

Research Paper

SOME SOLITONS ON ANTI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLD OF LP-KENMOTSU MANOFOLD ADMITTING ZAMKOVOY CONNECTION

Abhijit Mandal^{1,*} (D) and Meghlal Mallik² (D)

¹Department of Mathematics, Raiganj Surendranath Mahavidyalaya, Raiganj, India, abhijit4791@gmail.com ²Department of Mathematics, Raiganj Surendranath Mahavidyalaya, Raiganj, India, meghlal.mallik@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received: 12 June 2024 Accepted: 30 November 2024 Communicated by Dariush Latifi

Keywords: Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold Anti-invariant submanifold Zamkovoy connection Einstein soliton η-Einstein soliton

MSC: 53C05; 53C25; 53D15

ABSTRACT

In this paper we prove some curvature properties of anti-invariant submanifold of Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold (briefly, LP-Kenmotsu manifold) with respect to Zamkovoy connection (∇^*) . Next, we study Einstein soliton on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold with respect to Zamkovoy connection. Further, we study η -Einstein soliton on this submanifold with respect to Zamkovoy connection under different curvature conditions. Finally, we give an example of submanifold of anti-invariant 5-dimensional LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton with respect to ∇^* and verify a relation on the manifold under consideration.

1. INTRODUCTION

In 2008, the notion of Zamkovoy canonical connection (briefly, Zamkovoy connection) was introduced by Zamkovoy [30] for a para-contact manifold. And this connection was defined as a canonical para-contact connection whose torsion is the obstruction of para-contact manifold to be a para-Sasakian manifold. Later, Biswas and Baishya [1, 2] studied this connection on generalized pseudo Ricci symmetric Sasakian manifolds and on almost pseudo symmetric Sasakian manifolds. This connection was further studied by Blaga [3] on para-Kenmotsu

^{*}Address correspondence to A. Mandal; Department of Mathematics, Raiganj Surendranath Mahavidyalaya, Raiganj, India, abhijit4791@gmail.com.

This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License. Copyright © 2024 The Author(s). Published by University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

manifolds. In 2020, Mandal and Das [7, 13, 14, 15] studied in detail on various curvature tensors of Sasakian and LP-Sasakian manifolds admitting Zamkovoy connection. In 2021, they discussed LP-Sasakian manifolds equipped with Zamkovoy connection and conharmonic curvature tensor [16]. Recently, they introduced Zamkovoy connection on Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold [17] and studied Ricci soliton on it with respect to this connection. Zamkovoy connection for an *n*-dimensional almost contact metric manifold M equipped with an almost contact metric structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) consisting of a (1, 1) tensor field ϕ , a vector field ξ , a 1-form η and a Riemannian metric g, is defined by

(1.1)
$$\nabla_X^* Y = \nabla_X Y + (\nabla_X \eta) (Y) \xi - \eta (Y) \nabla_X \xi + \eta (X) \phi Y,$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, where $\chi(M)$ is the set of all vector fields on M.

In 2018, the notion of Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold (LP- Kenmotsu manifold for short) has been introduced by Haseeb and Prasad [9]. Later, Shukla and Dixit [25] studied ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds and find that such type of manifolds are η -Einstein. Further, Chandra and Lal [6] studied some special results on 3-dimensional Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds. This manifold is also studied by Sai Prasad, Sunitha Devi [22].

In 1977, anti-invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms were introduced by Yano and Kon [28]. Later in 1985, Pandey and Kumar investigated properties of anti-invariant submanifolds of almost para-contact manifolds [20]. Recently, Karmakar and Bhattyacharyya [11] studied anti-invariant submanifolds of some indefinite almost contact and para-contact manifolds. Most recently, Karmakar [10] studied η -Ricci-Yamabe soliton on anti-invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifold admitting Zamkovoy connection.

Let ϕ be a differential map from a manifold \widetilde{N} into another manifold \widetilde{M} and let the dimensions of \widetilde{N} , \widetilde{M} be \widetilde{n} , \widetilde{m} ($\widetilde{n} < \widetilde{m}$), respectively. If rank $\phi = \widetilde{n}$, then ϕ is called an immersion of \widetilde{N} into \widetilde{M} . If $\phi(p) \neq \phi(q)$ for $p \neq q$, then ϕ is called an imbedding of \widetilde{N} into \widetilde{M} . If the manifolds \widetilde{N} and \widetilde{M} satisfy the following two conditions, then \widetilde{N} is called submanifold of \widetilde{M} - (i) $\widetilde{N} \subset \widetilde{M}$, (ii) the inclusion map from \widetilde{N} into \widetilde{M} is an imbedding of \widetilde{N} into \widetilde{M} .

A submanifold \widetilde{N} is called anti-invariant if $X \in T_x(\widetilde{N}) \Rightarrow \phi X \in T_x^{\perp}(\widetilde{N})$ for all $X \in \widetilde{N}$, where $T_x(\widetilde{N})$ and $T_x^{\perp}(\widetilde{N})$ are respectively tangent space and normal space at $x \in \widetilde{N}$. Thus in an anti-invariant submanifold \widetilde{N} , we have for all $X, Y \in \widetilde{N}$

$$g(X,\phi Y) = 0.$$

The concept of Ricci flow was first introduced by R. S. Hamilton in the early 1980s. Hamilton [8] observed that the Ricci flow is an excellent tool for simplifying the structure of a manifold. It is the process which deforms the metric of a Riemannian manifold by smoothing out the irregularities. The Ricci flow equation is given by

(1.2)
$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S,$$

where g is a Riemannian metric, S is Ricci tensor and t is time. The solitons for the Ricci flow is the solutions of the above equation, where the metrices at different times differ by a diffeomorphism of the manifold. A Ricci soliton is represented by a triple (g, V, λ) , where V is a vector field and λ is a scalar, which satisfies the equation

(1.3)
$$L_V g + 2S + 2\lambda g = 0,$$

where S is Ricci curvature tensor and $L_V g$ denotes the Lie derivative of g along the vector field V. A Ricci soliton is said to be shrinking, steady, expanding according as $\lambda < 0, \lambda = 0, \lambda > 0$, respectively. The vector field V is called potential vector field and if it is a gradient of a smooth function, then the Ricci soliton (g, V, λ) is called a gradient Ricci soliton and the associated function is called potential function. Ricci soliton was further studied by many researchers. For instance, we see [19, 21, 24, 26] and their references.

