# Characterization of the Killing and homothetic vector fields on Lorentzian pr-waves three-manifolds with Recurrent Curvature 
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Abstract. We consider the Lorentzian pr-waves three-manifolds with recurrent curvature. We obtain a full classification of the Killing and homothetic vector fields of these spaces.
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\section*{1. Introduction}

A Lorentzian manifold with a parallel light-like vector field is called Brinkmannwave, due to [1]. A Brinkmann-wave manifold \((M, g)\) is called pp-wave if its curvature tensor \(R\) satisfies the trace condition \(\operatorname{tr}_{(3,5)(4,6)}(R \otimes R)=0\). In [2], Schimming proved that an \((n+2)\)-dimensional pp-wave manifold admits coordinates \(\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right)\) such that \(g\) has the form
\[
\begin{equation*}
g=2 d x d z+\sum_{k=1, \ldots, n}\left(d y_{k}\right)^{2}+f(d z)^{2}, \text { with } \partial_{x} f=0 . \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
\]

In [3], Leistner gave another equivalence for pp-wave manifold. More precisely, he proved that a Brinkmann-wave manifolds \((M, g)\) with parallel light-like vector field \(X\) and induced parallel distributions \(\Xi\) and \(\Xi^{\perp}\) is a pp-wave if and
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only if its curvature tensor satisfies
\[
\begin{equation*}
R(U, V): \Xi^{\perp} \rightarrow \Xi, \text { for all } U, V \in T M, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
\]
or equivalently \(R\left(Y_{1}, Y_{2}\right)=0\) for all \(Y_{1}, Y_{2} \in \Xi^{\perp}\). From this description, it follows that a pp-wave manifold is Ricci-isotropic, which means that the image of the Ricci operator is totally light-like, and has vanishing scalar curvature [3]. Furthermore, Leistner introduced a new class of non-irreducible Lorentzian manifolds satisfying (1.2) but only for a recurrent vector field \(X\), that is, \(\nabla X=\) \(\omega \otimes X\) where \(\omega\) is a one-form on \(M\). Following [3], such manifolds are called pr-waves. Moreover, a description in terms of local coordinates similar to the one for pp-waves manifolds was given in [3]: a Lorentzian manifold ( \(M, g\) ) of dimension \(n+2>2\) is a pr-wave if and only if around any point \(o \in M\) exist coordinates \(\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}, z\right)\) in which the metric \(g\) has the following form:
\[
g=2 d x d z+\sum_{k=1, \ldots, n}\left(d y_{k}\right)^{2}+f(d z)^{2},
\]
where \(f\) is a real valued smooth function on \((M, g)\).
In this paper, we shall investigate killing and homothetic vector fields on the Lorentzian pr-waves three-manifolds with recurrect curvature. If \((M, g)\) denotes a Lorentzian manifold and \(T\) a tensor on \((M, g)\), codifying some either mathematical or physical quantity, a symmetry of \(T\) is a one-parameter group of diffeomorphisms of \((M, g)\), leaving \(T\) invariant. As such, it corresponds to a vector field \(X\) satisfying \(\mathcal{L}_{X} T=0\), where \(\mathcal{L}\) denotes the Lie derivative. Isometries are a well known example of symmetries, for which \(T=g\) is the metric tensor. The corresponding vector field \(X\) is then a Killing vector field. Homotheties and conformal motions on \((M, g)\) are again examples of symmetries. (see, for example, [[4], [5], [6], [7], [8], [9]] and references therein).

\section*{2. Killing and homothetic vector fields of pr-wave three-manifold}

We first classify Killing and homothetic and affine vector fields of \((M, g)\). The classifications we obtain are summarized in the following theorem. Put \(f_{x}:=\partial_{x} f, f_{y}:=\partial_{y} f\) and \(f_{z}:=\partial_{z} f\).

