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) \phi^{\prime \prime}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the Levi-Civita connection of $\alpha$ by $\nabla$ and define $b_{i \mid j}$ by $\left(b_{i \mid j}\right) \theta^{j}:=$ $d b_{i}-b_{j} \theta_{i}{ }^{j}$, where $\theta^{i}:=d x^{i}$ and $\theta_{i}{ }^{j}:=\Gamma_{i k}^{j} d x^{k}$. For a generic $(\alpha, \beta)$-metric, we use usually the following notations:

$$
r_{i j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{i \mid j}+b_{j \mid i}\right), \quad s_{i j}:=\frac{1}{2}\left(b_{i \mid j}-b_{j \mid i}\right) .
$$

Furthermore, we denote

$$
\begin{gathered}
r_{j}^{i}:=a^{i k} r_{k j}, \quad r_{00}:=r_{i j} y^{i} y^{j}, \quad r_{i 0}:=r_{i j} y^{j}, \quad r:=r_{i j} b^{i} b^{j}, \\
s_{j}^{i}:=a^{i k} s_{k j}, \quad s_{j}:=b^{i} s_{i j}, \quad s_{0}:=s_{i} y^{i}, \quad s_{i 0}:=s_{i j} y^{j}, \quad b^{2}:=b^{i} b_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Let us define

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta:=1+s Q+\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right) Q^{\prime}  \tag{2.3}\\
& \Phi:=-\left(Q-s Q^{\prime}\right)(n \Delta+1+s Q)-\left(b^{2}-s^{2}\right)(1+s Q) Q^{\prime \prime} \tag{2.4}
\end{align*}
$$

In [3], Cheng-Shen characterized $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics with isotropic S-curvature.

Theorem A. ([3]) Let $F=\alpha \phi(s), s=\beta / \alpha$, be an non-Riemannian $(\alpha, \beta)$ metric on a manifold and $b:=\left\|\beta_{x}\right\|_{\alpha}$. Suppose that $F$ is not a Finsler metric of Randers type. Then $F$ is of isotropic S-curvature, $\mathbf{S}=(n+1) c F$, if and only if one of the following holds
(i) $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j}=\varepsilon\left(b^{2} a_{i j}-b_{i} b_{j}\right), \quad s_{j}=0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=\varepsilon(x)$ is a scalar function, and $\phi=\phi(s)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=-2(n+1) k \frac{\phi \Delta^{2}}{b^{2}-s^{2}} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a constant. In this case, $\mathbf{S}=(n+1) c F$ with $c=k \varepsilon$.
(ii) $\beta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{i j}=0, \quad s_{j}=0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case, $\mathbf{S}=0$, regardless of choices of a particular $\phi$.

One of special type of the $(\alpha, \beta)$-metrics that we are interested to study in this paper is Kropina metric. Let $F=\alpha^{2} / \beta$ be a Kropina metric on a manifold $M$. Then geodesic coefficients $G^{i}(x, y)$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \beta} s^{i}{ }_{0}+\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} s_{0}+r_{00}\right) b^{i}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left(s_{0}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha^{2}} r_{00}\right) y^{i} . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For more details, see [15].
Another metric that we study in this paper is named Matsumoto metric $F=\alpha^{2} / \alpha-\beta$. In this case, by (2.2) the geodesic coefficients of $F$ are as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{i}=G_{\alpha}^{i}-\frac{\alpha}{A_{1}} s^{i}{ }_{0}+\frac{\left(2 \alpha s_{0}+A_{1} r_{00}\right)}{2 \alpha A_{1} A_{2}}\left[\left(2 A_{1}+1\right) y^{i}-2 \alpha b^{i}\right], \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{1}=A_{1}(s):=2 s-1 \\
& A_{2}=A_{2}(s):=3 s-2 b^{2}-1
\end{aligned}
$$

See [13].
Every vector field $V$ on $M$ induces naturally a transformation under the following infinitesimal coordinate transformations on $T M,\left(x^{i}, y^{i}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\bar{x}^{i}, \bar{y}^{i}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}^{i}=x^{i}+V^{i} d t, \\
& \bar{y}^{i}=y^{i}+y^{k} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