Catino and Mazzieri [5] in 2016 first introduced the notion of Einstein soliton as a generalization of Ricci soliton. An almost contact manifold M with structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) is said to have an Einstein soliton (g, V, λ) if

$$(1.4) L_V g + 2S + (2\lambda - r)g = 0,$$

holds, where r being the scalar curvature. The Einstein soliton (g, V, λ) is said to be shrinking, steady or expanding according as $\lambda < 0, \lambda = 0$ or $\lambda > 0$, respectively. Einstein soliton creates some self-similar solutions of the Einstein flow equation

$$\frac{\partial g}{\partial t} = -2S + rgs$$

Again as a generalization of Einstein soliton the η -Einstein soliton on manifold $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is introduced by A. M. Blaga [4] and it is given by

(1.5)
$$L_V g + 2S + (2\lambda - r)g + 2\beta\eta \otimes \eta = 0,$$

where, β is some constant. When $\beta = 0$ the notion of η -Einstein soliton simply reduces to the notion of Einstein soliton. And when $\beta \neq 0$, the data (g, V, λ, β) is called proper η -Einstein soliton on M. The η -Einstein soliton is called shrinking if $\lambda < 0$, steady if $\lambda = 0$, and expanding if $\lambda > 0$.

A transformation of an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold M, which transforms every geodesic circle of M into a geodesic circle, is called a concircular transformation [12, 29]. A concircular transformation is always a conformal transformation. Here geodesic circle means a curve in M whose first curvature is constant and second curvature is identically zero. An interesting invariant of a concircular transformation is the concircular curvature tensor (\mathcal{W}) , which was defined in [27, 29] as

(1.6)
$$\mathcal{W}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z - \frac{r}{n(n-1)} [g(Y,Z)X - g(X,Z)Y],$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, set of all vector fields of the manifold M, where R is the Riemannian curvature tensor and r is the scalar curvature.

Definition 1.1. A Riemannian manifold M is called an η -Einstein manifold if its Ricci curvature tensor is of the form

$$S(Y,Z) = k_1 g(Y,Z) + k_2 \eta(Y) \eta(Z),$$

for all $Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where k_1, k_2 are scalars.

This paper is structured as follows:

First two sections of the paper have been kept for introduction and preliminaries. In **Section-3**, we give expression for Zamkovoy connection on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold. In **Section-4**, we study Einstein soliton with respect to Zamkovoy connection on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold. **Section-5** concerns

with η -Einstein soliton with respect to Zamkovoy connection on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold. **Section-6** contains η -Einstein soliton on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{R^*} \cdot S^* = 0$. **Section-7** deals with η -Einstein soliton on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{W^*} \cdot S^* = 0$. In **Section-8**, we discuss η -Einstein soliton on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{S^*} \cdot W^* = 0$. Finally **Section-9**, contains an example of anti-invariant submanifold of 5-dimensional LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton with respect to Zamkovoy connection.

2. Preliminaries

Let \overline{M} be an *n*-dimensional Lorentzian almost para-contact manifold with structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) , where η is a 1-form, ξ is the structure vector field, ϕ is a (1,1)-tensor field and g is a Lorentzian metric satisfying

(2.1)
$$\phi^2(X) = X + \eta(X)\xi, \eta(\xi) = -1,$$

$$(2.2) g(X,\xi) = \eta(X)$$

(2.3)
$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y),$$

for all vector fields X, Y on \overline{M} . A Lorentzian almost para-contact manifold is said to be Lorentzian para-contact manifold if η becomes a contact form. In a Lorentzian para-contact manifold the following relations also hold [18, 23]:

(2.4)
$$\phi(\xi) = 0, \eta \circ \phi = 0,$$

(2.5)
$$g(X,\phi Y) = g(\phi X,Y).$$

The manifold \overline{M} is called a Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold if

(2.6)
$$(\nabla_X \varphi) Y = -g (\phi X, Y) \xi - \eta (Y) \phi X,$$

for all smooth vector fields X, Y on \overline{M} .

In a Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifold the following relations also hold [9, 17]:

(2.7)
$$\nabla_X \xi = -X - \eta(X) \xi,$$

(2.8)
$$(\nabla_X \eta) Y = -g(X,Y) - \eta(X) \eta(Y),$$

(2.9)
$$\eta\left(R\left(X,Y\right)Z\right) = g\left(Y,Z\right)\eta\left(X\right) - g(X,Z)\eta\left(Y\right)$$

(2.10)
$$R(X,Y)\xi = \eta(Y)X - \eta(X)Y$$

(2.11)
$$R(\xi, X)Y = g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X,$$

(2.12)
$$R(\xi, X)\xi = X + \eta(X)\xi,$$

(2.13)
$$S(X,\xi) = (n-1)\eta(X)$$

(2.14) $S(\xi,\xi) = -(n-1),$

(2.16)
$$S(\phi X, \phi Y) = S(X, Y) + (n-1)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

for all smooth vector fields X, Y, Z on \overline{M} .

