

## MULTIPLICATION IDEALS IN $\Gamma$ -RINGS

ALI AKBAR ESTAJI, ALI SAGHAFI KHORASANI  
AND SAMANEH BAGHDARI

**ABSTRACT.** In this paper we introduce the notion of multiplication ideals in  $\Gamma$ -rings and we obtain some characterizations for multiplication ideals in  $\Gamma$ -rings.

**Key Words:**  $\Gamma$ -ring, multiplication ideal, prime ideal, semi-prime ideal, faithful ideal.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary: 13A15; Secondary: 16D25, 16N60.

### 1. INTRODUCTION

We shall call an  $R$ -module  $M$  a multiplication module if every submodule of  $M$  is of the form  $IM$ , for some ideal  $I$  of  $R$ . Multiplication modules and ideals have been investigated in A. Barnard (1981), El-Bast and Smith (1988), P. F. Smith (1988) and others. For results on multiplication modules, the reader is referred to [1, 2, 5, 8, 12].

Nobusawa [9] developed the notion of a  $\Gamma$ -ring which is more general than a ring. After his research, Barnes studied  $\Gamma$ -rings in more details in [3]. But Barnes approached to  $\Gamma$ -rings in a different way than that of Nobusawa and he defined the concept of  $\Gamma$ -ring and related definitions. After these two papers were published, many mathematicians made good works on  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Barnes and Nobusawa, which are parallel to the results in the ring theory (for example [1, 4, 10, 12]). In this paper, we introduce the concepts of multiplication ideals in  $\Gamma$ -rings.

---

Received: 1 October 2012, Accepted: 30 May 2013. Communicated by A. Yousefian Darani

\*Address correspondence to Ali Saghafi Khorasani; E-mail: saghafiali21@yahoo.com.

© 2013 University of Mohaghegh Ardabili.

## 2. PRELIMINARIES OF $\Gamma$ -RINGS

In the remainder of the paper we use some notation and results from the theory of  $\Gamma$ -rings. We present a few basic definitions here.

Let  $M$  and  $\Gamma$  be additive abelian groups. If we have a map from  $M \times \Gamma \times M$  to  $M$  such that for all  $x, y, z \in M$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma$

- (1)  $(x + y)\alpha z = x\alpha z + y\alpha z$ ,  $x(\alpha + \beta)z = x\alpha z + x\beta z$ ,  $x\alpha(y + z) = x\alpha y + x\alpha z$ ,
- (2)  $(x\alpha y)\beta z = x\alpha(y\beta z)$ ,

then  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring in the sense of Barnes [3]. Note that any ring  $R$ , can be regarded as an  $R$ -ring. A  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called commutative, if for any  $x, y \in M$  and  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , we have  $x\gamma y = y\gamma x$ .  $M$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -ring with unit, if there exist elements  $1 \in M$  and  $\gamma_0 \in \Gamma$  such that for any  $m \in M$ ,  $1\gamma_0 m = m = m\gamma_0 1$ . Throughout this paper,  $M$  stands for a nonempty commutative  $\Gamma$ -ring with unit. If  $A$  and  $B$  are subsets of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $\Theta \subseteq \Gamma$ , we denote by  $A\Theta B$  the subset of  $M$  consisting of all finite sums of the form  $\sum a_i \gamma_i b_i$  where  $(a_i, \gamma_i, b_i) \in A \times \Theta \times B$ . For singleton subsets we abbreviate this notation for example,  $\{a\}\Theta B = a\Theta B$ . An ideal of a  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is an additive subgroup  $I$  of  $M$  such that  $I\Gamma M = M\Gamma I \subseteq I$ . We denote an ideal  $I$  in  $M$  by  $I \trianglelefteq M$ . An ideal  $I \trianglelefteq M$  is called a proper ideal, if  $I \subsetneq M$ . For each subset  $S$  of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , the smallest ideal containing  $S$  is denoted by  $\langle S \rangle$  and is called the ideal generated by  $S$ . If  $S$  is finite,  $\langle S \rangle$  is called finitely generated.