This leads to the notion of the complete lift $\hat{V}$ (or traditionally denoted by $V^{C}$, see [14]) of $V$ to a vector field on $T M_{0}$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}=V^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k}}+y^{k} \frac{\partial V^{i}}{\partial x^{k}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since almost geometric objects in Finsler geometry depends on the both points and velocities, the Lie derivatives of such geometric objects should be regarded with respect to $\hat{V}$ (Receives a family to the theory of Lie derivatives in Finsler geometry in [12]). It is a notable remark in the Lie derivative computations that $\ell_{\hat{V}} y^{i}=0$ and the differential operators $\ell_{\hat{V}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i}}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{i}}$ commute. A smooth vector field $V$ on $(M, F)$ is called projective if each local flow diffeomorphism associated with $V$ maps geodesics onto geodesics. If $V$ is projective and each such map preserves affine parameters, then $V$ is called affine, otherwise it is said to be proper projective. It is easy to prove that a vector field $V$ on the Finsler space $(M, F)$ is a projective if and only if there is a function $P$ defined on $T M_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}=P y^{i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $V$ is affine if and only if $P=0$.

## 3. Proof of Main Theorems

Kropina metrics were first introduced by L. Berwald in connection with a two-dimensional Finsler space with rectilinear extremal and were investigated by Kropina [5]. This metric seem to be among the simplest nontrivial Finsler metric with many interesting applications in physics, electron optics with a magnetic field, dissipative mechanics, irreversible thermodynamics and general dynamical system represented by a Lagrangian function [1, 4, 11]. We consider that there is a projective vector field on Kropina space and prove it.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. A vector field $V$ on $(M, F)$ is projective if and only if there is a 1 -form $P=P_{i}(x, y) y^{i}$ on $M$ such that $\ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}=P y^{i}$. In the case of Kropina metrics, by (2.8) we can write this equation as follows

$$
\ell_{\hat{V}}\left(G_{\alpha}^{i}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \beta} s^{i}{ }_{0}+\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} s_{0}+r_{00}\right) b^{i}-\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left(s_{0}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha^{2}} r_{00}\right) y^{i}\right)=P y^{i} .
$$

Let $\ell_{\hat{V}} a_{i j}=t_{i j}$ where $t_{i j}=t_{i j}(x)$ is a scalar function on $M$, then equation mentioned above is equivalent to the following equality

$$
\begin{align*}
0= & \ell_{\hat{v}} G_{\alpha}^{i}-P y^{i}-\left(\frac{2 \beta t_{00}-2 \alpha^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta}{4 \beta^{2}}\right) s^{i}{ }_{0}-\frac{\alpha^{2}}{2 \beta} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& -\frac{1}{2 b^{4}} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} b^{i}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} s_{0}+r_{00}\right)+\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(\frac{\beta t_{00}-\alpha^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta}{\beta^{2}} s_{0}+\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0}+\ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00}\right) b^{i} \\
& +\frac{1}{2 b^{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha^{2}}{\beta} s_{0}+r_{00}\right) \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i}+\frac{\ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2}}{b^{4}} y^{i}\left(s_{0}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha^{2}} r_{00}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{b^{2}}\left(\ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0}+\frac{\alpha^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta-\beta t_{00}}{\alpha^{4}} r_{00}+\frac{\beta}{\alpha^{2}} \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00}\right) y^{i} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Multipling both sides of this very equation by $2 \alpha^{4} \beta^{2} b^{4}$ to remove denominators and sorting by $\alpha$, we can rewrite (3.1) as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=A_{2}^{i} \alpha^{6}+A_{4}^{i} \alpha^{4}+A_{6}^{i} \alpha^{2}+A_{8}^{i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
A_{2}^{i}= & b^{4} \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta s^{i}{ }_{0}-\beta b^{4} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}-\beta s_{0} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} b^{i}+\beta b^{2} b^{i} \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0}-\ell_{\hat{V}} \beta s_{0} b^{2} b^{i} \\
& +\beta \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} b^{2} b^{i}, \\
A_{4}^{i}= & 2 \beta^{2} b^{4} \ell_{\hat{V}} G_{\alpha}^{i}-\beta b^{4} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}-\beta^{2} r_{00} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} b^{i}+\beta b^{2} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}+\beta^{2} b^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} b^{i} \\
& +\beta^{2} b^{2} r_{00} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i}+2 \beta^{2} s_{0} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i}-2 \beta^{2} b^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i}-2 \beta^{2} b^{4} P y^{i}, \\
A_{6}^{i}= & 2 \beta^{3} r_{00} \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i}-2 \beta^{2} b^{2} r_{00} \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i}-2 \beta^{3} b^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i}, \\
A_{8}^{i}= & -2 \beta^{3} b^{2} t_{00} r_{00} y^{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (3.2) we can conclude that $A_{8}^{i}$ must be coefficient of $\alpha^{2}$, i.e., there is scalar function $c(x)$ on $M$ such that

