

HH^* - INTUITIONISTIC HEYTING VALUED Ω -ALGEBRA AND HOMOMORPHISM

SİNEM TARSUSLU(YILMAZ), GÖKHAN CUVALCIOĞLU

ABSTRACT. Intuitionistic Logic was introduced by L. E. J. Brouwer in[1] and Heyting algebra was defined by A. Heyting to formalize the Brouwer's intuitionistic logic[4]. The concept of Heyting algebra has been accepted as the basis for intuitionistic propositional logic. Heyting algebras have had applications in different areas. The co-Heyting algebra is the same lattice with dual operation of Heyting algebra[5]. Also, co-Heyting algebras have several applications in different areas.

In this paper, we introduced the new concept HH^* – Intuitionistic Heyting Valued Ω -Algebra. The purpose of introducing this new concept is to expand the field of researchers' area using both membership degree and non-membership degree. This allows us to get more sensitive results. The HH^* – Intuitionistic Heyting valued set, HH^* – Intuitionistic Heyting valued relation, HH^* – Intuitionistic Heyting valued Ω -algebra and the homomorphism over HH^* – Intuitionistic Heyting valued Ω -algebra were defined.

Key Words: Heyting Valued Algebra, co-Heyting Valued Algebra, Omega Algebra, Intuitionistic Logic.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 03C05; Secondary: 06D20, 03F55.

1. INTRODUCTION

A Heyting algebra is a lattice expanded with a implication operation " \rightarrow ". In 1930, the concept of Heyting algebra introduced by A. Heyting[4] as following,

Received: 17 October 2017. Communicated by Ali Taghavi;

*Address correspondence to S. Tarsuslu (Yilmaz); E-mail: sinemnyilmaz@gmail.com.

© 2017 University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

Definition 1.1. [4]A Heyting algebra is an algebra $(H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0_H, 1_H)$ such that $(H, \vee, \wedge, 0, 1)$ is an lattice and for all $a, b, c \in H$,

$$a \leq b \rightarrow c \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b \leq c$$

$(H, \vee, \wedge, 0_H, 1_H)$ is a Heyting algebra with $\forall a, b \in H$,

$$a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c : a \wedge c \leq b, c \in H\}.$$

The notion of co-Heyting algebra for a Heyting algebra defined in [5].

Definition 1.2. [5]A co-Heyting algebra is an algebra $(H^*, \vee, \wedge, \hookrightarrow, 0_{H^*}, 1_{H^*})$ such that $(H^*, \vee, \wedge, 0_{H^*}, 1_{H^*})$ is an lattice and for all $a, b, c \in H^*$,

$$a \hookrightarrow b \leq c \Leftrightarrow a \leq b \vee c$$

$(H^*, \vee, \wedge, 0_{H^*}, 1_{H^*})$ is a co-Heyting algebra with $\forall a, b \in H^*$,

$$a \hookrightarrow b = \bigwedge \{c : a \vee c \geq b, c \in H^*\}.$$

The implication operation in co-Heyting algebra is define $a \rightarrow b = b \hookrightarrow a$.

Let $(H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0_H, 1_H)$ be a Heyting algebra and $(H^*, \vee, \wedge, \hookrightarrow, 0_{H^*}, 1_{H^*})$ is a co-Heyting algebra of $(H, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow, 0_H, 1_H)$.

$L = H \times H^*$ is a lattice with $(x_1, x_2) \leq_L (y_1, y_2) \Leftrightarrow x_1 \leq_H y_1$ and $x_2 \geq_{H^*} y_2$.For $x, y \in L$, $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$, the operators \wedge and \vee on (L, \leq_L) are defined as following;

$$\begin{aligned} x \wedge y &= (\min \{x_1, y_1\}, \max \{x_2, y_2\}) \\ x \vee y &= (\max \{x_1, y_1\}, \min \{x_2, y_2\}) \end{aligned}$$

$0_L = (0_H, 1_{H^*})$ and $1_L = (1_H, 0_{H^*})$ are called greatest and least element of L , respectively.