A proper ideal  $P$  in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called a prime ideal, if for any ideals  $A, B \trianglelefteq M$ ,  $A\Gamma B \subseteq P$  implies  $A \subseteq P$  or  $B \subseteq P$ . A proper ideal  $N$  in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called maximal ideal, if for any ideals  $J$  in  $M$  such that  $N \subseteq J \subseteq M$ , we have  $N = J$  or  $J = M$ . It is easy to show that any maximal ideal is prime. We denote by  $Max(M)$ , the set of all maximal ideals in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .

A subset  $S$  of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is an  $m$ -system in  $M$ , if  $S = \emptyset$  or if  $a, b \in S$  implies that  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle b \rangle \cap S \neq \emptyset$ . An ideal  $P$  in  $M$  is prime if and only if its complement  $P^c$  is an  $m$ -system, see [3]. The prime radical  $P(A)$  of the ideal  $A$  in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , is the set consisting of those elements  $r$  of  $M$  with the property that every  $m$ -system in  $M$  which contains  $r$  meet  $A$  (that is, has nonempty intersection with  $A$ ). An ideal  $Q$  in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is said to be semi-prime ideal if and only if it has the following property: if  $A$  is an ideal in  $M$  such that  $A\Gamma A \subseteq Q$ , then  $A \subseteq Q$ . It is clear that a prime ideal is semi-prime. More over the

intersection of any set of semi-prime ideals is a semi-prime ideal, see [6]. It follows easy by induction that if  $Q$  is a semi-prime ideal,  $A$  is an ideal and  $(A\Gamma)^n A \subseteq Q$  for an arbitrary positive integer  $n$ , then  $A \subseteq Q$ , see [6].

**Theorem 2.1.** *If  $Q$  is an ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , the following conditions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $Q$  is a semi-prime ideal.
- (2) if  $a \in M$  such that  $\langle a \rangle \Gamma \langle a \rangle \subseteq Q$ , then  $a \in Q$ .

*Proof.* See Theorem 3.2 in [7]. □

**Proposition 2.2.** *If  $Q$  is an ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , then  $P(Q)$  is the smallest semi-prime ideal in  $M$  which contains  $Q$ , i.e.*

$$P(Q) = \bigcap P$$

where  $P$  runs over all semi-prime ideals of  $M$  such that  $Q \subseteq P$ .

*Proof.* See Corollary 3.5 in [7]. □

The reader is referred to [6, 7, 8] for undefined terms and notations.

### 3. MULTIPLICATION IDEALS

In this section we give some important properties of multiplication ideals, starting with the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.** An ideal  $I$  in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  is called multiplication ideal, if for every ideal  $J$  contained in  $I$ , there exists ideal  $G$  in  $M$  such that  $J = GI$ .

Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .  $[I : J]$  is the set of all  $m \in M$  such that  $m\Gamma J \subseteq I$ .  $[I : J]$  is called the residual of  $I$  by  $J$ . The annihilator of  $I$  is denoted by  $ann(I)$  and equals to  $[0 : I]$ . An ideal  $I$  in  $M$  is called faithful if  $ann(I) = 0$ . We say that  $I$  divides  $J$ , denoted by  $I|J$ , if there exists an ideal  $G$  in  $M$  such that  $IG = J$ .

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $I$  be a multiplication ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $J$  be an arbitrary ideal in  $M$ .  $I|J$  if and only if  $J \subseteq I$ .*

*Proof.* The proof is evident. □

**Definition 3.3.** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $N$  an ideal in  $M$  and  $P \in Max(M)$ .  $N$  is called  $P$ -cyclic if there exist  $p \in P$  and  $n \in N$  such that  $(1 - p)\gamma_0 N \subseteq M\Gamma n$  and also, it is clear that  $(1 - p)\gamma_0 N = (1 - p)\Gamma N$ . Define  $T_P N$  as the set of all  $n \in N$  such that  $(1 - p)\gamma_0 n = 0$  for some  $p \in P$ .