Theorem 1. Let \(X=X^{1} \partial_{x}+X^{2} \partial_{y}+X^{3} \partial_{z}\) be an arbitrary vector field on the three-dimensional pr-wave manifold \((M, g)\). Then
(i) \(X\) is a Killing vector field if and only if
\[
\begin{equation*}
X^{1}=-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y-f_{2}^{\prime}(z) x+f_{3}(z), X^{2}=f_{1}(z), X^{3}=f_{2}(z) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
\]
where \(f_{i}(z)\) are arbitrary smooth functions on \(M\), satisfying
\[
\begin{array}{r}
2 f_{2}^{\prime}(z) f-2 f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z) y-2 f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(z) x+2 f_{3}^{\prime}(z)+\left(f_{3}(z)-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y\right. \\
\left.-f_{2}^{\prime}(z) x\right) f_{x}+f_{3}(z) f_{y}+f_{2}(z) f_{z}=0 \tag{2.2}
\end{array}
\]
(ii) \(X\) is a homothetic, non-Killing vector field if and only if
\[
X^{1}=-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y+\left(\eta-f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) x+f_{3}(z), X^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \eta y+f_{1}(z), X^{3}=f_{2}(z)
\]
where \(\eta \neq 0\) is a real constant and
\[
\begin{aligned}
& -\eta f+2 f_{2}^{\prime}(z) f-2 f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z) y-2 f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(z) x+2 f_{3}^{\prime}(z)+\left(f_{3}(z)-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y+\left(\eta-f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) x\right) f_{x} \\
& +\left(\frac{1}{2} \eta y+f_{3}(z)\right) f_{y}+f_{2}(z) f_{z}=0
\end{aligned}
\]

Proof. We start from an arbitrary smooth vector field \(X=X^{1} \partial_{x}+X^{2} \partial_{y}+X^{3} \partial_{z}\) on the three-dimensional pr-wave manifold \((M, g)\), and calculate \(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\). we assume \(\partial_{x}=\partial_{1}, \partial_{y}=\partial_{2}, \partial_{z}=\partial_{3}\). With regard to
\[
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\right)_{\mu \nu}=X^{i} \partial_{i} g_{\mu \nu}+g_{i \nu} \partial_{\mu} X^{i}+g_{\mu i} \partial_{\nu} X^{i}
\]

We have
\[
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\right)_{11}= & \Sigma_{i=1}^{3}\left(X^{i} \partial_{i} g_{11}+g_{i 1} \partial_{1} X^{i}+g_{1 i} \partial_{1} X^{i}\right) \\
= & X^{1} \partial_{1} g_{11}+g_{11} \partial_{1} X^{1}+g_{11} \partial_{1} X^{1}+X^{2} \partial_{2} g_{11}+g_{21} \partial_{1} X^{2}+g_{12} \partial_{1} X^{2} \\
& +X^{3} \partial_{3} g_{11}+g_{31} \partial_{1} X^{3}+g_{13} \partial_{1} X^{3} \\
= & 2 \partial_{1} X^{3}, \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\right)_{12}= & \Sigma_{i=1}^{3}\left(X^{i} \partial_{i} g_{12}+g_{i 2} \partial_{1} X^{i}+g_{1 i} \partial_{2} X^{i}\right) \\
= & X^{1} \partial_{1} g_{12}+g_{12} \partial_{1} X^{1}+g_{11} \partial_{2} X^{1}+X^{2} \partial_{2} g_{12}+g_{22} \partial_{1} X^{2}+g_{12} \partial_{2} X^{2} \\
& +X^{3} \partial_{3} g_{12}+g_{32} \partial_{1} X^{3}+g_{13} \partial_{2} X^{3} \\
= & \partial_{1} X^{2}+\partial_{2} X^{3}, \\
& \\
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\right)_{13}= & \Sigma_{i=1}^{3}\left(X^{i} \partial_{i} g_{13}+g_{i 3} \partial_{1} X^{i}+g_{1 i} \partial_{3} X^{i}\right) \\
= & X^{1} \partial_{1} g_{13}+g_{13} \partial_{1} X^{1}+g_{11} \partial_{3} X^{1}+X^{2} \partial_{2} g_{13}+g_{23} \partial_{1} X^{2}+g_{12} \partial_{3} X^{2} \\
& +X^{3} \partial_{3} g_{13}+g_{33} \partial_{1} X^{3}+g_{13} \partial_{3} X^{3} \\
= & \partial_{1} X^{1}+f \partial_{1} X^{3}+\partial_{3} X^{3},
\end{aligned}
\]

By following this process we get
\[
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{X} g & =2 \partial_{1} X^{3} d x d x+2\left(\partial_{1} X^{2}+\partial_{2} X^{3}\right) d x d y+2\left(\partial_{1} X^{1}+f \partial_{1} X^{3}+\partial_{3} X^{3}\right) d x d z+2 \partial_{2} X^{2} d y d y \\
& +2\left(\partial_{2} X^{1}+\partial_{3} X^{2}+f \partial_{2} X^{3}\right) d y d z+\left(X^{1} \partial_{1} f+2 \partial_{3} X^{1}+X^{2} \partial_{2} f+X^{3} \partial_{3} f+2 f \partial_{3} X^{3}\right) d z d z
\end{aligned}
\]

