

$(n - 1, n)$ -WEAKLY PRIME SUBMODULES IN DIRECT PRODUCT OF MODULES

MAHDIEH EBRAHIMPOUR

ABSTRACT. Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, R be a commutative ring with identity and M be a unitary R -module. In this paper we study the $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime submodules of direct product of modules. Also, we show that for some special cases, every proper submodule is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime.

Key Words: Prime submodule, Weakly prime submodule, Quasi-local ring, $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime submodule.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 13C05; Secondary: 13C13.

1. INTRODUCTION

We assume throughout that all rings are commutative with $1 \neq 0$ and all modules are unital. Let R be a ring, M be an R -module and N be a submodule of M . This is easy to show that $(N :_R M) = \{r \in R \mid rM \subseteq N\}$ is an ideal of R . M is called faithful if $Ann_R(M) = (0 :_R M) = 0$.

The concept of weakly prime submodule, i.e., a proper submodule P of M with the property that $r \in R$ and $x \in M$ together with $0 \neq rx \in P$ imply $x \in P$ or $r \in (P :_R M)$ has been introduced by Nekooei in [12]. In [1],[2] and [3], Ebrahimpour and Nekooei have introduced the concept of $(n - 1, n)$ -prime.

In [2], Ebrahimpour and Nekooei have said that a proper submodule P of M is $(n - 1, n)$ -prime if $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} x \in P$ implies $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \in (P :_R M)$ or $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_{n-1} x \in P$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$, where

Received: 1 November 2016, Accepted: 11 December 2016. Communicated by Ahmad Yousefian Darani;

*Address correspondence to M. Ebrahimpour; E-mail: m.ebrahimpour@vru.ac.ir.

© 2017 University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

$a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$. Note that a (1, 2)-prime submodule is just a prime submodule. Also, a proper ideal P of R is said to be (n - 1, n)-weakly prime if $a_1, \dots, a_n \in R$ together with $0 \neq a_1 \dots a_n \in P$ imply $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_n \in P$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, [3]. Other generalizations of prime submodules have been studied in [4],[5],..., [13] and [14].

In this paper we study (n - 1, n)-weakly prime submodules. We say that a proper submodule P of M is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime if $0 \neq a_1 \dots a_{n-1} x \in P$ imply $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \in (P :_R M)$ or $a_1 \dots a_{i-1} a_{i+1} \dots a_{n-1} x \in P$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, where $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$ and $x \in M$. So a (1, 2)-weakly prime submodule is just a weakly prime submodule.

Let (R, Q) be a quasi-local ring and M be an R -module. If t is the smallest positive integer such that $Q^t M = 0$, then we say that the associated degree of M is t . If $Q^t M \neq 0$, for all $t \geq 1$, then we say that the associated degree of M is ∞ .

Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R -module, where (R_i, Q_i) is a quasi-local ring and M_i is a non-zero R_i -module and the associated degree of M_i is t_i , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

In Theorem 1.4, we show that if every proper submodule of M is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime, then $Q_i^{n-m} M_i = 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ and some m, n .

In Theorem 1.6, we show that if $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i \leq n - 1$, then every proper submodule of M is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime with $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.

2. MAIN RESULTES

Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$, $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$, where R_i is a ring and M_i is an R_i -module, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Then every submodule of the R -module M is of the form $N_1 \times \dots \times N_m$, where N_i is an R_i -submodule of M_i .

Theorem 2.1. *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$, $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$, where R_i is a ring and M_i is a non-zero torsionfree R_i -module, for $i = 1, \dots, m$. Let $P = P_1 \times \dots \times P_m$ be an (n - 1, n)-weakly prime submodule of M together with $(P_i :_{R_i} M_i) \neq 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then either P is (n - 1, n)-prime submodule of M or P_i is a (n - 2, n - 1)-prime submodule of M_i , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ with $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$.*

Proof. If there exists $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $P_j = M_j$, then $(P : M)^{n-1} P \neq 0$. We claim that P is (n - 1, n)-prime. Assume that P is not