**Lemma 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $N$  an ideal in  $M$  and  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$ . Then  $T_P N$  is an ideal in  $M$ .*

*Proof.* It is straightforward.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5.** *Let  $N$  be an ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .  $N$  is multiplication ideal if and only if for any ideal  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$ , either  $N = T_P N$  or  $N$  is  $P$ -cyclic.*

*Proof.* Let  $N$  be a multiplication ideal and  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$ . First suppose that  $N = P\Gamma N$ . Since  $N$  is multiplication ideal, we conclude that for every  $n \in N$ , there exists an ideal  $A$  in  $M$  such that  $\langle n \rangle = A\Gamma N$ . Hence  $\langle n \rangle = P\Gamma \langle n \rangle$ . So there exists  $p \in P$  such that  $(1-p)\gamma_0 n = 0$ , it follows that  $n \in T_P N$  and then  $N = T_P N$ .

Now suppose that  $N \neq P\Gamma N$  and  $x \in N \setminus P\Gamma N$ . Then there exists an ideal  $B$  in  $M$  such that  $\langle x \rangle = B\Gamma N$  and  $P+B = M$ . Obviously, if we assume that  $p \in P$ , then  $(1-p)\gamma_0 N \subseteq M\Gamma x$ . Therefore  $N$  is  $P$ -cyclic.

Conversely, suppose that  $J$  is an ideal in  $M$  and  $J \subseteq N$ . Define  $I$  as the set of all  $m \in M$ , where  $m\gamma_0 n \in J$  for any  $n \in N$ . Clearly  $I$  is an ideal in  $M$  and  $I\Gamma N \subseteq J$ . Let  $y \in J$ . Define  $K$  as the set of all  $m \in M$ , where  $m\gamma_0 y \in I\Gamma N$ . We claim  $K = M$ . Assume that  $K \subsetneq M$ . Then, by Zorn's Lemma, there exists  $Q \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $K \subseteq Q \subset M$ . By hypothesis  $N = T_Q N$  or  $N$  is  $Q$ -cyclic. If  $N = T_Q N$ , then there exists  $s \in Q$  such that  $(1-s)\gamma_0 y = 0$ . Hence  $(1-s) \in K \subseteq Q$ , it follows that  $1 \in Q$ , a contradiction. If  $N$  is  $Q$ -cyclic then there exist  $t \in Q$  and  $z \in N$  such that  $(1-t)\gamma_0 N \subseteq M\Gamma z = \langle z \rangle$ . Define  $L$  as the set of all  $m \in M$  such that  $m\gamma_0 z \in (1-t)\gamma_0 J$ . Clearly  $L$  is an ideal in  $M$  and  $L\gamma_0 z \subseteq (1-t)\gamma_0 J$ . Since  $J \subseteq N$ , we conclude that  $(1-t)\gamma_0 J \subseteq \langle z \rangle$ . Hence  $(1-t)\gamma_0 J \subseteq L\gamma_0 z$ . So  $(1-t)\gamma_0 J = L\gamma_0 z$ , it follows that  $(1-t)\gamma_0 L\gamma_0 N \subseteq (1-t)\gamma_0 J \subseteq J$  and  $(1-t)\gamma_0 L \subseteq I$ . Therefore  $(1-t)\gamma_0(1-t)\gamma_0 J \subseteq I\Gamma M$ . Hence  $(1-t)\gamma_0(1-t) \in K \subseteq Q$ . Thus  $(1-t) \in Q$ , it follows that  $1 \in Q$ , a contradiction. Hence  $K = M$  and  $y \in I\Gamma N$ . Thus  $N$  is a multiplication ideal.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.6.** *Let  $N$  be a faithful ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .  $N$  is multiplication ideal if and only if*

- (1) *For any nonempty collection  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  of ideals in  $M$ ,*

$$\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (I_\lambda \Gamma N) = \left( \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda \right) \Gamma N$$

- (2) For any ideal  $K$  in  $M$  which  $K \subseteq N$  and any ideal  $A$  in  $M$  with  $K \subset A\Gamma N$ , there exists ideal  $B$  in  $M$  such that  $B \subset A$  and  $K \subseteq B\Gamma N$ .