The \rightarrow_L is a binary operation on L with $x \rightarrow_L y = (x_1 \rightarrow_H y_1, y_2 \hookrightarrow_{H^*} x_2)$.

Definition 1.3. A HH^* -Intuitionistic Heyting algebra is an algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow_L, 0_L, 1_L)$ such that $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0_L, 1_L)$ is an lattice as defined above and for all $a, b, c \in L$,

$$a \leq_L b \rightarrow_L c \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b \leq_L c.$$

The $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0_L, 1_L)$ lattice is a HH^* -Intuitionistic Heyting algebra with $\forall a, b \in L, a = (a_1, a_2)$ and $b = (b_1, b_2)$,

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow_L b &= \bigvee \{c : a \wedge c \leq_L b, c \in L\} \\ &= \left(\bigvee \{c_1 : a_1 \wedge c_1 \leq_H b_1, c_1 \in H\}, \bigwedge \{c_2 : b_2 \vee c_2 \geq a_2, c_2 \in H^*\} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Proposition 1.4. *An algebra $(L, \vee, \wedge, \rightarrow_L, 0_L, 1_L)$ is a HH^* -Intuitionistic Heyting algebra if and only if $(L, \vee, \wedge, 0_L, 1_L)$ is an lattice and the following identities hold for all $a, b, c \in L$,*

- (1) $a \rightarrow_L a = 1_L$
- (2) $a \wedge (a \rightarrow_L b) = a \wedge b$
- (3) $b \wedge (a \rightarrow_L b) = b$
- (4) $a \rightarrow_L (b \wedge c) = (a \rightarrow_L b) \wedge (a \rightarrow_L c)$

Proof. (1) $\forall a \in L,$

$$a \rightarrow_L a = (a_1 \rightarrow_H a_1, a_2 \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2) = (1_H, 0_{H^*}) = 1_L$$

(2) From definition it is obtained that,

$$\begin{aligned} a \wedge (a \rightarrow_L b) &= (a_1 \wedge (a_1 \rightarrow_H b_1), a_2 \vee (b_2 \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2)) \\ &= (a_1 \wedge b_1, a_2 \vee b_2) = a \wedge b \end{aligned}$$

(3) $\forall a, b \in L,$

$$b \wedge (a \rightarrow_L b) = (b_1 \wedge (a_1 \rightarrow_H b_1), b_2 \vee (b_2 \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2)) = b$$

(4) $\forall a, b, c \in L,$

$$\begin{aligned} a \rightarrow_L (b \wedge c) &= (a_1 \rightarrow_H (b_1 \wedge c_1), (b_2 \wedge c_2) \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2) \\ &= ((a_1 \rightarrow_H b_1) \wedge (a_1 \rightarrow_H c_1), (b_2 \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2) \vee (c_2 \leftrightarrow_{H^*} a_2)) \\ &= (a \rightarrow_L b) \wedge (a \rightarrow_L c) \end{aligned}$$

□

2. HH^* -INTUITIONISTIC VALUED SETS

In this section, firstly we introduced the concept of HH^* -Intuitionistic Valued Set and HH^* -Intuitionistic Valued Function. Then, we defined HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation and equivalence class. Some properties of these concepts were examined.

Definition 2.1. Let H be a complete Heyting algebra and H^* be a complete co-Heyting algebra of H . Let X be a universal and $L = H \times H^*$ then HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set is determined with $[=]$ function

$$[=]_L : X \times X \rightarrow L, [=]_L(a, b) = ([a = b]_H, [a = b]_{H^*})$$

which satisfy the following conditions.

- (1) $[a = b]_L \leq_L [b = a]_L$
- (2) $[a = b]_L \wedge [b = c]_L \leq_L [a = c]_L$

If X a universal and $[=]_L$ is a function satisfy the above conditions then X called HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set and it is shown $(X, [=]_L)$.