$(n-1, n)$ -prime. So there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n-1} \in R$, $x \in M$ together with $a_1 \dots a_{n-1}x = 0$, $a_1 \dots a_{i-1}a_{i+1} \dots a_{n-1}x \notin P$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ and $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \notin (P :_R M)$ where $n \geq 2$. We show that $a_1 \dots a_{n-k}(P :_R M)^{k-1}P = 0$, for all $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$. If $a_1 \dots a_{n-k}(P :_R M)^{k-1}P \neq 0$, then $a_1 \dots a_{n-k}p_1 \dots p_{k-1}y \neq 0$, for some $p_1, \dots, p_{k-1} \in (P :_R M)$ and $y \in P$. Hence

$$a_1 \dots a_{n-k}(a_{n-k+1} + p_1)(a_{n-k+2} + p_2) \dots (a_{n-1} + p_{k-1})(x + y) \in P \setminus \{0\}.$$

Since P is $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime, we have $a_1 \dots a_{i-1}a_{i+1} \dots a_{n-1}x \in P$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \in (P :_R M)$, which is a contradiction. So $a_1 \dots a_{n-k}(P :_R M)^{k-1}P = 0$.

By a same argument, we can prove that for all $\{i_1 \dots i_{n-k}\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, n-1\}$, $a_{i_1} \dots a_{i_{n-k}}(P :_R M)^{k-1}P = 0$.

Suppose $(P :_R M)^{n-1}P \neq 0$. According to the above discussion, we have $0 \neq (a_1 + p_1) \dots (a_{n-1} + p_{n-1})(x + y) \in P$. Since P is $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime, $a_1 \dots a_{i-1}a_{i+1} \dots a_{n-1}x \in P$, for some $i \in \{1, \dots, n-1\}$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-1} \in (P :_R M)$, which is a contradiction. Therefore, $(P :_R M)^{n-1}P = 0$, which is a contradiction.

So we can assume that $P_j \neq M_j$, for all $j \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. We show that P_j is a $(n-2, n-1)$ -prime submodule of M_j .

Let $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2}x \in P_j$ where $a_1, \dots, a_{n-2} \in R_j$, $x \in M_j$ and $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$ such that $i \neq j$. Let $0 \neq a \in (P_i :_{R_i} M_i)$ and $y \in M_i \setminus P_i$. Since M_i is torsionfree, $0 \neq ay \in P_i$. Without loss of generality we can assume that $j < i$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\neq (0, \dots, 0, a_1 \dots a_{n-2}x, 0, \dots, 0, ay, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m})(a_{21}, \dots, a_{2m}) \dots (a_{(n-1)1}, \dots, a_{(n-1)m})(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in P \end{aligned}$$

where $a_{kj} = a_k$ and $a_{ki} = a_{(n-1)j} = 1$, for all $k \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$. Let $a_{(n-1)i} = a$ and in other places $a_{hl} = 0$. Also $x_i = y, x_j = x$ and $x_t = 0$, for all $t \neq i, j$.

Since P is $(n-1, n)$ -weakly prime, then $y \in P_i$, which is a contradiction or

$$a_1 \dots a_{k-1}a_{k+1} \dots a_{n-2}x \in P_j,$$

for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n-2\}$ or $a_1 \dots a_{n-2} \in (P_j :_{R_j} M_j)$. Therefore, P_j is $(n-2, n-1)$ -prime. \square

Theorem 2.2. *Let $n \geq 2$ be a positive integer, $R = R_1 \times R_2$ and $M = M_1 \times M_2$ where R_i is a ring and M_i is a non-zero R_i -module for $i = 1, 2$. suppose that P is a proper submodule of M_1 . Then $P \times M_2$*

is an (n - 1, n)-weakly prime ((n - 1, n)-prime) submodule of M if and only if P is an (n - 1, n)-prime submodule of M₁.

Proof. (⇒) Since $(P \times M_2 :_R M)^{n-1}(P \times M_2) \neq 0$, $P \times M_2$ is (n - 1, n)-prime, similar to the proof of Theorem 1.2. It is easy to show that P is an (n - 1, n)-prime submodule of M₁.

(⇐) If P be an (n - 1, n)-prime submodule of M₁, then it is easy to show that $P \times M_2$ is an (n - 1, n)-prime submodule of M and thus $P \times M_2$ is an (n - 1, n)-weakly prime submodule of M. □

Theorem 2.3. Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_n$ be a ring and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_n$ be an R-module, where M_i is an R_i -module, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$. If every proper submodule of M is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime, then M_i is a simple R_i -module, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ where $n \geq 2$.