*Proof.* Suppose (1) and (2) hold. Let  $K$  be an ideal in  $M$  contained in  $N$  and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{I : I \text{ is an ideal of } M \text{ and } K \subseteq I\Gamma N\}.$$

Clearly  $M \in \mathcal{S}$ . Since the statement (1) is correct, by Zorn's Lemma,  $\mathcal{S}$  has a minimal member,  $A$  say. Since  $K \subseteq A\Gamma N$  and  $A$  is minimal element of  $\mathcal{S}$ , we can then conclude from (2) that  $K = A\Gamma N$ . It follows that  $N$  is a multiplication ideal.

Conversely, suppose that  $N$  is a multiplication ideal in  $M$ . Let  $\{I_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  be a nonempty collection of ideals in  $M$  and  $I = (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} I_\lambda)$ . Clearly  $I\Gamma N \subseteq \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (I_\lambda\Gamma N)$ . Let  $x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (I_\lambda\Gamma N) \subseteq N$  and we put  $L = \{m \in M : m\gamma_0 x \in I\Gamma N\}$ . We claim  $L = M$ . Assume that  $L \subsetneq M$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there exists  $P \in \text{Max}(M)$  such that  $L \subseteq P$ . It is clear that  $x \notin T_P N$ . Hence  $T_P N \neq N$  and by Proposition 3.5,  $N$  is  $P$ -cyclic. Hence there exist  $n \in N$  and  $p \in P$  such that  $(1-p)\gamma_0 N \subseteq M\Gamma n = \langle n \rangle$ . Thus  $(1-p)\gamma_0 x \in \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (I_\lambda\gamma_0 n)$  and so for any  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ ,  $(1-p)\gamma_0 x \in I_\lambda\gamma_0 n$ . It is clear that  $(1-p)\gamma_0(1-p) \in L \subseteq P$ , in view of the fact that  $N$  is faithful. Hence  $1 \in P$ , a contradiction. Therefore  $L = M$ , it follows that  $x = 1\gamma_0 x \in I\Gamma N$  and (1) holds. Now suppose  $K$  is an ideal in  $M$  with  $K \subseteq N$  and  $A$  is an ideal in  $M$  with  $K \subset A\Gamma N$ . Since  $N$  is multiplication ideal, there exists an ideal  $C$  in  $M$  such that  $K = C\Gamma N$ . Let  $B = A \cap C$ . Clearly,  $B \subset A$  and by the statement (1),  $K \subseteq B\Gamma N$ . This proves the statement (2).  $\square$

Let  $P$  be a proper ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . It is clear that the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $P$  is semi-prime.
- (2) For any  $a \in M$ , if  $a\gamma_0 a \in P$  then  $a \in P$ .
- (3) For any  $a \in M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , if  $(a\gamma_0)^n a \in P$  then  $a \in P$ .

**Proposition 3.7.** Let  $C$  be an ideal in  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $A$  be the set of all  $x \in M$  such that  $(x\gamma_0)^n x \in C$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ , where  $(x\gamma_0)^0 x = x$ . Then  $A = P(C)$ .

*Proof.* Suppose that  $x \in A$ . So  $(x\gamma_0)^n x \in C$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Let  $P$  be a semi-prime ideal in  $M$  containing  $C$ . So  $x \in P$ . It follows from Proposition 2.2 that  $x \in P(C)$ . Thus  $A \subseteq P(C)$ . Now suppose  $x \notin A$ . Let  $\Sigma$  be the set of all ideals  $I$  in  $M$  such that  $C \subseteq I$  and