Let X be a universal. $u \in X, E(u)$ means the degree of existence the elemet u . For HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set we will use,

$$E(u) = [u \in X]_L.$$

$$\text{So, } [u \in X]_L = [u = u]_L.$$

Definition 2.2. Let A be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set. The subset of A is a $s : A \rightarrow L$ function with following conditions.

- (1) $[x \in s]_L \wedge [x = y]_L \leq_L [y \in s]_L$
- (2) $[x \in s]_L \leq_L [x \in A]_L$

Definition 2.3. Let $(X, [=]_L)$ and $(Y, [=]_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets. If $f_L : X \times Y \rightarrow L$ function satisfy the following conditions then called HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function and it is shown $f_L : X \rightarrow Y$.

- F1 $f_L(x, y) \leq_L [x = x]_L \wedge [y = y]_L$
- F2 $[x = x']_L \wedge f_L(x, y) \wedge [y = y']_L \leq_L f_L(x', y')$
- F3 $f_L(x, y) \wedge f_L(x, y') \leq_L [y = y']_L$
- F4 $[x = x]_L \leq_L \bigvee \{f_L(x, y) : y \in Y\}$

$$\text{Notation 2.4. } f_L(x, y) := [f_L(x) = y]_L = ([f_L(x) = y]_H, [f_L(x) = y]_{H^*})$$

Definition 2.5. Let $(X, [=]_L)$ be an HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set. $I : X \times X \rightarrow L, I(x, x') = [x = x']_L$ function is called unit function.

Definition 2.6. Let $(X, [=]_L), (Y, [=]_L)$ and $(Z, [=]_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y, g_L : Y \rightarrow Z$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued functions. For $x \in X, z \in Z$,

$$(g \circ f)_L(x, z) = \bigvee \{f_L(x, y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in Y\}.$$

Proposition 2.7. Let $(X, =_L), (Y, =_L)$ and $(Z, =_L)$ be HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y, g_L : Y \rightarrow Z$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued functions. The function $(g \circ f)_L : X \rightarrow Z$ is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function.

Proof. (i) Let $x \in X, z \in Z, (g \circ f)_L(x, z) = \bigvee \{f_L(x, y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in Y\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} &\leq \bigvee \{[x = x]_H \wedge [y = y]_H \wedge [z = z]_H : y \in Y\} \\ &= [x = x]_H \wedge [z = z]_H \wedge \bigvee \{[y = y]_H : y \in Y\} \\ &\leq [x = x]_H \wedge [z = z]_H \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge \{f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} &\geq \{[x = x]_{H^*} \vee [y = y]_{H^*} \vee [z = z]_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \\ &= [x = x]_{H^*} \vee [z = z]_{H^*} \vee \{[y = y]_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \\ &\geq [x = x]_{H^*} \vee [z = z]_{H^*} \end{aligned}$$

So, $(g \circ f)_L(x, z) \leq_L [x = x]_L \wedge [z = z]_L$

(ii) Let $x, x' \in X$ and $z, z' \in Z$,

$$[x = x']_L \wedge (g \circ f)_L(x, z) \wedge [z = z']_L = [x = x']_L \wedge \bigvee \{f_L(x, y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in Y\} \wedge [z = z']_L.$$

Firstly,

$$\begin{aligned} &[x = x']_H \wedge \bigvee \{f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} \wedge [z = z']_H \\ &= \bigvee \{[x = x']_H \wedge f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) \wedge [z = z']_H : y \in Y\} \\ &= \bigvee \{[x = x']_H \wedge f_H(x, y) \wedge [y = y]_H \wedge g_H(y, z) \wedge [z = z']_H : y \in Y\} \\ &\leq \bigvee \{f_H(x', y') \wedge g_H(y', z') : y \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} &[x = x']_{H^*} \vee \{f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} \vee [z = z']_{H^*} \\ &= \{[x = x']_{H^*} \vee f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) \vee [z = z']_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \\ &= \{[x = x']_{H^*} \vee f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee [y = y]_{H^*} \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) \vee [z = z']_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \\ &\geq \{f_{H^*}(x', y') \vee g_{H^*}(y', z') : y' \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $[x = x']_L \wedge (g \circ f)_L(x, z) \wedge [z = z']_L \leq (g \circ f)_L(x', z')$