Proof. Let M_1 is not a simple R_1 -module. So there exists a non-zero proper submodule P_1 of M_1 . By hypothesis, the submodule $P = P_1 \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\}$ is an (n - 1, n)-weakly prime submodule of M. Let $0 \neq x \in P_1$ and $0 \neq y_j \in M_j$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. Then

$$(0, \dots, 0) \neq (x, 0, \dots, 0) = (1, a_{12}, \dots, a_{1n})(1, a_{22}, \dots, a_{2n}) \\ \dots(1, a_{(n-1)2}, \dots, a_{(n-1)n})(x, y_2, \dots, y_n) \in P,$$

where $a_{i(i+1)} = 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ and otherwise $a_{ij} = 1$.

Since P is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime, $P_1 = M_1$ or $y_j = 0$, for some $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$, which are contradictions. So M_1 is a simple R_1 -module. By a same argument, M_j is a simple R_j -module for all $j \in \{2, \dots, n\}$. □

Theorem 2.4. Let m, n be two positive integers such that $3 \leq m < n$, $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R-module, where (R_i, Q_i) is a quasi-local ring and M_i is a non-zero R_i -module, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. If every proper submodule of M is (n - 1, n)-weakly prime, then $Q_i^{n-m}M_i = 0$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. Let $Q_1^{n-m}M_1 \neq 0$. So there exist $a_1, \dots, a_{n-m} \in Q_1$ and $x_1 \in M_1$ such that $a_1 \dots a_{n-m}x_1 \neq 0$. By hypothesis, the submodule $P = (a_1 \dots a_{n-m}x_1) \times \{0\} \times \dots \times \{0\}$ is an (n - 1, n)-weakly prime submodule of M. Let $0 \neq x_j \in M_j$ for all $j \in \{2, \dots, m - 1\}$. We have

$$(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_1 \dots a_{n-m}x_1, 0, \dots, 0) = (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m}) \\ \dots(a_{(n-1)1}, \dots, a_{(n-1)m})(x_{n1}, \dots, x_{nm}) \in P,$$

for $a_{k1} = a_k$, where $1 \leq k \leq n - m$ and $a_{(n-m+t)(t+1)} = 0$, where $1 \leq t \leq (m - 2)$. In other places, let $a_{ij} = 1$. $x_{nj} = x_j$, where $1 \leq j \leq m - 1$ and $x_{nm} = 0$.

Since P is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime, $M_m = 0$ or $x_{nj} = 0$, for some $2 \leq j \leq m - 1$, which are contradictions, or $a_1 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_{n-m} x_1 \in (a_1 \dots a_{n-m} x_1)$, for some $j \in \{1, \dots, n - m\}$. So there exists an $r \in R$ such that

$$a_1 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_{n-m} x_1 (1 - ra_j) = 0.$$

Since $a_j \in Q_1$, $1 - ra_j$ is a unit in R_1 . So $a_1 \dots a_{j-1} a_{j+1} \dots a_{n-m} x_1 = 0$ which is a contradiction. Therefore $Q_1^{n-m} M_1 = 0$. By a same argument, $Q_i^{n-m} M_i = 0$, for all $i \in \{2, \dots, m\}$. \square

Let (R, Q) be a quasi-local ring and M be an R -module. If t is the smallest positive integer such that $Q^t M = 0$, then we say that the associated degree of M is t . If $Q^t M \neq 0$, for all $t \geq 1$, then we say that the associated degree of M is ∞ .