$(x\gamma_0)^n x \notin I$  for any  $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . By Zorn's Lemma,  $\Sigma$  has maximal element  $P$ . Suppose that  $z, y \notin P$ . Then there exists  $m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $(x\gamma_0)^m x \in P + \langle z\gamma_0 y \rangle$ . Hence  $P + \langle z\gamma_0 y \rangle \notin \Sigma$  and so  $z\gamma_0 y \notin P$ . Now if  $z = y$ , by the above argument  $z \notin P$  implies that  $z\gamma_0 z \notin P$ . So  $P$  is semi-prime and  $x \notin P$ . Hence, by Proposition 2.2,  $x \notin P(C)$ . Thus  $x \notin A$  implies that  $x \notin P(C)$ , whence  $P(C) \subseteq A$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *Let  $J$  be a faithful multiplication ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $A, B$  be two ideals in  $M$ . Then,  $A\Gamma J \subseteq B\Gamma J$  if and only if either  $A \subseteq B$  or  $J = [B : A]\Gamma J$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $A \not\subseteq B$ . Note that  $[B : A] = \bigcap_{a \in X} [B : \langle a \rangle]$  where  $X$  is the set of all elements  $a \in A$  with  $a \notin B$ . By Proposition 3.6,

$$[B : A]\Gamma J = \bigcap_{a \in X} ([B : \langle a \rangle]\Gamma J)$$

If for every  $a \in X$ ,  $J = [B : \langle a \rangle]\Gamma J$ , then  $J = [B : A]\Gamma J$ , which finishes the proof. Let  $a \in X$  and  $C = [B : \langle a \rangle]$ . It is clear that  $C \neq M$ . Let  $\Omega$  denote the collection of all semi-prime ideals  $P$  in  $M$  containing  $C$ . Suppose that there exists  $P \in \Omega$  such that  $J \neq P\Gamma J$  and  $x \in J \setminus P\Gamma J$ . Since  $J$  is a multiplication ideal in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ , we conclude that there exists an ideal  $D$  in  $M$  such that  $\langle x \rangle = J\Gamma D$  and  $D \not\subseteq P$ . Thus  $c\Gamma J \subseteq \langle x \rangle$  for some  $c \in D \setminus P$ . Now we have  $c\Gamma a\Gamma J \subseteq B\Gamma \langle x \rangle$ . It is easily to show that for any  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , there exist  $\gamma_1 \in \Gamma$  and  $b \in B$  such that  $(c\gamma a - 1\gamma_1 b)\gamma_0 x = 0$ , it follows that  $(c\gamma a - 1\gamma_1 b)\Gamma c\Gamma J = 0$ . Hence  $c\gamma c \in [B : \langle a \rangle] = C$ . Since  $P$  is a semi-prime ideal containing  $C$ , we conclude that  $c \in P$ , a contradiction. Therefore for every  $P \in \Omega$ ,  $J = P\Gamma J$  and, by Propositions 2.2 and 3.6,  $J = P(C)\Gamma J$ . Let  $j \in J$ . It is easily to show that  $\langle j \rangle = P(C)\Gamma \langle j \rangle$ . Then there exists  $s \in P(C)$  such that for every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $j = (s\gamma_0)^n j$ . By Proposition 3.7, there exists  $t \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$  such that  $(s\gamma_0)^t s \in C$ , it follows that  $j = (s\gamma_0)^t s\gamma_0 j \in C\Gamma J$ , i.e.,  $J \subseteq C\Gamma J$ . Hence  $C\Gamma J = J$ . The converse is evident.  $\square$

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and let  $Mat_{n \times n}(M)$  be the set of all  $n \times n$  matrices over  $M$ .

**Definition 3.9.** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $A = (a_{ij}) \in Mat_{n \times n}(M)$ . If  $\sigma$  is a permutation on  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , let  $sign(\sigma) = 1$  if  $\sigma$  is an even permutation, and  $sign(\sigma) = -1$  if  $\sigma$  is an odd permutation. The determinant

is defined by

$$\det_{\Gamma}(A) = \sum_{\text{all } \sigma} \text{sign}(\sigma) a_{1,\sigma(1)} \gamma_0 a_{2,\sigma(2)} \gamma_0 \cdots \gamma_0 a_{n,\sigma(n)}.$$

Let  $M_{i,j}$  be the determinant of the  $(n-1) \times (n-1)$  matrix obtained by removing row  $i$  and column  $j$  from  $A$ . Let  $C_{i,j} = (-1)^{i+j} M_{i,j}$ .  $M_{i,j}$  and  $C_{i,j}$  are called the  $(i,j)$  minor and cofactor of  $A$ .