3. Zamkovoy connection on anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu Manifold

Expression of Zamkovoy connection on an *n*-dimensional LP-Kenmotsu manifold \overline{M} [17] is

(3.1)
$$\nabla_X^* Y = \nabla_X Y - g(X, Y)\xi + \eta(Y)X + \eta(X)\phi Y.$$

Setting $Y = \xi$ in (3.1) we obtain

(3.2)
$$\nabla_X^* \xi = -2 \left[X + \eta \left(X \right) \xi \right].$$

The Riemannian curvature tensor R^* with respect to Zamkovoy connection [17] on \overline{M} is given by

$$R^{*}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + 3g(Y,Z)X - 3g(X,Z)Y +2g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - 2g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi +2g(Y,\phi Z)\eta(X)\xi - 2g(X,\phi Z)\eta(Y)\xi +2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y (3.3) -2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y.$$

For an anti-invariant submanifold M of \overline{M} the Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection is given by

$$R^{*}(X,Y)Z = R(X,Y)Z + 3g(Y,Z)X - 3g(X,Z)Y +2g(Y,Z)\eta(X)\xi - 2g(X,Z)\eta(Y)\xi +2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)X - 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)Y -2\eta(Y)\eta(Z)\phi X + 2\eta(X)\eta(Z)\phi Y.$$
(3.4)

Writing the equation (3.4) by the cyclic permutations of X, Y and Z and using the fact that R(X, Y)Z + R(Y, Z)X + R(Z, X)Y = 0, we have

(3.5)
$$R^*(X,Y)Z + R^*(Y,Z)X + R^*(Z,X)Y = 0$$

Therefore, the Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection on M satisfies the 1st Bianchi identity.

Taking inner product of (3.4) with a vector field U, we get

$$R^{*}(X, Y, Z, U) = R(X, Y, Z, U) + 3g(Y, Z) g(X, U) - 3g(X, Z) g(Y, U) +2g(Y, Z) \eta(X) \eta(U) - 2g(X, Z) \eta(Y) \eta(U) +2g(X, U) \eta(Y) \eta(Z) - 2\eta(X) \eta(Z) g(Y, U),$$
(3.6)

where $R^*(X, Y, Z, U) = g(R^*(X, Y) Z, U)$ and $X, Y, Z, U \in \chi(M)$. Contracting (3.6) over X and U, we get

(3.7)
$$S^{*}(Y,Z) = S(Y,Z) + (3n-5)g(Y,Z) + 2(n-2)\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

where S^* is the Ricci curvature tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection.

Proposition 3.1. The Riemannian curvature tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection on an anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfies the 1st Bianchi identity. **Proposition 3.2.** Ricci tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection of an anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold is symmetric and it is given by (3.7).

Lemma 3.3. Let M be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting Zamkovoy connetion, then

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where R^* , Q^* and r^* denote Riemannian curvature tensor, Ricci operator and scalar curvature of M with respect to ∇^* , respectively.

Theorem 3.4. If an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold M of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold is Ricci flat with respect to Zamkovoy connection, then M is η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. Let M be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold, which is Ricci flat with respect to Zamkovoy connection i.e., $S^*(Y, Z) = 0$, for all $Y, Z \in \chi(M)$. Then from (3.7), we have

$$S(Y,Z) = -(3n-5)g(Y,Z) - 2(n-2)\eta(Y)\eta(Z),$$

which implies that M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Concircular curvature tensor of M with respect to Zamkovoy connection is given by

$$\mathcal{W}^{*}\left(X,Y\right)Z = R^{*}\left(X,Y\right)Z$$
$$-\frac{r^{*}}{n\left(n-1\right)}\left[g\left(Y,Z\right)X - g\left(X,Z\right)Y\right],$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$, where R^*, W^* and r^* are Riemannian curvature tensor, concircular curvature tensor and scalar curvature tensor of M with respect to ∇^* , respectively.

Lemma 3.5. Let M be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting Zamkovoy connection, then

(3.16)
$$\eta \left(\mathcal{W}^{*} \left(X, Y \right) Z \right) = \left[\frac{r + (n-1)(3n-4)}{n (n-1)} \right] \left[g \left(X, Z \right) \eta \left(Y \right) - g \left(Y, Z \right) \eta \left(X \right) \right],$$

(3.17)
$$\eta \left(\mathcal{W}^* \left(X, Y \right) \xi \right) = 0, \eta \left(\mathcal{W}^* \left(X, \xi \right) \xi \right) = 0, \eta \left(\mathcal{W}^* \left(\xi, Y \right) \xi \right) = 0,$$

(3.18)
$$\mathcal{W}^{*}(X,Y)\xi = \left[\frac{r+(n-1)(n-4)}{n(n-1)}\right] [\eta(X)Y - \eta(Y)X] + 2[\eta(Y)\phi X - \eta(X)\phi Y],$$

(3.19)
$$\mathcal{W}^{*}(\xi, X) Y = -\left[\frac{r + (n-1)(n-4)}{n(n-1)}\right] \left[g(X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)X\right],$$

(3.15)

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

4. EINSTEIN SOLITON ON ANTI-INVARIANT SUBMANIFOLD OF LP-KENMOTSU MANIFOLD WITH RESPECT TO ZAMKOVOY CONNECTION

Theorem 4.1. An Einstein soliton (g, V, λ) on an anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold is invariant under Zamkovoy connection if relation holds

(4.1)
$$0 = 2g(X,Y)\eta(V) - g(X,V)\eta(Y) - g(Y,V)\eta(X) -(n-2)(3n-7)g(X,Y) + 4(n-2)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Proof. The equation (1.4) with respect to Zamkovoy connection on an anti-invariant submanifold M of LP-Kenmotsu manifold may be written as

(4.2)
$$(L_V^*g)(X,Y) + 2S^*(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X,Y) = 0,$$

where L_V^*g denote Lie derivative of g with respect to ∇^* along the vector field V and S^* is the Ricci curvature tensor of M with respect to ∇^* .