(iii) Let $x \in X$ and $z, z' \in Z$,

$$(g \circ f)_L(x, z) \wedge (g \circ f)_L(x, z')$$

$$= \bigvee \{f_L(x, y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in Y\} \wedge \bigvee \{f_L(x, t) \wedge g_L(t, z') : t \in Y\}$$

Now,

$$\bigvee \{f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} \wedge \bigvee \{f_H(x, t) \wedge g_H(t, z') : t \in Y\}$$

$$= \bigvee \{f_H(x, y) \wedge f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) \wedge g_H(y, z') : y \in Y\}$$

$$= \bigvee \{[y = y]_H \wedge [z = z']_H : y \in Y\}$$

$$\leq [z = z']_H$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} \vee \{f_{H^*}(x, t) \vee g_{H^*}(t, z') : t \in Y\} \\ &= \{f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z') : y \in Y\} \\ &\geq \{[y = y]_{H^*} \vee [z = z']_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \\ &\geq [z = z']_{H^*} \end{aligned}$$

(iv) Let $x \in X$, then

$$\bigvee \{(g \circ f)_L(x, z) : z \in Z\} = \bigvee \{\bigvee \{f_L(x, y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{f_H(x, y) \wedge g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{f_H(x, y) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z \right\} \wedge \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z \right\} \\ &\geq [x = x]_H \wedge \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{g_H(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z \right\} \\ &= [x = x]_H \wedge \bigvee \left\{ \bigvee \{g_H(y, z) : z \in Z\} : y \in Y \right\} \\ &\geq [x = x]_H \wedge \bigvee \{[y = y]_H : y \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & \{\{f_{H^*}(x, y) \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z\} \\ &= \{\{f_{H^*}(x, y) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z\} \vee \{\{g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z\} \\ &\leq [x = x]_{H^*} \vee \{\{g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in Y\} : z \in Z\} \\ &= [x = x]_{H^*} \vee \{\{g_{H^*}(y, z) : z \in Z\} : y \in Y\} \\ &\leq [x = x]_{H^*} \vee \bigvee \{[y = y]_{H^*} : y \in Y\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{So, } \bigvee \{(g \circ f)_L(x, z) : z \in Z\} \geq [x = x]_L \quad \square$$

Definition 2.8. Let $(X, =_L)$ and $(Y, =_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function.

(1) f_L is a monomorphism. $\Leftrightarrow \forall x, x' \in X, y \in Y,$

$$f_L(x, y) \wedge f_L(x', y) \leq [x = x']$$

(2) f_L is a epimorphism. $\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in Y,$

$$[y = y']_L \leq \{f_L(x, y) : x \in X\}$$

Definition 2.9. Let $(X, =_L)$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets. $R_L : X \times X \rightarrow L$ is called HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation if and only if

$$\text{R1 } R_L(x, y) \wedge [x = x]_L = R_L(x, y), R_L(x, y) \wedge [y = y]_L = R_L(x, y)$$

$$\text{R2 } R_L(x, y) \wedge [x = x']_L \leq R_L(x', y), R_L(x, y) \wedge [y = y']_L \leq R_L(x, y')$$

- R3 $[x = x]_L \leq R_L(x, x)$:
- R4 $R_L(x, y) \leq R_L(y, x)$
- R5 $R_L(x, y) \vee R_L(y, z) \leq R_L(x, z)$

Example 2.10. Let $(X, =_L), (Y, =_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function. $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X$,

$$\begin{aligned} C_f(x_1, x_2) &= [f_L(x_1) = f_L(x_2)]_L \\ &= ([f_H(x_1) = f_H(x_2)]_H, [f_{H^*}(x_1) = f_{H^*}(x_2)]_{H^*}) \end{aligned}$$

function is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X .