**Proposition 3.10.** *For any  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $\det_{\Gamma}(A) = a_{i1} \gamma_0 C_{i,1} + a_{i2} \gamma_0 C_{i,2} + \cdots + a_{in} \gamma_0 C_{i,n}$ . For any  $1 \leq j \leq n$ ,  $\det_{\Gamma}(A) = a_{1j} \gamma_0 C_{1,j} + a_{2j} \gamma_0 C_{2,j} + \cdots + a_{nj} \gamma_0 C_{n,j}$ .*

Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring and  $\{a_i | i \in \mathbb{N}_n\} \subseteq M$ . It is clear that

$$\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n m_i \gamma_0 a_i \mid \forall i \in \mathbb{N}_n (m_i \in M) \right\}.$$

Also, if  $I$  is an ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $J = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , then

$$I\Gamma J = \{x_1 \gamma_0 a_1 + \cdots + x_n \gamma_0 a_n \mid x_i \in I, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq n\}.$$

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring,  $I$  an ideal in  $M$ ,  $J$  an ideal generated by  $n$  elements, and  $x$  an element of  $M$  satisfying  $x\Gamma J \subseteq I\Gamma J$ . Then there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $((x\gamma_0)^{n-1}x + y)\gamma_0 J = 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $J = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , then there exist  $y_{i1}, \dots, y_{in} \in I$  such that

$$x\gamma_0 a_i = \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}_n} y_{ij} \gamma_0 a_j.$$

Now we put

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} x - y_{11} & -y_{12} & \cdots & -y_{1n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ -y_{n1} & -y_{n2} & \cdots & x - y_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

It is clear that there exists  $y \in I$  such that  $\det(B) = ((x\gamma_0)^{n-1}x + y)$  and also, for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $(\det B)\gamma_0 a_i = 0$ . Therefore  $((x\gamma_0)^{n-1}x + y)\gamma_0 J = 0$ .  $\square$

We denote by  $S_{\Gamma}$ , the set of all finitely generated faithful multiplication ideals in the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .

**Proposition 3.12.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ . If  $I\Gamma J = J$  for some  $J \in S_{\Gamma}$ , then there exists  $i \in I$  such that  $(1-i)\gamma_0 J = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We know that  $1\Gamma J = J$ . Now for  $x = 1$  in Proposition 3.11, there exists  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $((1\gamma_0)^n 1 + y)\gamma_0 J = 0$  and by setting  $i = -y$  the proof will be completed.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.13.** *Let  $A, B$  be two ideals of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $J \in S_\Gamma$ . Then  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $A\Gamma J \subseteq B\Gamma J$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $A\Gamma J \subseteq B\Gamma J$ , then by Proposition 3.8,  $A \subseteq B$  or  $J = [B : A]\Gamma J$ . Suppose that  $J = [B : A]\Gamma J$ . By Proposition 3.12, there exists  $r \in [B : A]$  such that  $(1 - r)\gamma_0 J = 0$ . Since  $J \in S_\Gamma$ , we conclude that  $r = 1$  and so  $A = 1\Gamma A \subseteq B$ . The converse is evident.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.14.** *Let  $I$  be a multiplication ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  and  $I \subseteq J$ . Then*

$$J = I\Gamma[J : I].$$

*Proof.* Since  $I$  is a multiplication ideal of  $M$ , then  $J = I\Gamma G$  for some ideal  $G$  of  $M$ , and  $G \subseteq [J : I]$ . Therefore  $J \subseteq I\Gamma[J : I]$ . On the other hand we can see easily that  $I\Gamma[J : I] \subseteq J$ . So  $J = I\Gamma[J : I]$ .  $\square$

**Definition 3.15.** Let  $M$  be a  $\Gamma$ -ring. A left  $M_\Gamma$ -module is an additive abelian group  $A$  together with a mapping  $\cdot : M \times \Gamma \times A \rightarrow A$  ( the image of  $(m, \gamma, a)$  is denoted by  $m\gamma a$ ), such that for all  $a, a_1, a_2 \in A$ ,  $\gamma, \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma$ , and  $m, m_1, m_2 \in M$  the following hold:

- (1)  $m\gamma(a_1 + a_2) = m\gamma a_1 + m\gamma a_2$  and  $(m_1 + m_2)\gamma a = m_1\gamma a + m_2\gamma a$ ,
- (2)  $m_1\gamma_1(m_2\gamma_2 a) = (m_1\gamma_1 m_2)\gamma_2 a$ ,
- (3)  $1\gamma_0 a = a$ .