After expanding (4.2) and using (3.1) and (3.7) we have

$$(L_V^*g)(X,Y) + 2S^*(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X,Y)$$

$$= g(\nabla_X^*V,Y) + g(X,\nabla_Y^*V) + 2S^*(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X,Y)$$

$$= (L_Vg)(X,Y) + 2S(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r)g(X,Y)$$

$$+2g(X,Y)\eta(V) - g(X,V)\eta(Y) - g(Y,V)\eta(X)$$

$$(4.3) - (n-2)(3n-7)g(X,Y) + 4(n-2)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

which shows that the Einstein soliton (g, V, λ) is invariant on M under Zamkovoy connection, if (4.1) holds.

Theorem 4.2. Let M be an anti-invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting an Einstein soliton (g, V, λ) with respect to ∇^* . If the non-zero potential vector field V be collinear with the structure vector field of M, then the soliton is

- 1. expanding if r > -(3n-8)(n-1),
- 2. steady if r = -(3n 8)(n 1),
- 3. shrinking if r < -(3n-8)(n-1).

Proof. Setting $V = \xi$ in (4.2) and using (3.2) we get

$$0 = (L_{\xi}^{*}g)(X,Y) + 2S^{*}(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^{*})g(X,Y)$$

$$= g(\nabla_{X}^{*}\xi,Y) + g(X,\nabla_{Y}^{*}\xi) + 2S^{*}(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^{*})g(X,Y)$$

$$= [-4 - (n-2)(3n-7) + 2\lambda - r]g(X,Y)$$

$$+2S(X,Y) + 4(n-3)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Putting $X = Y = \xi$ and using (2.1), (2.14) in (4.4) we get

$$\lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[r + (3n - 8)(n - 1) \right],$$

which proves the theorem.

5. η -Einstein Soliton on Anti-Invariant Submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu Manifold with respect to Zamkovoy connection

Theorem 5.1. If an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold M of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admits η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to Zamkovoy connection, then the soliton scalars are given by the following equations

$$\lambda = \frac{r}{2} \left[\frac{n-2}{n-1} \right] + \frac{1}{2} (3n^2 - 10n + 12),$$

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[r - (n-1)(n+4) \right].$$

Proof. The equation (1.5) with respect to Zamkovoy connection on an anti-invariant submanifold M of LP-Kenmotsu manifold may be written as

(5.1)
$$(L_V^*g)(X,Y) + 2S^*(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X,Y) + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y) = 0.$$

Applying $V = \xi$ in (5.1) we get

(5.2)
$$0 = g(\nabla_X^* \xi, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y^* \xi) + 2S^*(X, Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X, Y) + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Using (3.2) in (5.2) we obtain

(5.3)
$$0 = 2S^*(X,Y) + (2\lambda - r^* - 4)g(X,Y) + 2(\beta - 2)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Using (3.7) in (5.3) we get

(5.4)
$$0 = 2S(X,Y) + [2\lambda - (r+4) - (n-2)(3n-7)]g(X,Y) +2(\beta + 2n - 6)\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Setting $X = Y = \xi$ in (5.4) we have

(5.5)
$$\lambda = \beta + \frac{1}{2} \left[r + (3n - 8)(n - 1) \right]$$

Taking an orthonormal frame field and contracting (5.4) over X and Y we obtain

(5.6)
$$\beta = \lambda n - \frac{r}{2}(n-2) - \frac{1}{2}(n-1)(3n^2 - 10n + 12).$$

Comparing the value of β from (5.5) and (5.6) we get

(5.7)
$$\lambda = \frac{r}{2} \left[\frac{n-2}{n-1} \right] + \frac{1}{2} (3n^2 - 10n + 12).$$

Putting the value of λ from (5.7) in (5.5) we get

$$\beta = -\frac{1}{2(n-1)} \left[r - (n-1)(n+4) \right]$$

Corollary 5.2. If an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold M of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold contains η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* then M is η -Einstein manifold *Proof.* From equation (5.4) we have

$$S(X,Y) = -\left[\frac{2\lambda - (r+4) - (n-2)(3n-7)}{2}\right]g(X,Y) - (\beta + 2n - 6)\eta(X)\eta(Y),$$

which shows that M is η -Einstein manifold.

Theorem 5.3. Let M be an anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* . If the structure vector field ξ of Mbe parallel i.e., $\nabla_X \xi = 0$, then M is an η -Einstein manifold.

Proof. If ξ is parallel, then from (3.1) we have

(5.8)
$$\nabla_X^* \xi = -X - \eta \left(X \right) \xi$$

After expanding the Lie derivative and setting $V = \xi$ in (5.1) we get

(5.9)
$$0 = g(\nabla_X^* \xi, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y^* \xi) + 2S^*(X, Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X, Y) + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Using (3.7), (3.14) and (5.8) in (5.9) we get

$$S(X,Y) = -\frac{1}{2} \left[2\lambda - r + (3n-7)(n-2) \right] g(X,Y) - (\beta + 2n-5)\eta(X)\eta(Y) ,$$

which shows that M is η -Einstein.

Theorem 5.4. If M be an anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton (g, V, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* such that $V \in D$, then scalar curvature of M is given by

$$r = 2(\lambda - \beta) - (n - 1)(3n - 8),$$

where D is a distribution on M defined by $D = \ker \eta$.

Proof. Here $V \in D$ and hence

(5.10)
$$\eta\left(V\right) = 0.$$

Taking covariant derivative of (5.10) with respect to ξ and using $(\nabla_{\xi}\eta) V = 0$, we get

(5.11)
$$\eta\left(\nabla_{\xi}V\right) = 0.$$

In view of (3.1) and (5.11) we have

(5.12)
$$\eta\left(\nabla_{\xi}^{*}V\right) = 0.$$

After expanding the Lie derivative of (5.1) we get

(5.13)
$$0 = g(\nabla_X^* V, Y) + g(X, \nabla_Y^* V) + 2S^*(X, Y) + (2\lambda - r^*)g(X, Y) + 2\beta\eta(X)\eta(Y).$$

Setting $X = Y = \xi$ in (5.13) and using (3.11), (5.12), we obtain

$$0 = 2\lambda - r - (n-1)(3n-8) - 2\beta.$$

This gives the theorem.