$$
r_{00}=c(x) \alpha^{2}
$$

Then $F$ must has vanishing $S$-curvature, or

$$
t_{00}=c(x) \alpha^{2}
$$

Thus $V$ is conformal projective vector field with respect to the Riemannian metric $\alpha$.

The Matsumoto metric was introduced by Matsumoto as a realization of Finsler's idea (a slope measure of a mountain with respect to a time measure) [12]. He gave an exact formulation of a Finsler surface to measure the time on the slope of a hill and introduced the Matsumoto metric [6, 13]. In this paper, we also study the projective vector field on Matsumoto space and get the following result:

Proof of Theorem 1.2: If a Matsumoto metric $F=\alpha^{2} /(\alpha-\beta)$ admits a projective vector field $V$, then by (2.9) and (2.11) we can say

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ell_{\hat{V}}\left(G_{\alpha}^{i}-\frac{\alpha}{2 s-1} s^{i}{ }_{0}+\frac{\left(2 \alpha s_{0}+(2 s-1) r_{00}\right)}{2 \alpha(2 s-1)\left(3 s-2 b^{2}-1\right)}\left[(2(2 s-1)+1) y^{i}-2 \alpha b^{i}\right]\right)=P y^{i} . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We simplify the equation mentioned above by using Maple program and multiply this equation by $4 \alpha^{3}(\alpha-2 \beta)^{2}\left(\left(1+2 b^{2}\right) \alpha-3 \beta\right)^{2}$ to remove denominators. Then we get the following