Definition 2.11. Let R_L be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X . $d_L : X \rightarrow L$ is called equivalence class of $R_L \Leftrightarrow$

- d1 $d_L(x) \wedge R_L(x, x') \leq d_L(x')$
- d2 $d_L(x) \wedge d_L(y) \leq R_L(x, y)$

$d_L(x)$ is the equivalence class of $x \in X$.

Proposition 2.12. Let R_L be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X and σ_L, τ_L are equivalence class of R_L .

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{\sigma_L(x) : x \in X\} &= \bigvee \{\tau_L(x) : x \in X\} \text{ and} \\ \sigma_L(x) &\leq \tau_L(x) \Rightarrow \sigma_L = \tau_L \end{aligned}$$

Proof. For $x_0 \in X, \tau_L(x_0) = \bigvee \{\tau_L(x) \wedge \sigma_L(x) : x \in X\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \bigvee \{\tau_H(x) \wedge \sigma_H(x) : x \in X\} &\leq \bigvee \{R_H(x_0, x) \wedge \sigma_H(x)\} \\ &\leq \sigma_H(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \{\tau_{H^*}(x) \vee \sigma_{H^*}(x) : x \in X\} &\geq \{R_{H^*}(x_0, x) \vee \sigma_{H^*}(x) : x \in X\} \\ &\geq \sigma_{H^*}(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 2.13. Let $(X, =_L), (Y, =_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function. f_L is surjective $\Leftrightarrow \forall y \in Y,$

$$\bigvee \{[f_L(x) = y] : x \in X\} = [y = y]_L$$

3. HH*-INTUITIONISTIC VALUED Ω -ALGEBRAS

To create HH^* -Intuitionistic Valued Ω -Algebra, let Ω be a set of the operations defined as follows.

Let $L = H \times H^*$ such that H is a complete Heyting algebra and H^* is a complete co-Heyting algebra of H , so

$$\Omega = \{\omega_L : X^n \times X \rightarrow L : \omega \text{ satisfy F1-F4 conditions}\}$$

It means that, if $\omega \in \Omega$, ω is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function. The concept of HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra can be defined as following;

Definition 3.1. Let $(X, =_L)$ HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set. $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ is called HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra if the following condition satisfy.

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{For } \omega_L \in \Omega \text{ and } ((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), c) \in X^n \times X, \\ & \bigvee \{ \{[x_i \in A]_L \wedge \omega_L((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), d) \vee [c = d]_L : i = 1, 2, \dots, n\} : d \in X \} \\ & \geq \omega_L((x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), c) \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.2. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra.

$$\{\Theta\} : A \rightarrow L, [\Theta]_L = 1_L$$

is a subset of A .

$$E = \langle \{\Theta\}, \Omega \rangle$$

is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra. E is called trivial HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra.

Definition 3.3. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra. If $K \subseteq X, B : K \rightarrow L$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set, $(B, =_L) \subseteq (A, =_L)$ and for all $\omega_L \in \Omega, \omega_L \downarrow_B$ satisfy the (1) then B is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -subalgebra of A .

Example 3.4. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra. $E = \langle \{\Theta\}, \Omega \rangle$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -subalgebra.

Definition 3.5. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ and $B = \langle Y, \Omega \rangle$ be similar HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebras and $f_L : A \rightarrow B$ be HH^* -Intuitionistic valued function. f_L is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphism \Leftrightarrow

- H1 $[x = x]_L = [f_L(x) = f_L(x)]_L$
- H2 $[x = x']_L \leq [f_L(x) = f_L(x')]_L$
- H3 $f_L(\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y) = \{f_L(x_i, y_i) : y = \omega_L(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_L(x_i, y_i) > 0\}.$

Example 3.6. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra and $f_L : E \rightarrow A$, $g_L : E \rightarrow A$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued functions. $\forall x, I(\{\Theta\}, x) = 1_L$ HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphism exist. This homomorphism is unique.