6. η -Einstein Soliton on Anti-Invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold Satisfying $(\xi)_{R^*} \cdot S^* = 0$

Theorem 6.1. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* . If M satisfies $(\xi_{\cdot})_{R^*} \cdot S^* = 0$, then the soliton constants are given by

$$\beta = 2, \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[r + (3n - 8)(n - 1) + 4 \right].$$

Proof. If M contains an η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* , then (5.2) gives

(6.1)
$$S^{*}(X,Y) = \left[2 - \lambda + \frac{r^{*}}{2}\right]g(X,Y) - (\beta - 2)\eta(X)\eta(Y)$$

The condition that must be satisfied by S^* is

(6.2)
$$S^*(R^*(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S^*(Y, R^*(\xi, X)Z) = 0$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

Using (3.9) and replacing the expression of S^* from (6.1) in (6.2) we get

(6.3)
$$0 = (\beta - 2) [g(X, Y)\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)] + (\beta - 2) [g(X, Z)\eta(Y) + \eta(Y)\eta(Y)\eta(Z)].$$

For $Z = \xi$, we have

$$(\beta - 2)g(\phi X, \phi Y) = 0,$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$, which gives

 $\beta = 2.$

From (5.5) and (6.3) it follows that

$$\beta = 2, \lambda = \frac{1}{2} \left[r + (3n - 8)(n - 1) + 4 \right].$$

Corollary 6.2. The η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) on an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold M of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $(\xi)_{R^*} \cdot S^* = 0$ is shrinking, steady or expanding according as

$$\begin{aligned} r &< -\left[(3n-8)(n-1)+4\right], \\ r &= -\left[(3n-8)(n-1)+4\right], \\ r &> -\left[(3n-8)(n-1)+4\right]. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 6.3. There is no Einstein soliton on M satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{R^*} \cdot S^* = 0$ with potential vector field ξ_{\cdot} .

7. η -Einstein Soliton on Anti-Invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold Satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{W^*} \cdot S^* = 0$

Theorem 7.1. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* . If M satisfies $(\xi_{\cdot})_{W^*} \cdot S^* = 0$, then the scalar curvature of M is given by

$$r = -2(n-1)(n-2),$$

provided $\beta \neq 2$.

Proof. The condition that must be satisfied by S^* is

(7.1)
$$0 = S^*(\mathcal{W}^*(\xi, X)Y, Z) + S^*(Y, \mathcal{W}^*(\xi, X)Z),$$

for all $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M)$.

Replacing the expression of S^* from (6.1) in (7.1) we obtain

(7.2)
$$0 = (\beta - 2) \left[1 - \frac{r^*}{n(n-1)} \right] \left[g(X,Y)\eta(Z) + \eta(Y)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \right] + (\beta - 2) \left[1 - \frac{r^*}{n(n-1)} \right] \left[g(X,Z)\eta(Y) + \eta(Y)\eta(Y)\eta(Z) \right].$$

Setting $Z = \xi$ in (7.2) we get

(7.3)
$$0 = (\beta - 2) \left[1 - \frac{r^*}{n(n-1)} \right] g(\phi X, \phi Y).$$

Using (3.14) in (7.3) we get

$$r = -2(n-1)(n-2),$$

 $\mathbf{i}\mathbf{f}$

 $\beta \neq 2$,

which gives the theorem.

8. η -Einstein Soliton on Anti-Invariant submanifold of LP-Kenmotsu manifold satisfying $(\xi_{\cdot})_{S^*} \cdot \mathcal{W}^* = 0$

Theorem 8.1. Let $M(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an n-dimensional anti-invariant submanifold of an LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton (g, ξ, λ, β) with respect to ∇^* . If M satisfies $(\xi_{\cdot})_{S^*} \cdot \mathcal{W}^* = 0$, then the soliton constants are given by

$$\lambda = \frac{r + (n-1)(3n-4) + 4}{2} + \frac{2(n-1)[r + (n-1)(3n-4)]}{r + (n-1)(n-4)}$$

$$\beta = 2n + \frac{2(n-1)[r + (n-1)(3n-4)]}{r + (n-1)(n-4)}.$$

Proof. The condition that must be satisfied by S^* is

$$0 = S^{*}(X, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)V)\xi - S^{*}(\xi, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)V)X +S(X, Y)\mathcal{W}^{*}(\xi, Z)V - S^{*}(\xi, Y)\mathcal{W}^{*}(X, Z)V +S^{*}(X, Z)\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, \xi)V - S^{*}(\xi, Z)\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, X)V +S^{*}(X, V)\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)\xi - S^{*}(\xi, V)\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)X,$$
(8.1)

for all X, Y, Z, $V \in \chi(M)$. Taking inner product with ξ the relation (8.1) becomes

$$0 = -S^{*}(X, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)V) - S^{*}(\xi, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)V)\eta(X) +S^{*}(X, Y)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(\xi, Z)V) - S^{*}(\xi, Y)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(X, Z)V) +S^{*}(X, Z)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, \xi)V) - S^{*}(\xi, Z)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, X)V) +S^{*}(X, V)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)\xi) - S^{*}(\xi, V)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)X).$$
(8.2)

Setting $V = \xi$ and using (3.16), (3.17), (3.18), (3.19) we get

(8.3)
$$0 = S^{*}(X, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)\xi) + S^{*}(\xi, \mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)\xi)\eta(X) + S^{*}(\xi, \xi)\eta(\mathcal{W}^{*}(Y, Z)X).$$