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=B_{1}^{i} \alpha^{8}+B_{2}^{i} \alpha^{7}+B_{3}^{i} \alpha^{6}+B_{4}^{i} \alpha^{5}+B_{5}^{i} \alpha^{4}+B_{6}^{i} \alpha^{3}+B_{7}^{i} \alpha^{2}+B_{8}^{i} \alpha+B_{9}^{i}, \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{1}^{i}= & 16 b^{4} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}+16 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} b^{i} s_{0}-16 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} b^{2} s_{0}-16 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} b^{2} b^{i} \\
& +16 b^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}-8 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} s_{0}-8 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} b^{i}+8 b^{i} s_{0}+4 \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}, \\
B_{2}^{i}= & -8 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} b^{i} r_{00}+8 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} b^{2} r_{00}+40 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} \beta s_{0}-16 \beta b^{i} s_{0}-16 y^{i} P b^{4} \\
& -8 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} b^{2}-16 y^{i} P b^{2}+40 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} \beta b^{i}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{2} s^{i}{ }_{0}-32 b^{4} \beta \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& +8 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} b^{2} b^{i}-40 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{i} s_{0}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{4} s^{i}{ }_{0}+8 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta s^{i}{ }_{0}+4 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} b^{i} \\
& +4 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} r_{00}-4 b^{i} r_{00}+16 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{4}+16 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{2}-4 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i}+4 y^{i} s_{0} \\
& -32 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{2} b^{i} s_{0}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} b^{2} \beta b^{i}-32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} \beta b^{i} s_{0}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} b^{2} \beta s_{0} \\
& +8 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} s_{0}-32 \beta \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}-80 b^{2} \beta \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}+4 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha}-4 P y^{i},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{3}^{i}= & -64 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{4} \beta-112 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{2} \beta+36 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} \beta+40 y^{i} P \beta+2 t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& -24 y^{i} \beta s_{0}-48 \ell_{\hat{V}} b i \beta^{2} s_{0}-48 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} \beta^{2} b^{i}-48 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta \beta s^{i}{ }_{0}-28 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} \beta r_{00} \\
& +12 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{i} r_{00}-4 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} r_{00}+4 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} b^{2}-4 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} s_{0}+8 b^{2} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& -4 b^{i} s_{0} t_{00}+2 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i}-2 y^{i} r_{00}-8 b^{2} b^{i} s_{0} r_{00}+16 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} b^{2} s_{0} \\
& +32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} \beta b^{i} r_{00}-32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} b^{2} \beta r_{00}-32 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} b^{2} \beta b^{i}+96 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta \beta b^{i} s_{0} \\
& -48 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} \beta s_{0}+48 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} b^{2} \beta+112 y^{i} P b^{2} \beta+84 \beta^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& +96 b^{2} \beta^{2} \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0}-28 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} \beta b^{i}+16 \beta b^{i} r_{00}+8 b^{4} r_{00} s^{i}+64 y^{i} P b^{4} \beta \\
& -96 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta b^{2} \beta s^{i}{ }_{0}-40 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} \beta,
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{4}^{i}=148 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} \beta^{2}+32 b^{2} \beta b^{i} s_{0} t_{00}+72 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta \beta^{2} s^{i}{ }_{0}+64 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} \beta^{2} r_{00} \\
& +64 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} \beta^{2} b^{i}-16 \beta^{2} b^{i} r_{00}-20 \beta t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}+64 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{4} \beta^{2} \\
& +256 \ell_{\hat{V}} G^{i}{ }_{\alpha} b^{2} \beta^{2}-104 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} \beta^{2}-148 y^{i} P \beta^{2}+32 y^{i} \beta^{2} s_{0} \\
& -22 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} \beta-72 \ell_{\hat{V}} s^{i}{ }_{0} \beta^{3}-2 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} r_{00}-56 b^{2} \beta t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0} \\
& -32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} \beta^{2} b^{i} r_{00}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} \beta^{2} b^{2} r_{00}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} b^{2} \beta^{2} b^{i}+16 y^{i} \beta r_{00} \\
& -48 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta \beta b^{i} r_{00}+40 \beta b^{i} s_{0} r_{00}-64 y^{i} P b^{4} \beta^{2}+64 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} \beta^{2} s_{0} \\
& -64 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} b^{2} \beta^{2}-256 y^{i} P b^{2} \beta^{2}+32 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} \beta r_{00}-32 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} b^{2} \beta \\
& +48 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} \beta s_{0}-32 b^{4} \beta t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}-16 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} b^{2} r_{00}, \\
& B_{5}^{i}=192 y^{i} P b^{2} \beta^{3}+64 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} b^{2} \beta r_{00}-80 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} \beta^{2} r_{00}-72 \beta^{2} b^{i} s_{0} t_{00} \\
& -192 \ell_{\hat{V}} G_{\alpha}^{i} b^{2} \beta^{3}+96 b^{2} \beta^{2} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}-96 \ell_{\hat{V}} \text { betay }^{i} \beta^{2} s_{0}-y^{i} r_{00} t_{00} \\
& -48 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} \beta^{3} b^{i}+96 \ell_{\hat{V}} s_{0} y^{i} \beta^{3}-2 y^{i} b^{2} r_{00} t_{00}+80 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} b^{2} \beta^{2} \\
& +8 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} \beta r_{00}+88 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} \beta^{2}-40 y^{i} \beta^{2} r_{00}-240 \ell_{\hat{V}} G_{\alpha}^{i} \beta^{3} \\
& +66 \beta^{2} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}-6 \beta b^{i} r_{00} t_{00}+48 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta \beta^{2} b^{i} r_{00}+2 y^{i} \beta s_{0} t_{00} \\
& -8 y^{i} b^{2} \beta s_{0} t_{00}-48 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{i} \beta^{3} r_{00}+240 y^{i} P \beta^{3} \text {, } \\
& B_{6}^{i}=-4 \beta\left(16 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} b^{2} \beta r_{00}-16 \ell_{\hat{V}} b^{2} y^{i} \beta^{2} r_{00}+16 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} b^{2} \beta^{2}\right. \\
& -6 y^{i} b^{2} r_{00} t_{00}+2 \ell_{\hat{V}} \beta y^{i} \beta r_{00}+38 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} \beta^{2}-8 y^{i} \beta^{2} r_{00} \\
& -36 \ell_{\hat{V}} G_{\alpha}^{i} \beta^{3}+18 \beta^{2} t_{00} s^{i}{ }_{0}-6 \beta b^{i} r_{00} t_{00}-3 y^{i} r_{00} t_{00} \\
& \left.+6 y^{i} \beta s_{0} t_{00}+36 y^{i} P \beta^{3}\right), \\
& B_{7}^{i}=24 \beta^{2}\left(-3 y^{i} b^{2} r_{00} t_{00}+4 \ell_{\hat{V}} r_{00} y^{i} \beta^{2}+2 y^{i} \beta s_{0} t_{00}\right. \\
& \left.-\beta b^{i} r_{00} t_{00}-2 y^{i} r_{00} t_{00}\right), \\
& B_{8}^{i}=16 y^{i} \beta^{3} r_{00} t_{00}\left(4 b^{2}+5\right), \\
& B_{9}^{i}=-48 y^{i} \beta^{4} r_{00} t_{00} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From equation (3.4), we can get two fundamental equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& 0=B_{1}^{i} \alpha^{8}+B_{3}^{i} \alpha^{6}+B_{5}^{i} \alpha^{4}+B_{7}^{i} \alpha^{2}+B_{9}^{i}  \tag{3.5}\\
& 0=B_{2}^{i} \alpha^{6}+B_{4}^{i} \alpha^{4}+B_{6}^{i} \alpha^{2}+B_{8}^{i} \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