Then, \(X\) satisfies \(\mathcal{L}_{X} g=\eta g\) for some real constant \(\eta\) if and only if the following system of partial differential equations is satisfied:
\[
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{1} X^{3}=0, \partial_{2} X^{2}=\frac{\eta}{2}, \partial_{1} X^{2}+\partial_{2} X^{3}=0, \partial_{1} X^{1}+f \partial_{1} X^{3}+\partial_{3} X^{3}=\eta, \\
& \partial_{2} X^{1}+\partial_{3} X^{2}+f \partial_{2} X^{3}=0, X^{1} \partial_{1} f+2 \partial_{3} X^{1}+X^{2} \partial_{2} f+X^{3} \partial_{3} f+2 f \partial_{3} X^{3}=\eta f .
\end{aligned}
\]

We then proceed to integrate (2.3). From the first three equations in (2.3) we get
\[
X^{2}=\frac{\eta}{2} y-f_{1}(z) x+f_{3}(z), \quad X^{3}=f_{1}(z) y+f_{2}(z)
\]

Then, the fourth equation in 2.3 yields
\[
\begin{aligned}
& X^{1}=f_{5}^{\prime}(z) x y+f_{6}^{\prime}(z) x+f_{4}(x, y) \\
& f_{1}(z)=-f_{5}(z)+c_{1} \\
& f_{2}(z)=-f_{6}(z)+\eta z+c_{2}
\end{aligned}
\]

Where \(c_{1}\) and \(c_{2}\) are real constants. substituting this into the fifth equation, we have
\[
\left(-f_{5}(z)+c_{1}\right) f+2 f_{5}^{\prime}(z) x+f_{3}^{\prime}(z)+\partial_{y} f_{4}(x, y)=0
\]

Then, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& f_{3}(z)=-f_{6}(z) y+c_{1} \\
& f_{4}(x, y)=f_{6}^{\prime}(z) y+f_{7}(z) \\
& f_{5}(z)=c_{1}
\end{aligned}
\]

Now, the last equation in (2.3) gives
\(-\eta f+2 f_{2}^{\prime}(z) f-2 f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z) y-2 f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(z) x+2 f_{3}^{\prime}(z)+\left(-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y+\left(\eta-f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) x+f_{3}(z)\right) f_{x}\)
\(+\left(\frac{1}{2} \eta y+f_{3}(z)\right) f_{y}+f_{2}(z) f_{z}=0\).
So, we have
\[
\begin{aligned}
& X^{1}=-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y+\left(\eta-f_{2}^{\prime}(z)\right) x+f_{3}(z) \\
& X^{2}=\frac{1}{2} \eta y+f_{1}(z) \\
& X^{3}=f_{2}(z)
\end{aligned}
\]

This proves the statement i) in the case \(\eta=0\) and the statement ii) if we assume \(\eta \neq 0\).

Example 2. The functions in equation 2.2 for the killing vector fields on the three-dimensional pr-wave manifold produce a various family of killing vector fields on the three-dimensional pr-wave manifold. for example, let \(f(x, y, z)=\) \(x\), we have
\[
f_{2}^{\prime}(z) x-2 f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z) y-2 f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(z) x+2 f_{3}^{\prime}(z)-f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y+f_{3}(z)=0
\]

Therefore,
\[
f_{3}(z)=\left(\int\left(\frac{1}{2} f_{2}^{\prime}(z) x+f_{1}^{\prime \prime}(z) y+f_{2}^{\prime \prime}(z) x-\frac{1}{2} f_{1}^{\prime}(z) y\right) e^{\frac{1}{2} z} d z+c_{1}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} z}
\]
where \(c_{1}\) and \(c_{2}\) are real constants.

Now, with the arbitrary selection for function \(f_{1}(z)\) and \(f_{2}(z)\), killing vector fields are generated, which is a special example as follows:
\[
f_{1}(z)=f_{2}(z)=2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} z}
\]

So, we have
\[
f_{3}(z)=\left(y z+c_{1}\right) e^{-\frac{1}{2} z}
\]

In a special case, it can be assumed \(c_{1}=0\). Hence,
\[
f_{3}(z)=e^{-\frac{1}{2} z} y z
\]

Therefore,
\[
\begin{aligned}
& X^{1}=-2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} z} y-2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} z} x+e^{-\frac{1}{2} z} y z \\
& X^{2}=X^{3}=2 e^{-\frac{1}{2} z}
\end{aligned}
\]
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