Replacing the expression of S^* from (6.1) in (8.3) we obtain

(8.4)
$$0 = \left[2 - \lambda + \frac{r^*}{2}\right] \left[2 - \frac{r^*}{n(n-1)}\right] \left[g\left(X,Y\right)\eta\left(Z\right) - g\left(X,Z\right)\eta\left(Y\right)\right] + \frac{2r^*}{n} \left[g\left(X,Y\right)\eta\left(Z\right) - g\left(X,Z\right)\eta\left(Y\right)\right].$$

Setting $Z = \xi$ in (8.4) we get

(8.5)
$$0 = \left[2 - \lambda + \frac{r^*}{2}\right] \left[2 - \frac{r^*}{n(n-1)}\right] g\left(\phi X, \phi Y\right) + \frac{2r^*}{n} g\left(\phi X, \phi Y\right),$$

Using (3.14) in (8.5) we obtain

$$\lambda = \frac{r + (n-1)(3n-4) + 4}{2} + \frac{2(n-1)\left[r + (n-1)(3n-4)\right]}{r + (n-1)(n-4)}.$$

Putting the value of λ in (5.5) we get

$$\beta = 2n + \frac{2(n-1)\left[r + (n-1)(3n-4)\right]}{r + (n-1)(n-4)}$$

This gives the theorem.

9. Example of anti-invariant submanifold of 5-dimensional LP-Kenmotsu manifold admitting η -Einstein soliton with respect to Zamkovoy connection

We consider a 5-dimensional manifold

$$M = \left\{ (x, y, z, u, v) \in \mathbb{R}^5 \right\},\$$

where (x, y, z, u, v) are the standard co-ordinates in \mathbb{R}^5 . We choose the linearly independent vector fields

$$E_1 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, E_2 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, E_3 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial z}, E_4 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial u}, E_5 = x\frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

Let g be the Riemannian metric defined by $g(E_i, E_j) = 0$, if $i \neq j$ for i, j = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, and $g(E_1, E_1) = -1$, $g(E_2, E_2) = 1$, $g(E_3, E_3) = 1$, $g(E_4, E_4) = 1$, $g(E_5, E_5) = 1$.

Let η be the 1-form defined by $\eta(X) = g(X, E_1)$, for any $X \in \chi(M^5)$. Let ϕ be the (1, 1) tensor field defined by

(9.1)
$$\phi E_1 = 0, \phi E_2 = -E_3, \phi E_3 = -E_2, \phi E_4 = -E_5, \phi E_5 = -E_4.$$

Let $X, Y, Z \in \chi(M^5)$ be given by

$$X = x_1E_1 + x_2E_2 + x_3E_3 + x_4E_4 + x_5E_5,$$

$$Y = y_1E_1 + y_2E_2 + y_3E_3 + y_4E_4 + y_5E_5,$$

$$Z = z_1E_1 + z_2E_2 + z_3E_3 + z_4E_4 + z_5E_5.$$

Then, we have

$$g(X,Y) = x_1y_1 + x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 + x_5y_5,$$

$$\eta(X) = -x_1,$$

$$g(\phi X, \phi Y) = x_2y_2 + x_3y_3 + x_4y_4 + x_5y_5.$$

Using the linearity of g and ϕ , $\eta(E_1) = -1$, $\phi^2 X = X + \eta(X) E_1$ and $g(\phi X, \phi Y) = g(X, Y) + \eta(X) \eta(Y)$ for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

We have

$$\begin{split} & [E_1, E_2] &= E_2, [E_1, E_3] = E_3, [E_1, E_4] = E_4, [E_1, E_5] = E_5, \\ & [E_2, E_1] &= -E_2, [E_3, E_1] = -E_3, [E_4, E_1] = -E_4, [E_5, E_1] = -E_5, \\ & [E_i, E_j] &= 0 \text{ for all others } i \text{ and } j. \end{split}$$

Let the Levi-Civita connection with respect to g be ∇ , then using Koszul formula we get the following

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{E_1} E_1 &= 0, \nabla_{E_1} E_2 = 0, \nabla_{E_1} E_3 = 0, \nabla_{E_1} E_4 = 0, \nabla_{E_1} E_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2} E_1 &= -E_2, \nabla_{E_2} E_2 = -E_1, \nabla_{E_2} E_3 = 0, \nabla_{E_2} E_4 = 0, \nabla_{E_2} E_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_3} E_1 &= -E_3, \nabla_{E_3} E_2 = 0, \nabla_{E_3} E_3 = -E_1, \nabla_{E_3} E_4 = 0, \nabla_{E_3} E_5 = 0 \\ \nabla_{E_4} E_1 &= -E_4, \nabla_{E_4} E_2 = 0, \nabla_{E_4} E_3 = 0, \nabla_{E_4} E_4 = -E_1, \nabla_{E_4} E_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_5} E_1 &= -E_5, \nabla_{E_5} E_2 = 0, \nabla_{E_5} E_3 = 0, \nabla_{E_5} E_4 = 0, \nabla_{E_5} E_5 = -E_1. \end{split}$$

From the above results we see that the structure (ϕ, ξ, η, g) satisfies

$$(\nabla_X \phi)Y = -g(\phi X, Y)\xi - \eta(Y)\phi X,$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M^5)$, where $\eta(\xi) = \eta(E_1) = -1$. Hence $M^5(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ is a LP-Kenmotsu manifold.