Proposition 3.7. *Let A, B, C are similar HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebras. If $f_L : A \rightarrow B$, $g_L : B \rightarrow C$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphisms then $(g \circ f)_L : A \rightarrow C$ is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphism.*

Proof. Let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in A$, $z \in C$,

i.

$$\begin{aligned} [x = x]_L &= ([x = x]_H, [x = x]_{H^*}) \\ &= ([f_H(x) = f_H(x)]_H, [f_{H^*}(x) = f_{H^*}(x)]_{H^*}) \\ &= [f_L(x) = f_L(x)]_L \end{aligned}$$

ii.

$$\begin{aligned} [x = x']_L &= ([x = x']_H, [x = x']_{H^*}) \\ &\leq ([f_H(x) = f_H(x')]_H, [f_{H^*}(x) = f_{H^*}(x')]_{H^*}) \\ &= [f_L(x) = f_L(x')]_L \end{aligned}$$

iii. $(g \circ f)_L(\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), z) = \bigvee \{f_L(\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y) \wedge g_L(y, z) : y \in B\}$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} &\bigvee \{f_H(x_i, y_i) : y = \omega_H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_H(x_i, y_i) > 0\} \wedge g_H(y, z) : y \in B \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_H(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n \wedge g_H(\omega_H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), z) \\ : y = \omega_H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_H(x_i, y_i) > 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_H(x_i, y_i) \wedge g_H(y_i, z_i) : y = \omega_H(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_H(x_i, y_i) > 0, \\ z = \omega_H(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), g_H(y_i, z_i) > 0 \end{array} \right\} : y_i \in B \} \\ &= \{(g \circ f)_H(x_i, z_i) : z = \omega_H(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), (g \circ f)_H(x_i, z_i) > 0\} : i = 1, \dots, n \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\{\bigvee \{f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) : y = \omega_{H^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) > 0\} \vee g_{H^*}(y, z) : y \in B\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bigvee \{f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\} \vee g_{H^*}(\omega_{H^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), z) \\ : y = \omega_{H^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) > 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \bigvee \left\{ \begin{array}{l} f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) \vee g_{H^*}(y_i, z_i) : \\ y = \omega_{H^*}(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n), f_{H^*}(x_i, y_i) > 0, \end{array} \right\} : y_i \in B \\ z = \omega_{H^*}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), g_{H^*}(y_i, z_i) > 0 \end{array} \right\} \\ &= \bigvee \left\{ \begin{array}{l} (g \circ f)_{H^*}(x_i, z_i) : z = \omega_{H^*}(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), \\ (g \circ f)_{H^*}(x_i, z_i) > 0, i = 1, \dots, n \end{array} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we obtain that

$$(g \circ f)_L(\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), z)$$

$$= \{(g \circ f)_L(x_i, z_i) : z = \omega_L(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_n), (g \circ f)_L(x_i, z_i) > 0\}. \quad \square$$

Definition 3.8. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra and R_L be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X . R_L called HH^* -Intuitionistic valued congruence relation if and only if $\forall \omega_L \in \Omega, x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n), y = (y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in X^n$,

$$R_L(\omega_L(x), \omega_L(y)) = \{R_L(x_i, y_i) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Example 3.9. Let $(X, =_L), (Y, =_L)$ are HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebras and $f_L : X \rightarrow Y$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphism. $\forall x_1, x_2 \in X, C_f(x_1, x_2)$ is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued congruence relation on X .

Let $(X, =_L)$ be an HH^* -Intuitionistic valued set and X/R_L is set of the equivalence classes of R_L , HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X . For, $\tau_L, \sigma_L \in X/R_L, [\tau_L = \sigma_L]_L$ defined as following,

$$[\tau_L = \sigma_L]_L = \bigvee \{\tau_L(x) \vee \sigma_L(x) : x \in X\}$$

So, $(X/R_L, =_L)$ is HH^* -Intuitionistic valued sets.