A right  $M_\Gamma$ -module is defined in a similar way.

**Definition 3.16.** If  $A$  is a left  $M_\Gamma$ -module and  $\mathcal{S}$  is the set of all  $M_\Gamma$ -submodules  $B$  of  $A$  such that  $B \neq A$ , then  $\mathcal{S}$  is partially ordered by set-theoretic inclusion.  $B$  is a maximal  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule if and only if  $B$  is a maximal element in the partially ordered set  $\mathcal{S}$ .

**Proposition 3.17.** *If  $A$  is a non-zero finitely generated left  $M_\Gamma$ -module, then the following statements hold.*

- (1) *If  $K$  is a proper  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule of  $A$ , then there exists a maximal  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule of  $A$  which contains  $K$ .*
- (2)  *$A$  has a maximal  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule.*

*Proof.* (1) Let  $A = \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \mid$  and

$$\mathcal{S} = \{L : K \subseteq L \text{ and } L \text{ is a proper } M_\Gamma\text{-submodule of } A\}.$$



$\mathcal{S}$  is partially ordered by inclusion and note that  $\mathcal{S} \neq \emptyset$ , since  $K \in \mathcal{S}$ . If  $\{L_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{S}$ , then  $L = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} L_\lambda$  is a  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule of  $A$ . We show that  $L \neq A$ . If  $L = A$ , then for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , there exists  $\lambda_i \in \Lambda$  such that  $a_i \in L_{\lambda_i}$ . Since  $\{L_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  is a chain in  $\mathcal{S}$ , we conclude that there exists  $1 \leq j \leq n$  such that  $a_1, \dots, a_n \in L_{\lambda_j}$ . Therefore  $A = L_{\lambda_j} \in \mathcal{S}$  which contradicts the fact that  $A \notin \mathcal{S}$ . It follows easily that  $L$  is an upper bound for  $\{L_\lambda\}_{\lambda \in \Lambda}$  in  $\mathcal{S}$ . By Zorn's Lemma, there exists a proper  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule  $B$  of  $A$  that is maximal in  $\mathcal{S}$ . It is a clear that  $B$  a maximal  $M_\Gamma$ -submodule of  $A$  containing  $K$ .