Let $M^*(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$ be an anti-invariant submanifold of $M^5(\phi, \xi, \eta, g)$. Then the non-zero components of Riemannian curvature of M^* with respect to Levi-Civita connection ∇ are given by

$$\begin{split} R\left(E_{1},E_{2}\right)E_{1}&=E_{2}, R\left(E_{1},E_{2}\right)E_{2}=-E_{1}, R\left(E_{1},E_{3}\right)E_{1}=E_{3},\\ R\left(E_{1},E_{3}\right)E_{3}&=-E_{1}, R\left(E_{1},E_{4}\right)E_{1}=E_{4}, R\left(E_{1},E_{4}\right)E_{4}=-E_{1},\\ R\left(E_{1},E_{5}\right)E_{1}&=E_{5}, R\left(E_{1},E_{5}\right)E_{5}=-E_{1}, R\left(E_{2},E_{1}\right)E_{2}=E_{1},\\ R\left(E_{2},E_{1}\right)E_{1}&=-E_{2}, R\left(E_{2},E_{3}\right)E_{2}=E_{3}, R\left(E_{2},E_{3}\right)E_{3}=-E_{2},\\ R\left(E_{2},E_{4}\right)E_{2}&=E_{4}, R\left(E_{2},E_{4}\right)E_{4}=-E_{2}, R\left(E_{2},E_{5}\right)E_{2}=E_{5},\\ R\left(E_{2},E_{5}\right)E_{5}&=-E_{2}, R\left(E_{3},E_{1}\right)E_{3}=E_{1}, R\left(E_{3},E_{1}\right)E_{1}=-E_{3},\\ R\left(E_{3},E_{2}\right)E_{3}&=E_{2}, R\left(E_{3},E_{2}\right)E_{2}=-E_{3}, R\left(E_{3},E_{4}\right)E_{3}=E_{4},\\ R\left(E_{3},E_{4}\right)E_{4}&=-E_{3}, R\left(E_{3},E_{5}\right)E_{3}=E_{5}, R\left(E_{3},E_{5}\right)E_{5}=-E_{3},\\ R\left(E_{4},E_{1}\right)E_{4}&=E_{1}, R\left(E_{4},E_{1}\right)E_{1}=-E_{4}, R\left(E_{4},E_{2}\right)E_{4}=E_{2},\\ R\left(E_{4},E_{5}\right)E_{4}&=E_{5}, R\left(E_{4},E_{5}\right)E_{5}=-E_{4}, R\left(E_{5},E_{1}\right)E_{5}=E_{1},\\ R\left(E_{5},E_{1}\right)E_{1}&=-E_{5}, R\left(E_{5},E_{2}\right)E_{5}=E_{2}, R\left(E_{5},E_{2}\right)E_{2}=-E_{5},\\ R\left(E_{5},E_{3}\right)E_{5}&=E_{3}, R\left(E_{5},E_{3}\right)E_{3}&=-E_{5}, R\left(E_{5},E_{4}\right)E_{5}=E_{4}. \end{split}$$

By the help of (3.1), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \nabla_{E_1}^* E_1 &= 0, \ \nabla_{E_1}^* E_2 = E_3, \\ \nabla_{E_1}^* E_3 = E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_1}^* E_4 = E_5, \\ \nabla_{E_1}^* E_5 = E_4, \\ \nabla_{E_2}^* E_1 &= -2E_2, \\ \nabla_{E_2}^* E_2 = -2E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_2}^* E_3 = 0, \ \nabla_{E_2}^* E_4 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_2}^* E_4 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_3}^* E_1 &= -2E_3, \\ \nabla_{E_3}^* E_2 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_3}^* E_3 = -2E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_3}^* E_4 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_3}^* E_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_4}^* E_1 &= -2E_4, \\ \nabla_{E_4}^* E_2 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_4}^* E_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_4}^* E_4 = -2E_1, \\ \nabla_{E_4}^* E_5 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_5}^* E_1 &= -2E_5, \\ \nabla_{E_5}^* E_2 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_5}^* E_3 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_5}^* E_4 = 0, \\ \nabla_{E_5}^* E_5 = -2E_1. \end{split}$$

Some of the non-zero components of Riemannian curvature tensor of M^* with respect to Zamkovoy connection are given by

$$\begin{aligned} R^* \left(E_1, E_3 \right) E_1 &= 2 \left(E_2 - E_3 \right), R^* \left(E_2, E_3 \right) E_2 = -4E_3, \\ R^* \left(E_4, E_3 \right) E_4 &= -4E_3, R^* \left(E_5, E_3 \right) E_5 = -4E_3, \\ R^* \left(E_3, E_1 \right) E_1 &= 2 \left(E_2 - E_3 \right), R^* \left(E_3, E_2 \right) E_2 = 4E_3, \\ R^* \left(E_3, E_4 \right) E_4 &= 4E_3, R^* \left(E_3, E_5 \right) E_5 = 4E_4. \end{aligned}$$

Using the above curvature tensors the Ricci curvature tensors of M^* with respect to ∇ and ∇^* are

$$S(E_1, E_1) = -4, S(E_2, E_2) = S(E_3, E_3) = -2,$$

$$S(E_4, E_4) = S(E_5, E_5) = -2,$$

$$S^*(E_1, E_1) = -8, S^*(E_2, E_2) = S^*(E_4, E_4) = 14,$$

$$S^*(E_5, E_5) = S^*(E_3, E_3) = 14.$$

Therefore, the scalar curvature tensor of M^* with respect to Levi-Civita connection is r =

-12 and scalar curvature tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection is $r^* = 32$.

Setting $V = X = Y = E_1$ in (5.1) we have

$$0 = \left(L_{E_{1}}^{*}g\right)\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + 2S^{*}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + (2\lambda - r^{*})g\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + 2\beta\eta\left(E_{1}\right)\eta\left(E_{1}\right),$$

$$= g\left(\nabla_{E_{1}}^{*}E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + g\left(E_{1}, \nabla_{E_{1}}^{*}E_{1}\right) + 2S^{*}\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + (2\lambda - r^{*})g\left(E_{1}, E_{1}\right) + 2\beta\eta\left(E_{1}\right)\eta\left(E_{1}\right),$$

$$= 0 + 0 + 2(-8) + (2\lambda - 32)(-1) + 2\beta,$$

$$= \beta - \lambda + 8,$$

which gives

$$\begin{split} \lambda &= \beta + 8, \\ &= \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left[-12 + 28 \right], \\ &= \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left[-12 + (3 \times 5 - 8)(5 - 1) \right], \\ &= \lambda + \frac{1}{2} \left[r + (3n - 8)(n - 1) \right], \end{split}$$

which shows that λ and β satisfies relation (5.5).