If $d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n, \tau \in X/R_L$ then HH^* -Intuitionistic valued operator on X/R_L defined as follow:

$$\omega_L((d_1, d_2, \dots, d_n), \tau) = [d_{\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)} = \tau]_L$$

Therefore, $a \in X$,

$$d_{\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(a) = \{d_{x_i}(a) : i = 1, \dots, n\}$$

Theorem 3.10. Let $A = \langle X, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra, R_L be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued equivalence relation on X and $\langle X/R_L, \Omega \rangle$ be a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra. The function,

$$f_L(a) = \varphi_a : X \times X/R_L \rightarrow L, \varphi_a(x, d_a) = d_a(x) \text{ for } a \in X$$

is a HH^* -Intuitionistic valued epimorphism from X to X/R_L and X/R_L is uniquely determined.

Proof. It is clear that, φ_a is surjective and X/R_L is uniquely determined. Now, let $a, x \in X$.

$$\varphi_a(x, d_a) = d_a(x) = (\tau_a(x), \sigma_a(x)) \in L,$$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_a(x) &= \bigvee \{R_H(x, y) \wedge [x = a]_H : y \in X\} \\ &\geq \bigvee \{R_H(x, a) \wedge R_H(a, y) \wedge [x = a]_H : y \in X\} \\ &\geq \bigvee \{R_H(a, y) \wedge [x = a]_H : y \in X\} = \tau_x(a) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma_a(x) &= \{R_{H^*}(x, y) \vee [x = a]_{H^*} : y \in X\} \\ &\leq \{R_{H^*}(x, a) \vee R_{H^*}(a, y) \vee [x = a]_{H^*} : y \in X\} \\ &\leq \{R_{H^*}(a, y) \vee [x = a]_{H^*} : y \in X\} = \sigma_x(a)\end{aligned}$$

So, $d_a(x) = d_x(a)$. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi_{\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(x, d_{\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(x)) &= d_{\omega_L(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)}(x) \\ &= \bigvee \{d_{x_i}(x) : i = 1, \dots, n\} \\ &= \bigvee \{\varphi_{x_i}(x, d_{x_i}) : i = 1, \dots, n\}\end{aligned}$$

φ_a is homomorphism. \square

4. CONCLUSION

Thanks to this extension, we can study algebraic properties of lattice valued sets in broad perspective. We can examine the kind of HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -algebra homomorphisms, can be defined generated HH^* -Intuitionistic valued Ω -subalgebra, filters in HH^* -Intuitionistic Valued Ω -Algebra. Furthermore, the concept free HH^* -Intuitionistic Valued Ω -Algebra can be studied.

REFERENCES

- [1] Brouwer, L. E. J., Intuitionism and Formalism, English translation by A. Dresden, Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 20 (1913): 81–96, reprinted in Benacerraf and Putnam (eds.) 1983: 77–89; also reprinted in Heyting (ed.) 1975: 123–138.
- [2] Çuvalcioğlu G., Heyting Valued Omega Free Algebra, Çukurova University Institute of Science and Technology, PhD Thesis, Adana, 2002, 68 p.
- [3] Faith C., Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories I, Springer-Verlag, Berlin
- [4] Heyting, A. “Die formalen Regeln der intuitionistischen Logik,” in three parts, Sitzungsberichte der preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften: 42–71, 158–169, 1930. English translation of Part I in Mancosu 1998: 311–327.
- [5] Lawvere F.V. , Intrinsic co-Heyting boundaries and the Leibniz rule in certain toposes, in A.Carboni, et.al., Category theory, Proceedings, Como. 1990.

Sinem Tarsuslu(Yılmaz)

Department of Mathematics, University of Mersin, iftlikky Campus, Mersin, Turkey
Email: sinemnyilmaz@gmail.com

Gökhan Çuvalcioğlu

Department of Mathematics, University of Mersin, iftlikky Campus, Mersin, turkey

Email: gcuvalcioglumail.com