From these equations we can conclude that $\alpha^{2}$ divides $B_{8}^{i}$ and $B_{9}^{i}$, in this way we have the following cases

Case 1: $\alpha^{2}$ divides $t_{00}$, therefore there is scalar function $c=c(x)$ on $M$ such that

$$
t_{00}=\ell_{\hat{V}} \alpha^{2}=c(x) \alpha^{2} .
$$

Then $V$ is a conformal vector field respect on $\alpha$.
Case 2: $\alpha^{2}$ divides $r_{00}$, therefore there is scalar function $c=c(x)$ on $M$ such that

$$
r_{00}=c(x) \alpha^{2}
$$

Replacing this quantity into (3.3) and sorting again by $\alpha$, we can get the following equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\bar{B}_{0}^{i} \alpha^{7}+\bar{B}_{1}^{i} \alpha^{6}+\bar{B}_{2}^{i} \alpha^{5}+\bar{B}_{3}^{i} \alpha^{4}+\bar{B}_{4}^{i} \alpha^{3}+\bar{B}_{5}^{i} \alpha^{2}+\bar{B}_{6}^{i} \alpha+\bar{B}_{7}^{i}, \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}_{7}^{i}=48 y^{i} \beta^{3} t_{00}\left(\beta c+s_{0}\right) . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) we have this fundamental equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\bar{B}_{1}^{i} \alpha^{6}+\bar{B}_{3}^{i} \alpha^{4}+\bar{B}_{5}^{i} \alpha^{2}+\bar{B}_{7}^{i} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the equation mentioned above we can conclude that $\bar{B}_{7}^{i}$ must be divided by $\alpha^{2}$, if $\alpha^{2}$ divide $t_{00}$, then the equality and the reduce to the case 1 , otherwise $\left(\beta c+s_{0}\right)$ must be remove. So, we have $s_{i}=-b_{i} c$. By contracting it with $b^{i}$ we can obtain $c(x)=0$. Then $s_{0}=r_{00}=0$. It means that Matsumoto metric has vanishing $S$-curvature.
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