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank the reviewers and editor for their constructive comments and valuable suggestions that can improve the quality of the paper.

References

- A. Biswas, and K. K. Baishya, Study on generalized pseudo (Ricci) symmetric Sasakian manifold admitting general connection, Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Brasov, 12(2) (2019), 233-246.
- [2] A. Biswas, and K. K. Baishya, A general connection on Sasakian manifolds and the case of almost pseudo symmetric Sasakian manifolds, Scientific Studies and Research Series Mathematics and Informatics, 29(1) (2019), 59-72.
- [3] A. M. Blaga, Canonical connections on para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Novi Sad J. Math., 45(2) (2015), 131-142.
- [4] A. M. Blaga, On Gradient η-Einstein solitons, Kragujev. J. Math., 42(2) (2018), 229-237.
- [5] G. Catino and L. Mazzieri, Gradient Einstein Solitons, Nonlinear Anal., 132 (2016), 66-94.
- [6] V. Chandra and S. Lal, On 3-dimensional Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Diff. Geo. Dynamical System, 22 (2020), 87-94.
- [7] A. Das and A. Mandal, Study of Ricci solitons on concircularly flat Sasakian manifolds admitting Zamkovoy connection, The Aligarh Bull. of Math., 39(2) (2020), 47-61.
- [8] R. S. Hamilton, The Ricci flow on surfaces, Math. and General Relativity, American Math. Soc. Contemp. Math., 7(1) (1988), 232-262.
- [9] A. Hasseb, and R. Prasad, Certain results on Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Bol. Soc. Paran. Math., 39(3) (2021), 201-220.
- [10] P. Karmakar, η-Ricci-Yamabe soliton on anti-invariant submanifolds of trans-Sasakian manifold admitting Zamkovoy connection, Balkan J. Geom. Appl., 27(2) (2022), 50-65.
- [11] P. Karmakar and A. Bhattyacharyya, Anti-invariant submanifolds of some indefinite almost contact and para-contact manifolds, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 112(2) (2020), 95-108.
- [12] W. Kuhnel, Conformal transformations between Einstein spaces, Aspects Math., 12 (1988), 105-146.
- [13] A. Mandal, A. and A. Das, On M-Projective Curvature Tensor of Sasakian Manifolds admitting Zamkovoy Connection, Adv. Math. Sci. J., 9(10) (2020), 8929-8940.
- [14] A. Mandal, A. and A. Das, Projective Curvature Tensor with respect to Zamkovoy connection in Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds, J. Indones. Math. Soc., 26(3) (2020), 369-379.
- [15] A. Mandal, A. and A. Das, Pseudo projective curvature tensor on Sasakian manifolds admitting Zamkovoy connection, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., Vol. 112(5) (2020), 431-450.
- [16] A. Mandal, A. and A. Das, LP-Sasakian manifolds requipped with Zamkovoy connection in Lorentzian para Sasakian manifolds, J. Indones. Math. Soc., 27(2) (2021), 137-149.
- [17] A. Mandal, A. H. Sarkar and A. Das, Zamkovoy connection on Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 114(5) (2022), 401-420.
- [18] K. Matsumoto, On Lorentzian paracontact manifolds, Bull. of Yamagata Univ. Nat. Sci., 12 (1989), 151-156.
- [19] H. G. Nagaraja and C. R. Premalatha, *Ricci solitons in Kenmotsu manifolds*, J. of Mathematical Analysis, Vol. 3(2) (2012), 18-24.
- [20] H. B. Pandey and A. Kumar, Anti-invariant submanifolds of almost para-contact manifolds, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., 20(11) (1989), 1119-1125.
- [21] V. V. Reddy, R. Sharma and S. Sivaramkrishan, Space times through Hawking-Ellis construction with a back ground Riemannian metric, Class Quant. Grav., 24 (2007), 3339-3345.
- [22] K. L. Sai Prasad, S. Sunitha Devi and G. V. S. R. Deekshitulu, On a class of Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds admitting the Weyl-projective curvature tensor of type (1,3), Italian J. Pure & Applied Math., 45 (2021), 990-1001.
- [23] I. Sato, On a structure similar to the almost contact structure II, Tensor N. S., **31** (1977), 199-205.
- [24] R. Sharma, Certain results on K-contact and (k, μ)-contact manifolds, J. of Geometry., Vol. 89 (2008), 138-147.
- [25] N. V. C. Sukhla and A. Dixit, On ϕ -recurrent Lorentzian para-Kenmotsu manifolds, Int. J. of Math. and Com. App. Research, **10**(2) (2020), 13-20.

- [26] M. M. Tripathi, Ricci solitons in contact metric manifold, ArXiv: 0801. 4222 vl [math. D. G.], (2008).
- [27] K. Yano and S. Bochner, Curvature and Betti numbers, Annals of Mathematics Studies, 32 (1953).
- [28] K. Yano and M. Kon, Anti-invariant submanifolds of Sasakian space forms I, Tohoku Math. J., 1 (1977), 9-23.
- [29] K. Yano, Concircular geometry I, concircular transformations, Proc. Imp. Acad. Tokyo, 16 (1940), 195-200.
- [30] S. Zamkovoy, Canonical connections on paracontact manifolds, Ann. Global Anal. Geom., 36(1) (2008), 37-60.