Corollary 2.5. *Let m, n be two positive integers such that $3 \leq m < n$ and $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R -module, where (R_i, Q_i) is a quasi-local ring and M_i is a non-zero R_i -module, where the associated degree of M_i is t_i , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. If every proper submodule of M is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime, then $t_i \leq n - m$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$.*

Theorem 2.6. *Let $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R -module, where (R_i, Q_i) is a quasi-local ring, M_i is an R_i -module and the associated degree of M_i is t_i , for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. If $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i \leq n - 1$, then every proper submodule of M is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime with $n \geq 2$ and $m \geq 1$.*

Proof. Let $P = P_1 \times \dots \times P_m$ be a proper submodule of M and

$$(0, \dots, 0) \neq (a_{11}, \dots, a_{1m})(a_{21}, \dots, a_{2m}) \dots (a_{(n-1)1}, \dots, a_{(n-1)m})(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in P.$$

So there exists a $j \in \{1, \dots, n - 1\}$ such that $(\prod_{k=1}^{n-1} a_{kj}) x_j \neq 0$. Since

$Q_j^{t_j} M_j = 0$, there exist at most $t_j - 1$ elements of $\{a_{1j}, \dots, a_{(n-1)j}\}$ that are nonunits in R_j . So we need at most $t_j - 1$ parentheses such that the product of their j 'th elements product in x_j is in P_j .

For $i \neq j$ we have $Q_i^{t_i} M_i = 0$. If there exist t_i elements of $\{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{(n-1)i}\}$ that are nonunits in R_i , then the product of these t_i elements is zero and we need t_i parentheses such that the product of their i 'th elements product in x_i is in P_i .

If there exist less than t_i elements of $\{a_{1i}, \dots, a_{(n-1)i}\}$ that are nonunits in R_i , then we need less than t_i parentheses that the product of their i 'th elements product in x_i is in P_i .

So we need at most $(t_j - 1 + \sum_{i \neq j, i=1}^m t_i) + 1 = \sum_{i=1}^m t_i$ parentheses such that their product is in P . So P is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime. □

Corollary 2.7. *Let $m < n$ be two positive integers, $R = R_1 \times \dots \times R_m$ and $M = M_1 \times \dots \times M_m$ be an R -module, where R_i is a field and M_i is an R_i -vector space, for $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Then every proper submodule of M is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime with $n \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let t_i be the associated degree of M_i . So $t_i = 1$, for all $i \in \{1, \dots, m\}$. Thus $\sum_{i=1}^m t_i = m \leq n - 1$. Therefore, every proper submodule of M is $(n - 1, n)$ -weakly prime, by Theorem 1.7. □

Acknowledgments

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her useful suggestions that improved the presentation of this paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, *On generalizations of prime ideals*, Commun. Algebra, **40** (2012), 1268–1279.
- [2] M. Ebrahimpour and R. Nekooei, *On generalizations of prime submodules*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **39(5)** (2013), 919–939.
- [3] M. Ebrahimpour, *On generalisations of almost prime and weakly prime ideals*, Bull. Iran. Math. Soc., **40(2)** (2014), 531–540.
- [4] M. Ebrahimpour, *Some remarks on generalizations of multiplicatively-closed subsets*, J. Alg. Systems, **4(1)** (2016), 15–27.
- [5] M. Ebrahimpour, *Some generalizations of weakly prime submodules*, to appear in Transactions on Algebra and its Applications.
- [6] S. Galovich, *Unique factorization rings with zero divisors*, Math. Mag., **51** (1978), 276–283.
- [7] C. P. Lu, *Prime submodules*, Comm. Math. Univ. Sancti Pauli, **33** (1984), 61–69.

- [8] R. L. Mc Casland and M. E. Moore, *Prime submodules*, Commun. Algebra, **20** (1992), 1803–1817.
- [9] R. L. Mc Casland and P. F. Smith, *Prime submodules of Noetherian modules*, Rocky Mountain J. Math., **23** (1993), 1041–1062.
- [10] M. E. Moore and S. J. Smith, *Prime and radical submodules of modules over commutative rings*, Commun. Algebra, **30** (2010), 5037–5064.
- [11] R. Moradi and M. Ebrahimpour, *On ϕ -2-Absorbing primary submodules*, to appear in Acta Math. Vietnam.
- [12] R. Nekooei, *Weakly prime submodules*, FJMS. , **39(2)** (2010), 185–192.
- [13] R.Y. Sharp, *Steps in commutative algebra*, Cambridge: Cambridge University Prees (2000).
- [14] N. Zamani, *ϕ -prime submodules*, Glasgow Math. J. Trust (2009), 1–7.

M. Ebrahimpour

Department of Mathematics, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, P.O.Box 518, Rafsanjan, Iran

Email: m.ebrahimpour@vru.ac.ir