(2) By part (1), it suffices to we put  $K = (0)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.18.** *Let  $J$  be a finitely generated ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  contained in multiplication ideal  $I$ . If  $A = \text{ann}(J)$ , then  $\frac{I}{A\Gamma I}$  is finitely generated.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $B = A + \sum_{x \in I} [\langle x \rangle : I]$ . If  $B \neq M$  then, by Proposition 3.17, there exists a maximal ideal  $P$  of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$  such that  $B \subseteq P$ . By Lemma 3.14,  $\langle x \rangle = [\langle x \rangle : I]\Gamma I \subseteq P\Gamma I$  for any  $x \in I$ , it follows that  $I \subseteq P\Gamma I$ . Since  $P\Gamma I \subseteq I$ , we conclude that  $I = P\Gamma I$ . By hypothesis, there exists  $m_1, \dots, m_k \in J$  such that  $J = \langle m_1, \dots, m_k \rangle$ . Since  $I$  is a multiplication ideal, we can then conclude from Lemma 3.14 that for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ,  $\langle m_i \rangle = [\langle m_i \rangle : I]\Gamma I = [\langle m_i \rangle : I]\Gamma P\Gamma I = \langle m_i \rangle \Gamma P$ . Therefore, there exists  $p_i \in P$  such that  $(1 - p_i)\gamma_0 m_i = 0$ , for each  $1 \leq i \leq k$ . If we put  $p = 1 - (1 - p_1)\gamma_0 \dots \gamma_0(1 - p_k)$ , then  $p \in P$  and  $(1 - p)\Gamma J = 0$ . Hence  $(1 - p) \in \text{Ann}(J) \subseteq B \subseteq P$ , it follows that  $1 \in P$ , a contradiction. Thus  $B = M$  and there exists  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in I$  such that  $1 \in [\langle x_1 \rangle : I] + \dots + [\langle x_n \rangle : I] + A$ . Therefore  $I = \langle x_1 \rangle + \dots + \langle x_n \rangle + A\Gamma I$ . On the other hand,  $\frac{I}{A\Gamma I} = \langle x_1 + A\Gamma I, \dots, x_n + A\Gamma I \rangle$ , then  $\frac{I}{A\Gamma I}$  is finitely generated.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.19.** *Let  $I$  be a multiplication ideal of the  $\Gamma$ -ring  $M$ .  $I$  is finitely generated if and only if  $\text{ann}(I) = \text{ann}(J)$  for some finitely generated ideal  $J$  contained in  $I$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\text{ann}(I) = \text{ann}(J)$  for some finitely generated ideal  $J$  contained in  $I$ . By Proposition 3.18,  $\frac{I}{\text{ann}(J)\Gamma I}$  is finitely generated.

On the other hand  $\frac{I}{\text{ann}(J)\Gamma I} = \frac{I}{\text{ann}(I)\Gamma I} \cong I$ . Hence  $I$  is a finitely generated ideal of  $M$ . For the converse it's enough to we put  $J = I$ .  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] D. D. Anderson, *Some remarks on multiplication ideals*, Math Japon, **25** (1980), 463-469.
- [2] A. Barnard, *Multiplication modules*, J. Algebra, **71** (1981), 174-178.
- [3] W. E. Barnes, *On the  $\Gamma$ -rings of Nobusawa*, Pacific J. Math., Vol. **18**, no. **3** (1966), 411-422.
- [4] M. Dumitru, *Gamma-ring: some interpretations used in the study of their radicals*, U.P.B. Sci. Bull., Series A, Vol. **71**, Iss. **3** (2009), 9-22.
- [5] Z. A. El-Bast, P. F. Smith, *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra, **16** (1988), 755-779.
- [6] S. Kyuno, *On the radicals of  $\Gamma$ -rings*, Osaka J. Math., **12** (1975), 639-645.
- [7] S. Kyuno, *On prime gamma rings*, Pacific J. Math., Vol. **75**, No. **1** (1978), 185-190.
- [8] G. H. Low and P. F. Smith, *Multification modules and ideals*, Comm. Algebra, **18** (1990), 4353-4375.
- [9] N. Nobusawa, *On a generalization of the ring theory*, Osaka J. Math., **1** (1964), 81-89.
- [10] A.C. Paul and Md. Sabur, *Decomposition in neotherian Gamma rings*, International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology, Vol. **2** [2] December 2011, 38-42.
- [11] Md. S. Uddin and P. Ahmed, *Gamma vector spaces and their generalization*, International Archive of Applied Sciences and Technology, Vol. **2** [2] December 2011, 77-83.
- [12] P. F. Smith, *Some remarks on multiplication modules*, Arch. Math. (Basel) **50** (1988), 223-235.
- [13] N. N. Suliman and A.-R. H. Majeed, *Orthogonal derivations on an ideal of semiprime  $\Gamma$ -rings*, International Mathematical Forum, Vol. **7**, no. **28** (2012), 1405-1412.

**Ali Akbar Estaji**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, P.O.Box 397, Sabzevar, Iran  
Email: aa.estaji@yahoo.com

**Ali Saghafi Khorasani**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, P.O.Box 397, Sabzevar, Iran  
Email: saghafiali21@yahoo.com

**Samaneh Baghdari**

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, Hakim Sabzevari University, P.O.Box 397, Sabzevar, Iran  
Email: m.baghdari@yahoo.com